

Annual Site Environmental Report

PPPL - 5447

2017



Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

Operated by Princeton University For the U.S. Department of
Energy Under Contract DE-AC02-09CH11466

**Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
2017 Annual Site Environmental Report
Table of Contents**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	5
1.1 Site Mission	5
1.2 Site Location	6
1.3 General Environmental Setting	8
1.4 Primary Operations and Activities.....	9
1.5 Relevant Demographic Information.....	9
2.0 2017 COMPLIANCE SUMMARY AND ENVIRONMENTAL STEWARDSHIP	10
2.1 Laws and Regulations	19
2.2 Site Compliance and Environmental Management System (EMS) Assessments.....	10
2.3 Environmental Permits.....	11
2.4 External Oversight and Assessments	17
2.5 Emergency Reporting of Spills and Releases.....	17
2.6 Notice of Violations and Penalties	17
2.7 Green and Sustainable Remediation (GSR).....	18
2.8 Adaption to Climate Change	18
2.9 Environmental Stewardship	18
2.9.1 Earth Day 2017.....	19
2.9.2 America Recycles Day at PPPL	20
2.9.3 Environmental Awards.....	21
3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (EMS)	22
3.1 DOE Sustainability Goals	22
3.1.1 Energy Efficiency	23
3.1.2 Renewable Energy.....	23
3.1.3 Greenhouse Gas Emissions	24
3.1.4 Fleet Management.....	24
3.1.5 Water Efficiency	25
3.2 Energy Efficient "Green" Buildings	26
3.3 Sustainability Awards	27
4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-RADIOLOGICAL PROGRAM INFORMATION	32
4.1 Non-Radiological Water Programs.....	32
4.1.1 New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES) Program.....	32
A. Monthly Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMR).....	32
B. Acute Toxicity Study.....	34
C. Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity Study.....	34
D. Waste Characterization Report (WCR)	34
4.1.2 Lined Surface Impoundment Permit (LSI)	34
4.1.3 Ground Water	35
A. NJPDES Ground Water Program	35
B. Remedial Action Ground Water Monitoring Program	36
4.1.4 Metered Water	36

2017 Annual Site Environmental Report
Table of Contents

A. Drinking (Potable) Water	36
B. Process (Non-potable) Water	36
C. Surface Water	37
D. Sanitary Sewage	37
4.2 Non-Radiological Waste Programs.....	37
4.2.1 Hazardous Waste Program	37
A. Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA)	37
B. Hazardous/Universal Waste	38
C. Recycled Hazardous/Universal Waste	38
4.3 Environmental Protection Programs.....	38
4.3.1 Release Programs	38
A. Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC)	38
B. Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) Continuous Release Reporting	38
C. Superfund Amendment Reauthorization Act (SARA) Title III Reporting Requirements.....	39
4.3.2 Environmental Releases	39
4.3.3 Pollution Prevention Program	40
4.4 Non-Radiological Emissions Monitoring Programs.....	40
4.5 Land Resources and Conservation	42
4.5.1 Wetlands Letter of Interpretation (LOI)	42
4.5.2 Soil Erosion and Landscaping.....	42
4.5.3 Herbicides and Fertilizers	42
4.5.4 Stormwater Pollution Prevention.....	43
4.6 Safety.....	43
5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOLOGICAL PROGRAM INFORMATION.....	44
5.1 Radiological Emissions and Doses.....	44
5.1.1 Penetrating Radiation.....	45
5.1.2 Sanitary Sewage.....	46
5.1.3 Radioactive Waste	47
5.1.4 Airborne Emissions - Differential Atmospheric Tritium Samplers (DATS)	47
5.2 Release of Property Containing Residual Radioactive Material.....	48
5.3 Protection of Biota	48
5.4 Unplanned Radiological Releases	48
5.5 Environmental Radiological Monitoring	48
5.5.1 Waterborne Radioactivity.....	48
A. Surface Water	48
B. Ground Water	49
C. Drinking (Potable) Water.....	49
5.5.2 Foodstuffs, Soil, and Vegetation.....	49
6.0 SITE HYDROLOGY, GROUNDWATER MONITORING, AND DRINKING WATER PROTECTION	50
6.1 Lower Raritan River Watershed	50
6.2 Geology and Topography	50
6.3 Biota	52
6.4 Flood Plain.....	52
6.5 Groundwater Monitoring	53

**Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
2017 Annual Site Environmental Report
Table of Contents**

6.5.1	Monitoring Wells	53
6.5.2	Sampling Events	54
6.5.3	Ground Water Remedial Action	55
6.5.4	Monitoring Natural Attenuation	56
6.6	Drinking Water Protection	58
7.0	QUALITY ASSURANCE	59
7.1	PEARL Lab Certification	59
7.1.1	Radiological Parameters	60
7.1.2	Non-Radiological Parameters	60
7.2	Subcontractor Labs	61
7.3	Internal QA/QC	61
7.3.1	Internal audit	61
7.3.2	Internal QA Check	61
7.3.3	Calibrations	62
7.3.4	Chemicals	62
7.4	External QA/QC	63
8.0	REFERENCES	64
9.0	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	70
Appendix A.	2017 DATA TABLES & MAPS	71
Appendix B.	REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST	97
	List of Exhibits Contained in Text	iv
	List of Acronyms	vi
	PPPL Certification of Monitoring Data for Annual for Site Environmental Report 2017	x

2017 Annual Site Environmental Report
List of Exhibits in Text

Exhibit # in Text	Title	Page
1-1	Region Surrounding PPPL (50-mile radius shown)	6
1-2	PPPL James Forrestal Campus (JFC), Plainsboro, NJ.....	7
1-3	Aerial View of PPPL.....	8
2-1	Applicable Environmental Laws and Regulations – 2017 Status.....	11
2-2	PPPL's 2017 Earth Week.....	18
2-3	Bike Banner Promoting Cycling	19
2-4	Earth Week's Green Machine Recipients	20
2-5	America Recycles Day.....	20
2-6	PPPL Accepting 2017 EPEAT Gold Award	21
3-1	Annual Non-Experimental Energy Intensity in BTU/gsf	23
3-2	Summary of PPPL Scope 1 & 2 GHG Emissions between 2008 and 2017 (mtCO ₂ e).....	24
3-3	Annual Non-Exempt Fleet Petroleum Fuel Use Between 2005 and 2017	25
3-4	PPPL Potable Water Intensity.....	26
3-5	2017 DOE Sustainability Goal Summary Table for PPPL.....	28
4-1	2017 NJPDES Permit Compliance, NJPDES Permit NJ0023922	33
4-2	NJPDES Reporting Requirements for 2017.....	34
4-3	PPPL Retention Basin, Flow Sensor, and Discharge Gate.....	35
4-4	PPPL Potable Water Use from NJ American Water Co.....	36
4-5	PPPL Non-Potable Water Use from Delaware & Raritan Canal	36
4-6	2017 Hazardous Waste Shipments.....	38
4-7	2017 Summary of PPPL EPCRA Reporting Requirements	39
4-8	2017 Hazardous Class of Chemicals at PPPL	39
4-9	PPPL's Air-Permitted Equipment.....	41
4-10	PPPL's Boiler Emissions from 2002-2017 vs. Regulatory Limits	41
4-11	2017 Fertilizer and Herbicide Use	42
4-12	2017 PPPL's Safety Performance	43
5-1	Summary of 2017 Emissions and Doses from D-site Operations.....	45
5-2	Radiological Atmospheric Releases – Calendar Year 2019 (Curies)	45
5-3	Annual Releases to Sanitary System from Liquid Effluent Collection Tanks 1994 to 2017.....	46
5-4	Total Annual Releases (LEC tanks) to Sanitary System from 1994 to 2017.....	47
5-5	Total Low-Level Radioactive Waste 1997-2017	47
5-6	B-box with Liner in RWHF for Shipping Radioactive Waste for Disposal	47

2017 Annual Site Environmental Report
List of Exhibits in Text

Exhibit #	Title	Page
6-1	Millstone River Watershed Basin	51
6-2	Generalized Potentiometric Surface of the Bedrock Aquifer at PPPL.....	53
6-3	Summary of Monitoring Wells	54
6-4	Summary of Groundwater Contamination.....	54
6-5	Well Monitoring Setup - Compressed Air, Water Depth Meter, Collection Bucket and Probe	55
6-6	Groundwater Monitoring Parameters	55
6-7	Typical PCE Degradation Pathway.....	57
6-8	PCE Concentration vs. Time at MW-19S (1998-2017).....	57
7-1	PEARL Chlorine Standard Check for Accuracy	59
7-2	Distilling Sample for Tritium Analysis Performed at PEARL	59
7-3	2017 Internal Radiological Parameters	60
7-4	2017 Non-Radiological Certified Parameters	60

NOTE: *Data tables are located in Appendix A - 2017 Data Tables & Maps beginning on page 71.
All tables as referenced in the report are located in Appendix A.*

2017 Annual Site Environmental Report

List of Acronyms

AEA	Atomic Energy Act of 1954
AFV	alternative fuel vehicles
ALARA	as low as reasonably achievable
ARD	America Recycles Day (November 15 th)
ASER	Annual Site Environmental Report
B1, B2	Bee Brook 1 (upstream of DSN001) and 2 (downstream of DSN001) (surface water stations)
B-20	biofuel (20%)
BCG	biota concentration guide
Bq	Becquerel
BTU/gsf	British Thermal Unit per gross square feet
°C	Degrees Celsius
C- & D-	C & D-sites of James Forrestal Campus, currently site of PPPL
C1	Canal - surface water monitoring location (Delaware & Raritan Canal)
c-1,2-DCE	cis-1,2-dichloroethylene
C&D	Construction and demolition (waste)
CAA	Clean Air Act
CAS	Coil Assembly and Storage building
CDX-U	Current Drive Experiment – Upgrade (at PPPL)
CEA	classified exception area
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CEDR	Comprehensive Energy Data Report
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CHP	Combined heat and power
Ci	Curie (3.7 ^{E10} Becquerel)
cm	centimeter
CO	carbon monoxide
CO ₂	carbon dioxide (GHG)
CO _{2e}	carbon dioxide equivalent
COD	chemical oxygen demand
COP21	21 st Century Conference of Parties (also known as the Paris Accord)
CPO	chlorine-produced oxidants known as total residual chlorine
CWA	Clean Water Act
CXs	categorical exclusions
CY	calendar year
D-D (DD)	deuterium-deuterium
DART	days away, restricted transferred (case rate - Safety statistic)
DATS	differential atmospheric tritium sampler
DESC	Defense Energy Supply Center
DMR	discharge monitoring report
DOE	Department of Energy
DOE-PSO	Department of Energy - Princeton Site Office
DOT	Department of Transportation
DPCC	Discharge Prevention Control and Containment
dpm	disintegrations per minute
D&R	Delaware & Raritan (Canal)
DSN	discharge serial number
E1	surface water monitoring station (NJ American Water Co. potable water source)

2017 Annual Site Environmental Report

List of Acronyms

E-85	ethanol (85%) fuel
EDE	effective dose equivalent
EHS	extremely hazardous substance
EISA	Energy Independence and Security Act, Section 432
EML	Environmental Monitoring Laboratory (DOE)
EMS	Environmental Management System
EO	Executive Order
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency (US)
EPCRA	Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act
EPEAT	Electronic Product Environmental Assessment Tool
EPP	Environmentally Preferred Products
ESD	Environmental Services Division (PPPL)
ES&H	Environment, Safety, and Health
ESHD	Environment, Safety, & Health Directives
ESPC	Energy Savings Performance Contract
°F	Degrees Fahrenheit
FFCA	Federal Facility Compliance Act
FIFRA	Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act
FY	fiscal year (October 1 to September 30)
GGE	Gasoline gallon equivalent
GHGs	greenhouse gases
GP	Guiding principles
GPD	gallons per day
GPP	General plant projects
GSA	General Services Administration
GSF	gross square feet
GSR	green sustainable remediation
HAZMAT	hazardous materials
HP	Health Physics Division of ES&H
HPSB	high performance and sustainable buildings
HT	tritium (elemental)
HTO	tritiated water or tritium oxide
IC25	Inhibition concentration
ILA	Industrial landscaping and agriculture
ISO14001	International Standards Organization 14001 (Environmental Management System – EMS)
ITER	International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (France)
JFC	James Forrestal Campus
JET	Joint European Torus facility (United Kingdom)
km	kilometer
kWh	kilowatt hour
LEC	liquid effluent collection (tanks)
LED	Light emitting diode
LEED®	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design
LEED®-EB	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design - Existing Buildings
LLW	Low level waste
LSB	Lyman Spitzer Building (Formerly Laboratory Office Building)
LSRP	Licensed Site Remediation Professional
LOI	Letter of Interpretation (Wetlands)

2017 Annual Site Environmental Report

List of Acronyms

LOTO	lock-out, tag-out (electrical safety)
LSI	lined surface impoundment
LTX	Lithium Tokamak Experiment
M1	Millstone River (surface water station)
MC&A	Material Control & Accountability (nuclear materials)
MEI	Maximally Exposed Individual
MG	Motor Generator (Building)
MGD	Million gallons per day
mg/L	milligram per liter
M&O	Maintenance & Operations
MNA	Monitored Natural Attenuation
mrem	milli roentgen equivalent man (per year)
MRX	Magnetic Reconnection Experiment
MSDS	Material Safety Data Sheet
msl	mean sea level (in feet)
mSv	milliSievert
MT (mt)	metric ton (equivalent to 2,204.6 pounds or 1.10 tons)
MW	monitoring well
Mwh	Megawatt hour
MSW	Municipal solid waste
NBI	Neutral Beam Injector(s)
NCSX	National Compact Stellarator Experiment
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NESHAPs	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
NHPA	National Historic and Preservation Act
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
NJAC	New Jersey Administrative Code
NJDEP	New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (prior to 1991 and after July 1994)
NJPDES	New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NOEC	no observable effect concentration
NOVs	Notice of violations
NO _x	nitrogen oxides
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NSTX-U	National Spherical Torus Experiment Upgrade
NVLAP	National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NIST)
ODS	ozone-depleting substances (Class I and II)
OPEX	Operating expenses (PPPL budget)
ORPS	occurrence reporting and processing system ((DOE accident/incident reporting system)
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Agency
P1, P2	Plainsboro 1 (Cranbury Brook) and 2 (Devil's Brook) (surface water stations)
PCs	personal computer(s)
PCBs	polychlorinated biphenyls
PCE	perchloroethylene, tetrachloroethene, or tetrachloroethylene
pCi/L	picoCuries per liter
PE	Professional engineer
PEARL	PPPL Environmental, Analytical, and Radiological Laboratory
PF1A	Poloidal field coil 1A
PFC	Princeton Forrestal Center

2017 Annual Site Environmental Report

List of Acronyms

PJM	Pennsylvania, Jersey, Maryland (Electric-power grid controllers/operators)
POTW	publicly-owned treatment works
PPA	Power Purchase Agreement
PPPL	Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
PSO	Princeton Site Office (DOE)
PSTP	Preliminary Site Treatment Plan
PT	proficiency test (Laboratory certification)
PTE	potential to emit (air emissions)
PUE	Power utilization or usage effectiveness
QA	Quality assurance
RAA	Remedial Alternative Assessment
RASR	Remedial Action Selection Report
RAWP	Remedial Action Work Plan
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
REC	renewable energy credits
redox	oxidation-reduction (potential)
rem	roentgen equivalent man
RESA	Research Equipment Storage and Assembly Building
RI	Remedial Investigation
RWHF	Radiological Waste Handling Facility
SF ₆	sulfur hexafluoride (GHG)
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986
SBRSA	Stony Brook Regional Sewerage Authority
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
SESC	Soil erosion and sediment control
SO ₂	sulfur dioxide
SPCC	Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
Sv	Sievert
SVOCs	semi-volatile organic compounds
TCE	trichloroethene or trichloroethylene
TFTR	Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor
TPHC	total petroleum hydrocarbons
TRI	Toxic Reduction Inventory (CERCLA)
TSCA	Toxic Substance Control Act
TSS	total suspended solids
TW	test wells
UL-DQS	Underwriters Laboratories-DQS (Germany's first certification body)
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
VOCs	volatile organic compounds
WCR	Waste Character
µg/L	micrograms per liter

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL)
Certification of Monitoring Data for
Annual Site Environmental Report for 2017

Contained in the following report are data for radioactivity in the environment collected and analyzed by Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory's Princeton Environmental, Analytical, and Radiological Laboratory (PEARL). The PEARL is located on-site and is certified for analyzing radiological and non-radiological parameters through the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection's Laboratory Certification Program, Certification Number 12471. Non-radiological surface and ground water samples are analyzed by NJDEP certified subcontractor laboratories – QC, Inc. and Accutest Laboratory. To the best of our knowledge, these data, as contained in the "Annual Site Environmental Report for 2017," are documented and certified to be correct.

Prepared:

Mark Hughes
Environmental Scientist
Environmental Services Division

Reviewed:

Robert S. Sheneman
Deputy Head
Environment, Safety & Health Department

Approved:

Jerry D. Levine,
Head
Environment, Safety & Health Department



Executive Summary

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Annual Site Environmental Report for Calendar Year 2017


This report presents the results of environmental activities and monitoring programs at the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL) for Calendar Year 2017. The report provides the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and the public with information on the level of radioactive and non-radioactive pollutants, if any, that are released into the environment as a result of PPPL operations. The report also summarizes environmental initiatives, assessments, and programs that were undertaken in 2017. The objective of the Site Environmental Report is to document PPPL's efforts to protect the public's health and the environment through its environmental protection, safety, and health programs.

Since 1951, the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory has engaged in fusion energy research. Fusion is the reaction that occurs in our sun as well as in other stars. During fusion reactions, the nuclei of hydrogen atoms in a plasma state, i.e. as an ionized gas, fuse or join forming helium atoms and releasing of neutrons and energy. Unlike the sun, PPPL's fusion reactions are magnetically confined within a vessel or reactor under vacuum conditions. The long-range goal of the U.S. Magnetic Fusion Energy Science program is to develop and demonstrate the practical application of fusion power as a safe, alternative energy source replacing power plants that burn fossil fuels. Energy from fusion power plants would boil water for steam that drives electric-generating turbines without the production of greenhouse gases and other air pollutants.

National Spherical Torus Experiment - Upgrade

2017 marked the nineteenth year of the National Spherical Torus Experiment (NSTX). Completed in 2016 at a cost of \$94 million, the NSTX upgrade project (NSTX-U), the redesign of the center stack magnets and the addition of a second neutral beam box from the former Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor (TFTR), were in place to begin the operation of the most advanced spherical tokamak in the world.

Unfortunately, due to disruptions to NSTX-U caused by events in 2015 and again in 2016, the experiment did not operate for second half of 2016 or in 2017. The causes for the disruptions to a major coil (poloidal field coil, PF1A) and the center stack structure are under investigation. Systemic design verification and validation (DVV) reviews were conducted throughout 2017 to identify potential latent system weaknesses. Following completion of the DVVs, a recovery plan to address the design/fabrication issues is being developed and thoroughly vetted by independent reviewers.




ITER Cadarache, France

ITER in Latin means "the way" and is the name of the large international fusion experiment located in the Provence-Alpes-Côte-d'Azur region in southeastern France. Construction began in 2007 with a projected First Plasma date for December 2025. When operational ITER will generate 10 times (Q10) the external power delivered to heat the plasma. PPPL, partnering with Oak Ridge National Laboratory, leads the U.S. ITER Project that coordinates U.S. ITER activities - lending to the project design, construction, and technical expertise.

International Collaboration & Other Plasma Physics Research

PPPL scientists and engineers collaborate with researchers from other fusion laboratories in the U.S. and around the world. Our international work supports work on the DIII-D experiment in San Diego, CA, the W-7X in Germany, and the EAST facility in China. In addition, PPPL's researchers study plasma astrophysical phenomena and conduct theoretical plasma physics studies and develop computer models to simulate plasma disruptions and other physical phenomena.



PPPL Maximum Off-site Dose in 2017

When the total maximum off-site dose for 2017 was calculated, PPPL's radiological contribution was a fraction of the 10 milli roentgen equivalent man per year (mrem/year) PPPL objective and the 100-mrem/year DOE limit. Based on the radiological monitoring program data, the dose results for 2017 were:

1. *Maximum exposed individual (MEI) dose from all sources—airborne and liquid releases—was 2.62×10^{-3} mrem per year (2.62×10^{-5} person-Sv per year) in 2017.*
2. *The collective effective dose equivalent for the population living within 80 kilometers was 7.63×10^{-2} person-rem (7.63×10^{-4} person-Sv).*

These doses are well below Federal, state and DOE permissible levels.

Infrastructure and Operation Improvements (IOI) Project

PPPL continued its campus-wide plan of actions to improve the existing facilities by renovating and modernizing office and storage space in addition to consolidating technical machine shops. The project, known as the Infrastructure and Operation Improvements (IOI) Project, is funded by the US Department of Energy's Office of Science. The project began in 2015 with the removal of obsolete equipment and systems in the C-site motor generator (MG) building. The project continued in 2016 and 2017 with renovations to office, meeting, technical and storage areas. The project is expected to be completed in 2018.

PPPL Environmental Achievements and Activities in 2017

PPPL won three national honors for sustainability programs in 2017. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) recognized the Laboratory for its waste management program, which saved more than \$250,000 by diverting 3,766 tons of waste from landfills. The agency awarded PPPL the 2016 Federal Green Challenge Regional Award for reducing waste and increasing its combined recycling rate from 84 percent in Fiscal Year 2015 to 97 percent in Fiscal Year 2016.

Earlier in the year, the U.S. Department of Energy presented a gold Green Buy Award to the Laboratory for its green buying program in fiscal 2016 — the fourth such award PPPL has received in the past six years. The Laboratory also received a U.S. EPA Region 2 Food Recovery Challenge Award for fiscal year 2015 for the Laboratory's composting program.

In anticipation of new and significantly lower limits for residual chlorine in its surface water discharge permit, PPPL initiated a project to eliminate chlorine as a biological control agent in its main cooling tower and associated cooling water systems. Peracetic acid was substituted for chlorine in the main cooling tower, thus eliminating the potential for future permit exceedances. Monitoring of other permit parameters indicated discharges below the permit limits. This project also identified a number of leaks, inoperable controls, and substandard instruments in the cooling water system. Repairs and upgrades to the cooling water system resulted in a dramatic reduction in the use of non-potable water from the D&R Canal.

PPPL encourages its employees to practice environmental stewardship principles in their daily lives through their personal purchases and recycling activities as well as at PPPL. Each year, the Laboratory hosts events such as Earth Week in April and America Recycles Day in November when information on green products and recycling opportunities are provided. PPPL's "Green Team" designs programs and activities to help green PPPL and the whole community.

PPPL has maintained an Environmental Management System (EMS) program certified to the International Organization for Standards (ISO) 14001 Standard since 2012. Registration to the ISO14001 Standard requires annual audits by an independent audit and registration firm. PPPL has contracted with the international auditing firm DQS-UL for these services. PPPL's EMS was audited against the latest version of ISO14001 (2015) in late 2017 and received a new registration certificated in January 2018.

The Laboratory continues to promote all aspects of its ES&H program as it has in its fusion research program. Efforts are geared not only to full compliance with applicable local, state, and federal regulations, but also to achieve a level of excellence in ES&H performance. PPPL is an institution that serves other research facilities and the nation by providing valuable information gathered from its fusion research program.



Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

The DOE Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory is a Collaborative National Center for plasma and fusion science. Its primary mission is to develop the scientific understanding and the key innovations which will lead to an attractive fusion energy source. Associated missions include conducting world class research along the broad frontier of plasma science and technology, and providing the highest quality of scientific education. Our vision is “To create the innovations which will make fusion power a practical reality.

1.1 Site Mission


The U.S. Department of Energy’s Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL) is a Collaborative National Center for plasma and fusion science. Its primary mission is to develop scientific understandings and key innovations leading to an attractive fusion energy source [PPPL08a]. Related missions include conducting world-class research along the broad frontier of plasma science, providing the highest quality of scientific education and experimentation, and participating in technology transfer and science education projects/programs within the local community and nation-wide.

The National Spherical Torus Experiment Upgrade (NSTX-U) is a collaborative project among 30 U.S. laboratories, including Department of Energy National Laboratories, universities, and institutions, and 28 international institutes from 11 countries. Also located at PPPL are smaller experimental devices, the Magnetic Reconnection Experiment (MRX), the Lithium Tokamak Experiment (LTX) and Hall Thruster, which investigate plasma physics phenomena.

As a part of both off and on-site collaborative projects PPPL scientists assist fusion programs within the United States and in Europe and Asia. To further fusion science in 2017, PPPL collaborated with other fusion research laboratories across the globe on experiments including the Joint European Torus (JET) facility located in the United Kingdom, and the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor or ITER, which in Latin means “The Way,” located in Cadarache, France. PPPL's main fusion experiment, the National Spherical Torus Experiment - Upgrade project (NSTX-U), began in 2011 and was completed in May 2016. After a successful inauguration it was taken offline to perform additional renovations, the completion date is projected to be completed in the next few years.

The Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL) site is in the center of a highly urbanized Northeastern region. The closest urban centers are New Brunswick, 14 miles (22.5 km) to the northeast, and Trenton, 12 miles (19 km) to the southwest. Within a 50-mile (80 km) radius are the major urban centers of New York City, Philadelphia, and Newark (Exhibit 1-1).


Exhibit 1-1. Region Surrounding PPPL (50-mile radius shown)



Page 6

Route 1 North, PPPL has occupied the C- and D-site location of JFC since 1959 (Exhibit 1-2). The alphabet designation was derived from the names given to the Stellarator models, those early plasma fusion devices.

Exhibit 1-2. PPPL James Forrestal Campus (JFC), Plainsboro, NJ



Surrounding the site are lands of preserved and undisturbed areas including upland forest, wetlands, open grassy areas, and a minor stream, Bee Brook, which flows along PPPL's eastern boundary. These areas are designated as open space in the James Forrestal Campus (JFC) site development plan.

D-site is fully surrounded by a chain-linked fence topped with barbed wire for security purposes. Access to D-site is limited to authorized personnel through the use of card readers. PPPL's Site Protection Division controls access to C-site allowing the public and visitor access following an identification check. Vehicle inspections may occur prior to entrance.

Exhibit 1-3. Aerial View of PPPL



The aerial photo above (Exhibit 1-3) shows the general layout of the facilities at the C-sites and D-sites as viewed from the North; the former TFTR and current NSTX-U Test Cells are located at D-site (on the left side of photo).

1.3 General Environmental Setting

The climate of central New Jersey is classified as mid-latitude, rainy climate with mild winters, hot summers, and no dry season. In 2017 temperatures ranged from 6 degrees to 95 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) (-14.4° Celsius (C) to 35° C); the average departure from normal temperature (1981-2010) was plus 2.3° F (16.5° C) according to NOAA Local Climatological Data. Extreme temperatures typically occur once every five years. Approximately half the year, from late April until mid-October, the days are freeze-free [NOAA].

The typical regional climate is moderately humid with a total average precipitation about 55.4 inches evenly distributed throughout the year. In 2017, the Central New Jersey region experienced abnormally dry to severe drought conditions for more than half the year. The total rainfall for the year was 41.38 inches (105.11 cm), or 4.62 inches (11.73 cm) below average for the region.

The most recent archaeological survey was conducted in 1978 as part of the TFTR site environmental assessment study. Through historical records reviews, personal interviews, and field investigations, one projectile point and a stone cistern were found. Apparently, the site

had limited occupation during prehistoric time and has only in recent times been actively used for farming. No significant archeological resources were identified on-site. There are more significant examples of prehistoric occupation in areas closer to the Millstone River, which are within two miles of the site [Gr77].

1.4 Primary Operations and Activities

Several magnetic fusion experiments, including NSTX-U, MRX, and LTX, currently operate at PPPL. NSTX-U is the Laboratory's largest experiment and is located on D-site. The original NSTX experiment produced one million amperes of plasma current, setting a new world record for a spherical torus device. This device was designed to test the physics principles of spherical-shaped plasmas forming a sphere with a hole through its center. Plasma shaping is an important parameter for plasma stability and performance enabling viable fusion power. NSTX ceased operations in 2011 and was partially dismantled for major upgrades and renamed NSTX-U, which were finished in May of 2016. The new machine was operational for two months, until one the coils failed, when operations were ceased for repairs. NSTX-U, has twice the plasma heating power and magnetic confinement as the original experiment and will be able to extend plasma pulse duration by five times.

LTX continues to explore new paths for plasma energy efficiency and sustainability, after producing its first plasma in 2008. The primary goal of LTX is to investigate the properties of a lithium liquid coating for plasma surfaces or plasma-facing component (PFC). The previous experiment, Current Drive Experiment-Upgrade (CDX-U) held the lithium in a circular tray at the base of the vacuum vessel. The LTX liquid lithium was evaporated and deposited a thin layer inside the vacuum vessel and kept liquid by heater in the shell. LTX-B, an upgrade incorporating new beam line, is scheduled to go online in 2018.

PPPL's Magnetic Reconnection Experiment (MRX) investigates the explosive process of magnetic reconnection, giving rise to astrophysical events that include auroras, solar flares and geomagnetic storms. The process occurs when the magnetic field lines in plasmas break and violently reconnect. Generating and studying reconnection under controlled laboratory conditions can yield insights into solar outbursts and the formation of stars, and to greater control of experimental fusion reactions.

1.5 Relevant Demographic Information

Using data from the 2012-2017 American community survey, there are an estimate 17.7 million people living within a 50 mile radius of the laboratory, totaling to 2,258 people per a square mile. The 2017 US Census Bureau Statistics estimates that Middlesex County has a population of 842,798, a growth of 4.1%. Adjacent counties have populations of 374,733 (Mercer), 626,351 (Monmouth), 335,432 (Somerset), and 563,892 (Union) [US13]. Other information gathered and updated from previous studies, conducted for TFTR, include socioeconomic information [Be87b] and an ecological survey, which were studies describing pre-TFTR conditions [En87].



Chapter 2

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE SUMMARY AND STEWARDSHIP

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory's (PPPL) environmental goals are to fully comply with environmental regulations, to conduct our scientific research and operate our facilities in a manner protective of human health and the environment, and to promote sustainable practices wherever possible. In 2017, PPPL has accomplished these goals while operating within its permitted limits as documented in the following chapter. In addition, PPPL promotes good environmental practices through its Earth Day and America Recycle Day activities for its employees.

PPPL initiates actions which enhance and document compliance with these requirements. Compliance with applicable federal, state, and local environmental statutes or regulations, and Executive or DOE Orders is an important piece of PPPL's primary mission.

2.1 Laws and Regulations

Exhibit 2.1 summarizes the environmental statutes and regulations applicable to PPPL's activities, as well as summarizing the 2017 compliance status and providing the ASER sections where further details are located. The list of "Applicable Environmental Laws and Regulations – 2017 Status" conforms to PPPL's Environmental Management System (EMS) Appendix B, "Summary of Legal and Other Requirements" [PPPL18a].

2.2 Site Compliance and Environmental Management System (EMS) Assessments

In 2017, PPPL's Quality Assurance (QA) Division performed an internal environmental audit of PPPL's Environmental Management System (EMS). This audit included ensuring PPPL would meet ISO 14001:2015 new standard, follow-up of the findings and recommendations made by UL-DQS's 2016 annual surveillance audit, which is tracked through PPPL's internal QA Audit Database. In November 2017, UL-DQS, Inc. conducted the certification audit of PPPL's EMS against the International Organization for Standards (ISO) standard 14001:2015 – "Environmental Management Systems – Requirements with guidance for use" to transition from the 2004 version of ISO14001. Further discussion of the EMS program audits follow in Section 2.3 of this chapter [Cum18, ISO15, UL17].

2.3 Environmental Permits

The following Exhibit 2.1 “Applicable Environmental Laws and Regulations –2017 Status” provides information about PPPL’s compliance with applicable Federal and State environmental laws, regulation, DOE and Executive Orders.

Exhibit 2-1. Applicable Environmental Laws and Regulations – 2017 Status

Environmental Restoration and Waste Management	2017 Status	ASER section(s)
Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) provides the regulatory framework for identification, assessment, and if needed remediation of contaminated sites – either recent or inactive releases of hazardous waste. (Also see Superfund Act Reauthorization Amendments under NJ Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know)	The CERCLA inventory completed in 1993 [Dy93] warranted no further CERCLA actions. During 2017, PPPL had no involvement with CERCLA-mandated clean-up actions. An on-going New Jersey-regulated ground water remediation project is discussed in ASER Chapters 4 and 6.	4.3.1 B 6.5
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) regulates the generation, storage, treatment, and disposal of hazardous wastes. RCRA also includes underground storage tanks containing petroleum and hazardous substances, universal waste, and recyclable used oil. (NJ-delegated program)	In 2017, PPPL shipped 17.17 tons of combined hazardous, universal and TSCA waste of which 6.26 tons were recycled. The types of waste are highly variable each year; in 2017, majority of incinerated quantities came from batteries and fluorescent bulbs [San18a].	4.2.1 B 4.2.1 C
Federal Facility Compliance Act (FFCA) requires the Department of Energy (DOE) to prepare “Site Treatment Plans” for the treatment of mixed waste, which is waste containing both hazardous and radioactive components.	In 1995, PPPL prepared a Preliminary Site Treatment Plan (PSTP). PPPL does not nor does not expect to generate mixed waste in the future. An agreement among the regulators was reached to treat in the accumulation container any potential mixed waste [PPPL95].	
National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) covers how federal actions may impact the environment and an examination of alternatives to those actions	In 2017, PPPL performed NEPA reviews of 16 proposed activities, and the one NEPA review was on-hold due to project delays. Fourteen of these activities were determined to be categorical exclusions (CXs) in accordance with the regulations/guidelines of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ), and two were sent to DOE and approved for NSTX-U Recovery and FLIT [Str18].	
Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA) governs the manufacture, use, and distribution of regulated chemicals listed.	In 2017, PPPL shipped 1,460 pounds of PCB TSCA Hazardous Substances, contaminated soil from the C-site MG building renovations. Asbestos shipments in 2017 totaled 40 cubic yards [San18a].	4.2.1A
Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) regulate the user and application of insecticides, fungicides, and rodenticides. (NJ-delegated program)	PPPL used limited quantities of pesticides/insecticides, herbicides, and fertilizers. A certified subcontractor performs the application under the direction of PPPL’s Facilities personnel [Kin18b].	Exhibit 4- 11 4.5.3

Exhibit 21. Applicable Environmental Laws and Regulations – 2017 Status (continued)

Other Environmental Statutes	2017 Status	ASER section(s)
Oil Pollution Prevention provides the regulatory requirements for a Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan for petroleum containing storage tanks and equipment.	The SPCC plan was reviewed and updated in 2016 [PPPL16]. PPPL does not meet the threshold quantity of 200,000 gallons of petroleum (excluding transformer oil) for the requirements of a Discharge Prevention Control and Containment (DPCC) plan. PPPL experienced one reportable spill in 2017 [San18b].	4.3.1
National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and New Jersey Register of Historic Places protect the nation and New Jersey's historical resources through a comprehensive historic preservation policy.	Due to the location of the pump house next to the Delaware & Raritan Canal, the Canal and the area within 100 yards are listed on both the federal and state register of historic sites [PPPL05].	
EO 11988 Floodplain Management Programs covers the delineation of the 100- and 500-year floodplain and prevention of development within the floodplain zones. (NJ-delegated program)	The 100- and 500-year floodplains are at 80 and 85 feet above mean sea level (msl), respectively. The majority of the PPPL site is located at 100 ft. above msl; only HAZMAT building is in the flood hazard zone, but is protected by dikes [NJDEP84].	
EO 11990 Protection of Wetlands; Wetlands Protection Act governs the activities that are allowable through the permitting system and mitigation requirements. (NJ-delegated program)	In 2015, PPPL and Princeton Forrestal Center received the wetlands delineation from NJDEP. Any regulated activities either in the wetlands or transition areas must receive approve prior to commencement [PPPL15k]. No new wetlands or transition area permits were required.	4.5.1
<p>Clean Air Act (CAA) and New Jersey Air Pollution Control Act controls the release of air pollutants through permit and air quality limits and conditions.</p> <p>National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs)</p> <p>USEPA regulates the NESHAPs program for tritium (an airborne radionuclide) and boilers (<10 million BTUs). Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions inventory tracking and reporting are regulated by EPA.</p>	PPPL-DOE maintain air certificates/permits for the regulated equipment: 4 boilers, 3 emergency/standby generators, 2 dust collectors and a fluorescent bulb crusher. Two above-ground storage tank permits (< 10,000 gals. fuel oil) were canceled per NJDEP recommendation during an audit. PPPL is designated as a synthetic minor and does not exceed any air contaminant thresholds requiring a Title V permit. Submitted Subpart JJJJJJ Notification to EPA - biennial boiler adjustment. Annual 2017 boiler adjustment results submitted to NJDEP as required by the permit. Fuel consumption sulfur content for the generators and boilers are recorded; annual boiler emissions calculated [Nem18]. NESHAPs report for tritium emissions are submitted annually [PPPL17b]. PPPL maintains an inventory for ozone-depleting substances (ODS) and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions [Sul18c].	4.4

Exhibit 2-1. Applicable Environmental Laws and Regulations – 2017 Status (continued)

Other Environmental Statutes	2017 Status	ASER section(s)
NJ Soil Erosion and Sediment Control (SESC) Plan requires an approval by the Freehold Soil Conservation District for any soil disturbance greater than 5,000 sq. feet.	In CY 2017, PPPL obtained a soil erosion permit for the demolition of Modular Building #6, which is part of a major infrastructure project (IOI Project) [PPPL17d].	4.5.2
NJ Comprehensive Regulated Medical Waste Management governs the proper disposal of medical wastes.	Last report was submitted to NJDEP in 2004. PPP is no longer required to submit report, but continues to comply with proper disposal of all medical wastes [San18a].	
NJ Endangered Species Act prohibits activities that may harm the existence of listed threatened or endangered species.	No endangered species reported on PPPL or D&R Canal pump house sites. Cooper’s hawks and Bald eagles have been sited within 1 mile [Am98, NJB97, NJDEP97, PPPL05].	
NJ Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA) and Superfund Amendment Reauthorization Act (SARA Title III) , requires for certain toxic chemicals emergency planning information, hazardous chemical inventories, and the reporting of environmental releases to federal, state, and local authorities.	PPPL-DOE submitted annual chemical inventory reports [Sla18].	4.3.1 C <i>Exhibit 4-7</i> <i>Exhibit 4-8</i>
NJ Regulations Governing Laboratory Certification and Environmental Measurements mandate that all required water analyses be performed by certified laboratories.	The PPPL Environmental, Analytical, and Radiological Laboratory (PEARL) continued analyze immediately parameters; PPPL received acceptable for all performance tests for pH, total residual chlorine (Chlorine-produced oxidants- CPO) and temperature. PPPL subcontractor analytical laboratory is a NJDEP certified laboratory [PPPL17e].	7

Exhibit 2-1. Applicable Environmental Laws and Regulations – 2017 Status (continued)


Water Quality and Protection	2017 Status	ASER section(s)
NJ Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) protects the public water supply by criteria standards and monitoring requirements.	PPPL conducts quarterly inspections of the potable water physical; cross connection system as required by the NJDEP permit. Potable water is supplied by NJ American Water Company [Mor18].	4.1.4 A <i>Exhibit 4-4</i>
Stormwater Management and the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA) & Delaware & Raritan Canal Commission Regulations (Stormwater Water Quality)	PPPL's Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) was revised in 2015 providing guidance to reduce the impact of PPPL's operations on storm water quality [PPPL15e]. PPPL maintains stormwater best management practices structures such as raingardens, grassed swales, vegetated cover and retention basin.	
Clean Water Act (CWA) and NJ Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES) regulates surface and groundwater (lined surface impoundment, LSI) quality by permit requirements and monitoring point source discharges.	In 2013, PPPL-DOE received from NJDEP the final NJPDES surface water discharge permit [NJDEP13a, PPPL13e]. For 2017, PPPL reported no permit limits exceeded at DSN001, the basin outfall and at DSN003, the D&R Canal pump house backwash filter outfall. PPPL is transitioning to Peracetic Acid (PAA) as a substitute for chlorine disinfection for industrial water [Sul18c].	4.1.1 <i>Exhibits 4-1, 4-2, 4-3 and 4-5</i>

Exhibit 2-1. Applicable Environmental Laws and Regulations – 2017 Status (continued)

Regulatory Program Description	2017 Status	ASER section(s)
<p>NJ Technical Standards for Site Remediation governs the soil/ground water assessments, remedial investigations, and clean-up actions for sites suspected of hazardous substance contamination.</p>	<p>PPPL began investigation the presence of chlorinated solvent chemicals in ground water in 1990. Over time, more than 20 monitoring wells were installed on-site to determine contamination source and extent of the plume. Quarterly sampling of 9 wells and 1 sump is collected, and annual sampling of 12 wells, 2 sumps and 1 surface water site is collected in March with the results reported biennially to NJDEP under a Ground Water Remedial Action Permit.</p>	<p>6.5</p>
<p>Executive Order (E.O.) 11988 – Floodplain Management & E.O. 11990 – Protection of Wetlands</p>	<p>See Floodplain Management Program (NJ delegated program) & Wetlands Protection Act (NJ delegated program)</p>	
<p>Migratory Bird Treaty Act DOE’s 2013 Memorandum of Understanding and E.O. 13186, Responsibilities of Federal Agencies to Protect Migratory Birds states that actions are taken to protect migratory birds and conduct community outreach.</p>	<p>In 2017, PPPL took no migratory birds nor conducted any programs or actions that call for activities such as banding, marking, or scientific collection, taxidermy and/or depredation control.</p>	
<p>DOE Order 231.1B, <i>Environment, Safety, and Health Reporting</i>, requires the timely collection, analysis, reporting, and distribution of information in ES&H issues.</p>	<p>PPPL ES&H Department monitors/reports on environmental, safety and health data and distributes the information <i>via</i> lab-wide e-mails, PPPL news articles, at weekly Laboratory Management, DOE-Site Office, and staff meetings and at periodic ES&H Executive Board/sub-committees/Lab-wide meetings [DOE11c]. PPPL’s Annual Site Environmental Report (ASER) is required by this order.</p>	<p>.</p>
<p>DOE Order 436.1, <i>Departmental Sustainability</i>, requires all applicable DOE elements to implement an ISO14001-compliant Environmental Management System and support departmental sustainability goals.</p>	<p>PPPL’s Environmental Management System (EMS) was originally developed in 2005 and is reviewed and updated periodically [DOE11a, PPPL16b, & 16c]. PPPL’s EMS is registered to the ISO14001:2015 standard by an independent registrar (UL-DQS) based on annual audits [UL18].</p>	<p>3</p>

Exhibit 2-1. Applicable Environmental Laws and Regulations – 2017 Status (continued)

Radiation Protection	2017 Status	ASER section(s)
DOE Order 435.1, Change 1, <i>Radioactive Waste Management</i> , provides guidance to ensure that DOE radioactive waste is properly managed to protect workers, the public and the environment.	PPPL maintains a new Low-Level Radioactive Waste Program Basis document to meet the requirements of DOE Order 435.1 and enable shipments to the Energy Solutions disposal facility in Clive, UT. Approval was granted by DOE in July 2012. [DOE01, PPPL12].	5.1.3
DOE Order 458.1, <i>Radiation Protection</i> , provides protection of the public and the environment from exposure to radiation from any DOE facility. Operations and its contractors comply with standards and requirements in this Order.	PPPL's policy is to maintain all radiation exposures "As Low as Reasonably Achievable" (ALARA). PPPL implements its radiation protection program as discussed in the Environmental Monitoring Plan Section 6, "Radiological Monitoring Plan." PPPL's contribution to radiation exposure is well below the DOE and PPPL limits [10CFR835, DOE01, DOE11b, PPPL13]	5.1 <i>Exhibit 5-1</i>
Atomic Energy Act (AEA) governs plans for the control of radioactive materials	PPPL's "Nuclear Materials Control and Accountability (MC&A) Plan" describes the control and accountability system of nuclear material at PPPL. This plan provides a system of checks and balances to prevent/detect unauthorized use or removal of nuclear material from PPPL [PPPL13].	5.2
Executive Order (EO) 13693, <i>Planning for Federal Sustainability in the Next Decade</i> requires all federal agencies maintain Federal leadership in sustainability and greenhouse gas emission reductions, work to build a clean energy economy, promote building energy conservation, efficiency, and management ,promote use of energy from renewable sources, conserve water, improve waste minimization, purchase sustainable products, implement an environmental management system .	PPPL reported through DOE Dashboard the FY2017 site sustainable data that addressed the goals, targets and status of EO 13693 requirements [EO16, PPPL16c & PPPL17c].	3



2.4 External Oversight and Assessments

In 2016, the International Organization for Standards (ISO) revised the Environmental Management System Standard as stated on their web site:

ISO 14001:2015 helps an organization achieve the intended outcomes of its environmental management system, which provide value for the environment, the organization itself and interested parties. Consistent with the organization's environmental policy, the intended outcomes of an environmental management system include:

- *enhancement of environmental performance;*
- *fulfilment of compliance obligations;*
- *achievement of environmental objectives. [ISO15]*

In November 2017, the Laboratory's EMS program underwent a comprehensive audit for re-certification issued by DQS-UL for the new ISO-14001 Certificate on January 4, 2018. In January 2017, PPPL's EMS program was audited its annual audit/assessment by a third party [UL17]. The specifics of PPPL's EMS program are detailed in Chapter 3.

2.5 Emergency Reporting of Spills and Releases

Under New Jersey regulations, PPPL is required to call the Action Hotline to report any permit limits that are exceeded. One release of petroleum hydrocarbons on pervious surfaces required notification to New Jersey's Action Hotline during 2017. Hydraulic fuel line failed on asphalt which ran onto the adjacent dirt. Spill was immediately cleaned and impacted soil and gravel were excavated [San18b].

2.6 Notice of Violations and Penalties

PPPL received a warning letter from NJDEP Division of Air Enforcement from an inspection audit. PPPL's emergency generators had not noted the startup time, rather the operating time in minutes. PPPL issued corrective actions and closed out this audit finding.

There were no penalties or Notices of Violations (NOVs) for environmental occurrences at PPPL during 2017 [Sul18c].

2.7 Green and Sustainable Remediation (GSR)

The requirements of E.O. 13693 and DOE's Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan incorporate green and sustainable remediation practices. [EO16, DOE16] Currently, PPPL's remediation program is monitoring ground and surface water for contaminants and does not include treatment or remediation actions (See Ch. 4 and 6).

2.8 Adapting to Climate Change

As a relatively small facility in a temperate climate, PPPL is prepared for local weather events addressed in the DOE vulnerability assessment survey. On-site and nearby severe weather events/risks are identified, and the emergency planning and communication processes adapted to be better prepared and able to respond. [PPPL16c]

2.9 Environmental Stewardship

During the third annual Earth Week site-wide clean-up, twenty plus employees took two-hours from their normal tasks to improve the PPPL's environment. Four teams patrolled the grounds by removing recyclables and trash that had escaped the dumpsters. In all, 395 pounds of trash, and recyclable or compostable material were collected from the site.

Celebrating Earth Day at PPPL, on April 18, PPPL employees and members of the public viewed displays on sustainable renovations and projects; vendor participants were Mercer County Improvement Authority, and PPPL's subcontractors for landscaping, office and janitorial supply, cafeteria, sustainable furniture supply, and electronic waste removal companies. PPPL employees recycled 1850 lbs. of electronics from their homes. PPPL also donated over 1050 pounds of gently used clothing to a local nonprofit Trenton Rescue Mission [Kin18a].

In 2017, PPPL continued May "Bike to Work" challenge which encouraged employees to bike to the laboratory to help reduce the carbon footprint.

Exhibit 2-2. Earth Week 2017



Exhibit 2-3. Bike Banner Promoting Cycling



Each year, employees nominate their co-workers for their exceptional efforts to minimize waste, improve energy efficiency, and promote sustainable practices at PPPL. Nominated employees received the 2017 PPPL Green Machine Awards for the following projects:

Car Pooling

Several employees received Green Machine awards for saving energy (and money) by carpooling to work every day. The carpools not only reduce energy consumption, they also reduce air pollution and congestion on the roads. Plus, it saves money and reduces stress for the participants. Together, they saved 132,750 miles, or 5,624 gallons of gasoline.

ES&H Carpool

Rick Horner, Keith Rule, Neil Gerrish and Penny Horner

Richard Horner is the primary driver of a group that has car pooled for more than six years from Mount Holly and Bordentown, New Jersey. Horner drives 80 miles a day. The group saves 240 miles a day or about 54,000 miles and 2,288 gallons of gasoline a year.

Facility and Site Services

Tom Ward, Mike Barowsky, Tim Conwell, Mark Snyder, Jeff Bennett and Sean Rogers

Tom Ward, the primary driver, has been carpooling with a group of five other coworkers for more than six years from Levittown, Pennsylvania. The group drives about 50 miles a day round-trip from Pennsylvania, saving 250 miles a day and 56,250 miles and 2,383 gallons of gasoline per year.

Electrical Engineering Power Branch

Dick DeBonis and David Miller

DeBonis and Miller have been carpooling for the past 16 years from Easton, Pennsylvania, saving 100 miles a day, 22,500 miles and 953 gallons of gasoline per year for a grand total of 360,000 miles and some around 15,000 gallons of gasoline during that time.

Recycling

Many employees at PPPL were recognized for their efforts supporting PPPL's sustainability program through recycling.

Maintenance

Nelson Neal

Nelson Neal was recognized for the extra efforts he makes with recycling, cleaning and keeping PPPL safe. Neal can often be seen separating recycling and compostable items from the trash in the cafeteria.

IOI Project Group

Steve Langish, Les Hill, Kate Morrison, (PPPL), Frank Karam & Sam Rozycki (Princeton University)

This group achieved a high recycling rate by recycling most of the demolition and construction debris from the IOI project, reducing the amount of waste going to the landfill. Their total recycling rate for January alone, for example, was 94 percent.

Facilities

Steve Green, Calvin Armstrong, Nelson Neal, Debra Anderson, Stanley Reece, Margaret Boateng, Andrew DeCaro and Michael Consulmagno

This group plays a crucial role in Food Waste disposal and recycling lab-wide by diligently sorting through plastics and silverware from the food bins and mixed trash in the single stream. They contributed to PPPL being honored with a Food Recovery Challenge Award from the EPA and to PPPL's recycling rate of 68 percent in 2016.

2.9.1 Earth Day2017

The 2017 Earth Day celebration included a screening of the movie *Anthropocene*. The video explores whether the planet has entered another geological era in which humans are causing permanent damage to the Earth. In the afternoon, Alan Robock, of the Department of

Environmental Sciences at Rutgers University, discussed potential effects of nuclear war on climate and agriculture in a special Earth Day colloquium.

Exhibit 2-4. Earth Week's Green Machine Recipients



2.9.2 American Recycles Day at PPPL

Each year PPPL celebrated America Recycles Day (ARD, officially November 15th). In 2017, PPPL's Green Team, volunteers who promote recycling within their Departments, gave out "Get Green-Handed" awards to those who correctly composted or carried reusable bags/dishes for their lunch. The Recycled Art Contest inspired the creative talents of employees, who selected the winners – Winners of the America Recycles Day Art Contest included "Shipping Fashion Design," second prize for "Reclaimed Pallet Wood American Flag," third place for her "Ship in a Bottle," and fourth place for his "Neck Tie & Handkerchief with Paper Clip."

Exhibit 2-5. America Recycles Day



ARD activities included employee electronics recycling 2,095 pounds, sign-up pledges to recycle more and 126 pounds Terracycle boxes for recycling miscellaneous items.

2.9.3 Environmental Awards

PPPL was awarded three environmental awards in 2017 including:

1. EPEAT Purchaser Award Gold
2. EPA WasteWise Partner Award
3. FY2016 DOE Green Buy Award, Gold

Exhibit 2-6. 2017 EPEAT Gold Award



PPPL Voluntary Memberships

EPEAT



NJDEP Environmental Stewardship



US EPA Waste Wise, NJ Waste Wise



US EPA Federal Green Challenge



US EPA Food Recovery Challenge



US DOE Greenbuy



ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The DOE Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory's Environmental Management System (EMS) program was certified ISO:14001 compliant first in 2011 and was recertified in 2014. PPPL registered to the new ISO 14001:2015 standard in 2017. Each year PPPL's EMS is audited by an independent auditing firm and certified to the ISO:14001 standards. PPPL's EMS program is accessible online for employees and the general public to view.

PPPL has made steady and significant progress toward the sustainability goals established by Presidential Executive Orders and DOE Order 436.1 by integrating sustainability goals into its site-wide Environmental Management System (EMS). Since 2005, PPPL has focused on improving the sustainability of Laboratory operations and improving environmental performance. "Sustainable PPPL" is a program that capitalizes on PPPL's existing EMS to move the Laboratory toward more sustainable operations. The EMS includes energy management, water conservation, renewable energy, greenhouse gas management, waste minimization, environmentally preferable purchasing, and facility operation programs to reduce environmental impacts and improve performance [PPPL15a]. PPPL will continue to proactively implement sustainability practices aimed at meeting, or exceeding, the sustainability goals in its EMS, DOE Orders, and Executive Orders [EO15].

The audit of PPPL's Environmental Management System against the International Standard Organization ISO-14001:2015 was completed in November 2017. Five minor non-conformances were identified and corrective actions were developed to address them. In addition, the independent audit team identified seven opportunities for improvement and eight best practices during the audit.

3.1 DOE Sustainability Goals


In 2017, PPPL continued to address the sustainability and greenhouse gas management goals of EO 13514, *Planning for Federal Sustainability in the Next Decade* during transition to the new EO 13834, *Efficient Federal Operations*. PPPL completed its annual sustainability reporting for FY17, which summarized progress and outlined future plans for meeting the departmental sustainability goals under previous EO13693, and submitted the *DOE Sustainability Dashboard*

Report instead of the annual *Site Sustainability Plan* detailing our energy and environmental performance [PPPL17h].

3.1.1 Energy Efficiency

In 2017, PPPL achieved a reduction of 42.1% in energy intensity (British Thermal Units per gross square feet, BTU/gsf) for non-experimental energy use compared to the 2003 baseline year (see Exhibit 3-1). This value represents a modest decrease from 2014, but was higher than in 2015 and 2016. Construction-related energy use to support the IOI infrastructure project was a significant factor in this increase. PPPL's non-experimental buildings still use less than one-half of the energy consumed in 2003. This was achieved through building automation, energy conservation measures, and equipment upgrades.

Exhibit 3-1. Annual Non-Experimental Energy Intensity in BTU/gsf
(Red line represents annual Federal energy efficiency goal)



PPPL continues to emphasize energy management as part of its facility operations and to leverage the success in non-experimental energy management to improve experimental efficiency. For example, PPPL continues to carefully manage its central steam and chilled water plant to maximize efficiency and minimize greenhouse gas emissions. PPPL has standardized on high-efficiency light-emitting diode (LED) lighting for all office renovations and continues to evaluate and implement other energy efficiency projects.

3.1.2 Renewable Energy


PPPL and DOE-PSO have pursued various on-site renewable energy generation projects for as much as 40% of non-experimental energy use over a number of years. The Energy Savings Performance Contract (ESPC) proposal received in FY08 was not successful due to the need for

significant up-front investment by DOE. PSO and PPPL then pursued a long-term Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) through the Defense Energy Supply Center (DESC). After more than a year of bidding and negotiations, DESC, PSO, PPPL and the vendor were unable to develop a financially viable project. PPPL and PSO are currently reviewing proposals for a new ESPC to address energy, water and legacy maintenance opportunities at the Laboratory. PPPL will continue to purchase renewable energy credits (RECs) to meet its renewable energy commitments and will pursue cost-effective renewable energy project opportunities within the context of the DOE Office of Science's portfolio approach to the departmental sustainability goals. PPPL purchased 3,000 MWh Renewable Energy Credits to offset 7.6% of total electrical energy used in FY2017.

3.1.3 Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Between 2008 and 2017, PPPL reduced its Scope 1 and 2 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 70.2%. This significant reduction in GHG emissions is largely due to the focused efforts to control fugitive losses of sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) and reduced emissions from on-site combustion of fuel through improved boiler operations, boiler control upgrade projects and the use of natural gas as the primary fuel over fuel oil. Sulfur hexafluoride is a potent GHG that is a highly effective high voltage insulator (see Exhibit 3-2). The peak in GHG emissions seen in 2016 was caused by fugitive SF₆ emissions during NSTX-U experimental power system commissioning and start-up operations.

Exhibit 3-2. Summary of PPPL Scope 1 & 2 GHG Emissions between 2008 and 2017 (mtCO₂e)




3.1.4 Fleet Management

In 2017, PPPL's fleet petroleum fuel use was 72.9% below 2005 baseline levels (see Exhibit 3-3) far exceeding the 20% Federal goal. PPPL continues to exceed the goal for 75% acquisition of

alternative fuel vehicle (AFV) for light duty vehicles. PPPL specifies only AFVs as replacement lease vehicles through the GSA whenever a suitable AFV is available. PPPL's fleet includes gasoline-electric hybrid vehicles, alternative fuel vehicles - Ethanol 85% (E-85) or biodiesel 20% (B20) - and petroleum-fueled (gasoline & diesel) vehicles. In addition to the use of alternative fuels in its covered fleet vehicles, PPPL uses B20 in several pieces of heavy-mobile equipment, including a 15-ton forklift, backhoe, skid steer loader, and various utility vehicles run primarily on B20.


Exhibit 3-3. Annual Non-Exempt Fleet Petroleum Fuel Use between 2005 and 2017



3.1.5 Water Efficiency

PPPL has made significant progress in reducing its use of both potable and non-potable water in recent years achieving an overall water use reduction of approximately 42.5% between 2007 and 2017 and its water intensity, measured in gallons per square foot of building space annually (see Exhibit 3-4). PPPL currently uses less than 4 gallons of potable water per square foot of building space annually, a reduction of approximately 44% since 2007. The Laboratory also continues to pursue water conservation pilot projects and to identify new opportunities for water conservation. Given the reductions already achieved additional savings may be incremental over a number of years, as the largest water efficiency opportunities have likely already been addressed.

Exhibit 3-4. PPPL Potable Water Intensity



3.2 Energy Efficient “Green” Buildings

The Lyman Spitzer Building (LSB), PPPL’s main office building was awarded LEED®-Gold certification by the U.S. Green Building Council in April 2011 for meeting the rigorous Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design – Existing Buildings Operations & Maintenance (LEED®-EBOM) standard. The LSB represents approximately 16% of the current building space and certification of this building to the LEED®-EBOM standard is a major step toward the goal of having at least 15% of buildings meeting the Guiding Principles for High Performance and Sustainable Buildings.

PPPL will prioritize infrastructure projects on those buildings identified with the greatest potential for meeting the Guiding Principles to meet the 15% goal, with a long-term objective of 100% HPSB buildings. ENERGYSTAR® Portfolio Manager is used to document progress in meeting these goals. Renovations or other building improvements required to meet the Guiding Principles will be incorporated into PPPL’s OPEX and GPP planning process for inclusion in out-year plans. Five buildings have been identified for evaluation and three are targeted for upgrades to meet the Guiding Principles by FY2017. PPPL’s campus improvement project (“IOI Project”) includes improvements to building energy efficiency and other elements consistent with the Guiding Principles. A tabular summary of PPPL’s performance against the comprehensive sustainability goals of EO 13693 and the applicable DOE Orders is presented in Exhibit 3-6.

3.3 Sustainability Awards

PPPL has demonstrated its commitment to sustainability through its mature environmental stewardship program. PPPL is often consulted by DOE Laboratories and other organizations for advice and experience in sustainable environmental performance. PPPL was recognized by the Green Purchasing Council with a 3-Star EPEAT Purchaser Award for its strong commitment to the purchasing of EPEAT-certified electronics. In addition, PPPL is regularly recognized by EPA's WasteWise program for its sustained waste diversion and recycling efforts and by DOE's GreenBuy program for its commitment to environmentally preferable purchasing.

Exhibit 3-5. 2017 DOE Sustainability Goal Summary Table for PPPL

SSPP Goal #	DOE Goal	Performance Status through FY2017	Planned Actions & Contribution	Risk of Non-attainment
Goal 1: Greenhouse Gas Reduction				
1.1	50% Scope 1 & 2 GHG reduction by FY 2025 from a FY 2008 baseline (2017 target: 23%)	EXCEEDED Scope 1 & 2 GHGs down 83% in FY17	Renewed emphasis on SF6 management and overall energy efficiency	Low to Moderate
1.2	25% Scope 3 GHG reduction by FY 2025 from a FY 2008 baseline (2017 target: 10%)	IN PROGRESS Scope 3 GHGs down 2.5% in FY17.	Focus on telework and employee commuting; continue to emphasize energy efficiency and business travel.	Moderate. Ongoing international research emphasis
Goal 2: Sustainable Buildings				
2.1	25% energy intensity (Btu per gross square foot) reduction in goal-subject buildings, achieving 2.5% reductions annually, by FY 2025 from a FY 2015 baseline	IN PROGRESS temporary buildings & IOI Project increased annual energy use	Continue to focus on energy efficiency and building energy performance	Moderate. Limited funding available for ECMs
2.2	EISA Section 432 energy and water evaluations	MET	25% of buildings will be evaluated in 2016	NA
2.3	Meter all individual buildings for electricity, natural gas, steam and water, where cost-effective and appropriate	IN PROGRESS	Additional sub-metering as cost-effective and programmatically appropriate	Moderate. Current utility configuration doesn't allow building-level metering.
2.4	At least 15% (by building count or gross square feet) of existing buildings greater than 5,000 gross square feet (GSF) are compliant with the Guiding Principles (GPs) of HPSB by FY 2025, with progress to 100% thereafter	MET (building footprint) IN PROGRESS (building count)	Plan to continue HPSB Guiding Principles on additional facilities in conjunction with facility repairs & renovations.	Moderate. LEED-Gold certification of LSB due to renewal. Limited funding available for ECMs
2.5	Efforts to increase regional and local planning coordination and involvement	MET	Continue to coordinate with Princeton Forrestal Center.	NA

Exhibit 3-5. 2017 DOE Sustainability Goal Summary Table for PPPL

SSPP Goal #	DOE Goal	Performance Status through FY2017	Planned Actions & Contribution	Risk of Non-attainment
2.6a	Net Zero Buildings: 1% of the site's existing buildings above 5,000 gsf intended to be energy, waste, or water net-zero buildings by FY 2025.	IN PROGRESS	Evaluating existing buildings to identify opportunities and strategies to address this goal	High. Critical infrastructure needs may not allow sufficient funds in the near term.
2.6b	Net Zero Buildings: All new building entering planning process designed to achieve energy net-zero beginning in FY2020	IN PROGRESS	Evaluating existing buildings to identify opportunities and strategies to address this goal	High. Critical infrastructure needs may not allow sufficient funds in the near term.
Goal 3: Clean & Renewable Energy				
3.1	"Clean Energy" requires that the percentage of an agency's total electric and thermal energy accounted for by renewable and alternative energy shall be not less than: 10% in FY 2016-2017, working towards 25% by FY 2025	New Goal ON TARGET	Continue purchasing RECs and evaluate ESPC/PPA opportunities	Moderate.
3.2	"Renewable Electric Energy" requires that renewable electric energy account for not less than 10% of a total agency electricity consumption in FY16-17, working towards 30% of total agency electricity consumption by FY 2025	MET Purchased RECs to meet goal.	Continue purchasing RECs and evaluate ESPC/PPA opportunities	NA
Goal 4: Water Use Efficiency and Management				
4.1	36% potable water intensity (Gal per gross square foot) reduction by FY 2025 from a FY 2007 baseline	EXCEEDED FY15 goal MET new goal	Continue to identify water conservation opportunities. Maintain previous savings.	NA
4.2	30% water consumption (Gal) reduction of industrial, landscaping, and agricultural (ILA) water by FY 2025 from a FY 2010 baseline	IN PROGRESS Exceeded goal for previous 4 years. FY15 water use exceeded goal level	Mission-critical operational use of industrial water caused FY15 increase. Will continue to seek and maximize opportunities to reduce industrial water use.	Moderate. Additional water conservation opportunities are limited.

Exhibit 3-5. 2017 DOE Sustainability Goal Summary Table for PPPL

SSPP Goal #	DOE Goal	Performance Status through FY2017	Planned Actions & Contribution	Risk of Non-attainment
Goal 5: Fleet Management				
5.1	20% reduction in annual petroleum consumption by FY2015 relative to a FY05 baseline; maintain 20% reduction thereafter.	EXCEEDED	Continue to manage fleet composition and emphasize alternative fuel use.	NA
5.2	10% increase in annual alternative fuel consumption by FY15 relative to a FY05 baseline; maintain 10% increase thereafter	EXCEEDED	Continue to manage fleet composition and emphasize alternative fuel use.	NA
5.3	30% reduction in fleet-wide per-mile greenhouse gas emissions reduction by FY 2025 from a FY14 baseline	IN PROGRESS New Goal.	Continue to manage fleet composition and emphasize alternative fuel use.	Low.
5.4	75% of light duty vehicle acquisitions must consist of alternative fuel vehicles (AFV)	MET	Continue to emphasize AFV and alternative fuel use.	NA
5.5	50% of passenger vehicle acquisitions consist of zero emission or plug-in hybrid electric vehicles by FY 2025	New Goal	Work with GSA to identify opportunities in vehicle lease program. Limited number of passenger vehicles.	NA
Goal 6: Sustainable Acquisition				
6.1	Promote sustainable acquisition and procurement to the maximum extent practicable, ensuring Bio Preferred and bio-based provisions and clauses are included in 95% of applicable contracts	MET	Continue to integrate sustainable acquisition information into applicable contracting documents.	NA
Goal 7: Pollution Prevention & Waste Reduction				
7.1	Divert at least 50% of non-hazardous solid waste, excluding construction and demolition debris	EXCEEDED FY17 MSW recycling rate was 70.1%	Continue existing programs and expand as appropriate.	NA
7.2	Divert at least 50% of construction and demolition materials and debris	EXCEEDED FY17 C&D recycling rate was 85.6%	Continue existing programs and expand as appropriate.	NA
Goal 8: Energy Performance Contracts				
8.1	Annual targets for performance contracting to be implemented in	ON TARGET	Currently investigation new	NA

Exhibit 3-5. 2017 DOE Sustainability Goal Summary Table for PPPL

SSPP Goal #	DOE Goal	Performance Status through FY2017	Planned Actions & Contribution	Risk of Non-attainment
	FY 2017 and annually thereafter as part of the planning of section		ESPC/PPA opportunities.	
Goal 9: Electronic Stewardship				
9.1	Purchases – 95% of eligible acquisitions each year are EPEAT-registered products	EXCEEDED	EPEAT products specified as default	NA
9.2	Power management – 100% of eligible PCs, laptops, and monitors have power management enabled	IN PROGRESS for desktops & laptops MET for monitors	Plans to procure power management software for Macs.	Moderate.
9.3	Automatic duplexing – 100% of eligible computers and imaging equipment have automatic duplexing enabled	IN PROGRESS	Specify purchase of duplex-capable networked printers as older units are retired.	Moderate. Dependent on operational funds for replacement & upgrades.
9.4	End of Life – 100% of used electronics are reused or recycled using environmentally sound disposition options each year	MET	Continue to re-use electronic assets internally & recycle through UNICOR	NA
Goal 10: Climate Change Resilience				
10.1	Update policies to incentivize planning for, and addressing the impacts of climate change.	DOE GHG and climate adaptation goals are integrated into lab-wide ISO-14001 certified environmental management system (EMS).		
10.2	Update emergency response procedures/protocols for climate change, including extreme weather events.	Existing Emergency Plan includes various weather-related emergencies. Plan is tested and exercised during major weather events.		
10.3	Ensure workforce protocols and policies reflect projected human health and safety impacts of climate change.	Existing ES&H directives address weather-related risks. Continue to revise and update ES&H directives as necessary.		
10.4	Ensure site/lab management demonstrates commitment to adaptation efforts through internal communications/policies.	DOE GHG and climate adaptation goals are integrated into lab-wide ISO-14001 certified environmental management system (EMS).		
10.5	Ensure that site/lab climate adaptation and resilience policies and programs reflect best available current climate change science, updated as necessary.	Continue to participate in state and regional climate change initiatives. Monitor developments in climate science and update policies and programs as necessary. ✱		

ENVIRONMENTAL NON-RADIOLOGICAL PROGRAM INFORMATION

The DOE Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory's Environmental Non-Radiological program includes information about PPPL's compliance with New Jersey state environmental rules, regulations and the associated permit requirements. Surface, ground, potable, non-potable water, sanitary and stormwater, air emissions, hazardous materials and waste, and land use, pollution prevention are included in this chapter .

The following sections briefly describe PPPL's environmental programs required by federal, state, or local agencies as well as with Executive and DOE orders. These programs were developed to comply with the environmental regulations governing PPPL's operations.

4.1 Non-Radiological Water Programs: Environmental Monitoring

4.1.1 New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES) Program

A. Monthly Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMR)

In compliance with permit requirements of the New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES) permit, NJ0023922, PPPL and DOE-PSO submitted to NJDEP monthly discharge monitoring reports (DMRs) for Discharge Serial Number (DSN)—DSN001, retention basin outfall, and DSN003, Delaware & Raritan (D&R) Canal pump house filter backwash discharge. See Appendix Tables 16 & 17 for data.

In 2013, PPPL received the final NJPDES permit with the effective date of October 1, 2013. In February of 2008 NJDEP issued a *Final Surface Water Minor Modification Permit Action* report. Key changes to the permit included eliminating loading requirements and quarterly monitoring for DSN001, additional annual and semi-annual Waste Characterization Reports from DSN001 and DSN003 as well as addition annual [NJDEP13a].

Changes to PPPL's reporting requirements are noted in Exhibit 4-2. Under the current NJPDES permit, effective October 2013, PPPL is required to provide an annual WCR for both DSN001 and DSN003. DSN001 also requires addition semiannual WCR reporting for particular metals and semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOC). DSN003 is still required to complete a full WCR once per permit cycle [PPPL17g, 17i].

For CY2017, PPPL NJPDES compliance summary is presented in Exhibit 4-1 below. All permit exceedance were reported to NJDEP within the allowable time frame.

Exhibit 4-1. 2017 NJPDES Permitted Compliance NJPDES permit NJ0023922

Outfall DSN001							
Parameter ⁽¹⁾	Frequency	Permit Limit	# Permit Exce- dance	# Samples Taken ⁽⁴⁾	# Compliant Samples	Percent Compliance	Dates Exceeded
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), mg/L	Monthly	50.0	0	16	16	100%	-
Chlorine Produced Oxidants (CPO),mg/L	Monthly	0.1	0	24	24	100%	-
Flow, MGD	Monthly	-	0	12	12	100%	-
Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPHC), mg/L	Monthly	10.0 Avg 15.0 Max	0	16	16	100%	--
pH, S. U.	Monthly	>6.0; <9.0	0	24	24	100%	-
Phosphorus, total mg/L ⁽²⁾	Monthly	-	0	16	16	100%	-
Temperature ° C	Monthly	30.0	0	24	24	100%	-
Tetrachloroethylene (PCE), µg/L ⁽³⁾	Monthly	0.703	0	16	16	100%	-
Total Suspended Solids (TSS), mg/L	Monthly	50.0	0	16	16	100%	-
Outfall DSN003							
Chlorine Produced Oxidants (CPO),mg/L	Monthly	>0.1	0	15	15	100%	-
Flow, GPD	Monthly	-	0	12	12	100%	-
Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPHC), mg/L	Monthly	10.0 Avg 15.0 Max	0	12	12	100%	-
pH, S. U.	Monthly	>6.0; <9.0	0	12	12	100%	-
Phosphorus, total mg/L ⁽²⁾	Monthly	-	0	12	12	100%	-
Total Suspended Solids (TSS), mg/L	Quarterly	50.0	0	12	12	100%	-
Intake C1							
Total Suspended Solids (TSS), mg/L	Quarterly	-	0	12	12	100%	-

NA = Not applicable

Note: All samples reported in quality or concentration on monthly DMR

- (1) *Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes*, Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, March 1983, EPA-600 4-79-020 [EPA83].
- (2) *Phosphorus Evaluation Study will be included in the Raritan Watershed Study.*
- (3) *Tetrachloroethylene (PCE) found in the retention basin outfall results from ground water from the building foundation drainage system. Additional basin aeration is expected to keep the discharge concentration of PCE at or below 0.703 µg/L.*
- (4) *Number of samples taken indicates the minimum number of samples required for the current NJPDES permit. Additional samples and duplicates may be taken and reported each CY year.*

Exhibit 4-2. NJPDES Reporting Requirements 2017

Parameter	Location	Frequency/Type	Last Completed
Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR)	DSN001, DSN003, C1	Monthly	Monthly 2017
Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity	DSN003	4 – 4.5 Years per Permit	November 2017
Chronic Toxicity (% Effluent) IC25 7 Day <i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i> & <i>Pimephales promelas</i>	DSN001	Annual	February 2017
Waste Characterization Report (WCR) – Complete WCR	DSN001	Annual	February 2017
Waste Characterization Report (WCR) – Metals, SVOC, Chloroform	DSN001	Semi Annual	May 2017 & November 2017
Waste Characterization Report (WCR) - Metals	DSN003	Annual	May 2017
Waste Characterization Report (WCR) – Complete WCR	DSN003	4 – 4.5 Years per Permit	December 2017

B. Acute Toxicity Study

The Acute Biomonitoring Report for the water flea (*Ceriodaphnia dubia*) was completed on December 5, 2017 for DSN003. Samples were collected for the 48-hour acute toxicity survival test, required to be performed between 4 to 4.5 years after the effective date of the permit (Exhibit 4-2). The toxicity test with *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (water flea) resulted in an inhibition concentration (IC25) of >100 percent [PPPL17i].

C. Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity Study

Annual Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity testing for DSN001 was completed on February 20, 2017 (Exhibit 4-2). In all chronic toxicity tests, *Pimephales promelas* (fathead minnow) survival rate inhibition concentration (IC25), as defined by the NJ Surface Water Quality Standards, was IC25 >100 percent (statistically possible) no observable effect concentration (NOEC) [NJDEP13a, PPPL17f].

D. Waste Characterization Report (WCR)


Waste Characterization Reports (WCR) is required by NJPDES Permit for monitoring effluent conditions. DSN001 Semi Annual WCR were completed twice annually in May 5, 2017 and November 8, 2017. PPPL completed DSN001 Annual WCR was completed on February 24, 2017 [PPPL17g]. DSN003 Annual WCR was completed annually on May 2, 2017 [PPPL17i]. WCR data can be seen in Appendix Table 25.

4.1.2 Lined Surface Impoundment Permit (LSI)

PPPL complies with NJDEP Ground Water General Permit No. NJ0142051 and is permitted to operate Lined Surface Impoundment (LSI) Program Interest (P.I.) ID#:47029 dated February 26, 2009. The LSI Permit operates on a 5-year permit cycle, expiring on February 28, 2019. PPPL will

operate under the previous permit until NJDEP issued a new permit. The LSI Permit authorizes PPPL to discharge from our lined retention basin outlet to surface water, Bee Brook in Plainsboro, NJ [NJDEP09]. An estimated total of 60.9 million gallons annually or 163,857 gallons per day of water was discharged from the retention basin in 2017 [Sul18a].

Exhibit 4-3. PPPL Retention Basin, Flow Sensor, Discharge Gate



LSI permit allows inspection and maintenance of liner as necessary. The last basin cleaning and inspected was completed in May 2015 and the liner was re-certified by a Professional Engineer (PE) from Midstate Engineering Inc. Repairs and maintenance were completed by Picone Contracting. The liner inspection was reported to the NJDEP in June 2015. In the interim, the basin operating conditions are inspected weekly.

Water flowing through the retention basin includes site storm water, groundwater from building foundation drains, non-contact cooling water, and cooling tower and boiler blow down. PPPL operates and maintains all equipment associated with the retention basin including aerators, sonic algae control, oil sensors, oil boom, sump pump and flow meter (Exhibit 4-3). If oil is detected within the basin, an alarm signals Site Protection Communications Center and automatically closes the discharge valve. The ultrasonic flow meter measures flow from the basin is downloaded monthly for NJPDES Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR). The following maintenance activities were conducted in 2017:

- Sump pump maintained and oil sensors replaced and calibrated.
- Calibrated the retention basin flow meter via certified outside vendor.
- BAS delivers flow meter data electronically.

4.1.3 Ground Water

A. NJPDES Ground Water Program

No ground water monitoring is required by the LSI NJPDES Groundwater permit.

B. Remedial Action Ground Water Monitoring Program

PPPL's Remedial Investigation and Remedial Action Selection Report (RI & RASR) was approved by NJDEP in 2000 [PPPL99b]. The Remedial Action Work Plan (RAWP) was approved NJDEP in June 2000 [PPPL00]. The process of natural attenuation by the indigenous bacteria and other *in-situ* processes are slowly degrading tetrachloroethylene or perchloroethylene (PCE) to its natural degradation products. The de-watering sumps located in the D-site MG and air shaft (formerly TFTR) basements draw ground water radially from the shallow aquifer, controlling ground water flow and preventing off-site contaminant migration. For details, see Chapter 6 "Site Hydrology, Ground Water, and Drinking Water Protection."

In early 2018, NJDEP issued Groundwater Remedial Action Permit number RAP17001, effective for 30 years, for the ongoing remediation and monitoring programs at PPPL. PPPL has modified its monitoring program to meet conditions of the new permit [PPPL18]. Additional groundwater information can be found in Chapter 6.

4.1.4 Metered Water

A. Drinking (Potable) Water

Potable water is supplied by the public utility, New Jersey American Water Company. PPPL used approximately 3.21 million gallons in 2017 (Exhibits 4-4 & 4-5) [Mor18]. PPPL uses potable water as a backup resource for non-contact cooling and fire protection.

Exhibit 4-4. PPPL Potable Water Use from NJ American Water Co. [Mor18]

CY	In Million Gallons
2013	4.52
2014	2.74
2015	2.64
2016	3.21
2017	2.99

Exhibit 4-5. PPPL Non-Potable Water Use From Delaware & Raritan Canal [Sul18a]

CY	In Million Gallons
2013	5.73
2014	5.14
2015	8.59
2016	10.34
2017	8.89

B. Process (Non-potable) Water

Delaware & Raritan (D&R) Canal non-potable water is used for fire protection and process cooling *via* Physical Cross-Connection Permit 0826-WPC110001. Non-potable water is pumped from the D&R Canal as authorized through a contract with the New Jersey Water Supply Authority that allows for the withdrawal of up to 150,000 gallons per day (gpd) and an annual limit of 54.75 million gallons [NJWSA12]. PPPL used 8.89 million gallons of non-potable water from the D&R Canal in 2017 [Sul18a]. A decrease in D&R Canal water usage in CY 2017 can be credited to fixing a leak in the chilled water system piping in August 2017.

Filtration to remove solids and the addition of chlorine and a corrosion inhibitor are the primary water treatment at the canal pump house. Discharge serial number DSN003, located at the canal pump house filter-backwash, is a separate discharge point in the NJPDES surface-water permit and is monitored monthly (Appendix Table 17). A sampling point (C1) was

established to provide baseline data for surface water that is pumped from the D&R Canal for non-potable uses. Appendix Table 11 summarizes the results of water quality analysis at the water intake C1, at the D&R Canal.

In anticipation of new and significantly lower limits for residual chlorine in its surface water discharge permit, PPPL initiated a project to eliminate chlorine as a biological control agent in its main cooling tower and associated cooling water systems. Peracetic acid was substituted for chlorine in the main cooling tower, thus eliminating the potential for future permit exceedances. This project also identified a number of leaks, inoperable controls, and substandard instruments in the cooling water system. Repairs and upgrades to the cooling water system resulted in a dramatic reduction in the use of non-potable water from the D&R Canal as well as the elimination of chlorine as a biological control agent.

C. Surface Water

Surface water is monitored for potential non-radioactive pollutants both on-site and at surface-water discharge pathways upstream and downstream off-site. Other sampling locations—Bee Brook (B1 & B2), New Jersey American Water Company (potable water supplier-E1), Delaware & Raritan Canal (C1), Millstone River (M1), and Cranbury and Devil’s Brooks in Plainsboro (P1 & P2) sampling points (Appendix Tables 9-17)—are not required by regulation, but are a part of PPPL’s environmental surveillance program.

D. Sanitary Sewage

Sanitary sewage is discharged to the Publicly-Owned Treatment Works (POTW) operated by South Brunswick Township, which is part of the Stony Brook Regional Sewerage Authority (SBRSA). SBRSA requires quarterly reporting of total volume discharged from the Liquid Effluent Collection (LEC) tanks on D-Site. PPPL continued to collect radioactive Tritium samples and non-radioactive data of analyze immediately parameters pH and temperature during 2017 (Appendix Table 7). Detailed radiological and discharge quantities for LEC tanks can be found in Chapter 5 “Environmental Radiological Program Information”.

For 2017, PPPL estimated a total annual sanitary sewage discharge of 2.99 million gallons to the South Brunswick sewerage treatment plant [Mor18].

4.2 Non-Radiological Waste Programs

4.2.1 Hazardous Waste Programs

A. Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA)

In CY2017, PPPL shipped 17,949 pounds of PCB waste. All contents were landfilled, recycled or incinerated in a permitted facility as TSCA Hazardous Waste [San18a].

B. Hazardous/Universal Waste

PPPL did not meet the threshold to submit a Biennial Hazardous Waste Generator Report to NJDEP for hazardous waste generated in CY2017. A description of Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) compliance is found in Exhibit 2-1 of this report [San18a].

PPPL continues to evaluate opportunities to remove hazardous materials from the workplace that have the potential to become hazardous wastes by substituting them with non-hazardous materials that has the added benefit of reducing employee exposure.

C. Recycled Hazardous/Universal Waste

The types and quantities of waste that are recycled each year changes due to the activities varying greatly from year to year as shown in Exhibit 4-6. PPPL's waste shipments can include hazardous, universal, non-hazardous and TSCA regulated waste. PPPL's avoids landfilling environmental waste through recycling and incinerating, shows PPPL's commitment to sustainability. One 40 cubic yard dumpster of TSCA-regulated asbestos waste was landfilled in 2017 [San18a].

Exhibit 4-6. 2018 Hazardous Waste Shipments [San18a]

Hazardous Waste	Pounds	Kilograms
Recycled	12,512	5,675
Incinerated	21,827	9,901
Landfilled	-	-
Treated	-	-
Total Waste	34,339	15,576

4.3 Environmental Protection Programs

4.3.1 Release Programs

A. Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC)

PPPL maintains a Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan (SPCC), which was updated in November 2016. In annual review, Environmental Services updated Section 10 Summary of Past Required Action Items. The SPCC Plan is incorporated as a supplement to the PPPL Emergency Preparedness Plan. In addition to the 5-year major revision as required by the USEPA, PPPL's Environmental Services Division (ESD) completes a review every year to make any minor changes required to the SPCC [PPPL16a].

B. Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) - Continuous Release Reporting

Under Comprehensive Environmental Recovery, Compensation, and Liability Act, (CERCLA) reporting requirements for the release of listed hazardous substances in quantities equal to or greater than its reportable quantity, the National Response Center is notified and the facility is required to report annually to EPA. Because PPPL has not released any CERCLA-regulated

hazardous substances, no “Continuous Release Reports” have been filed with EPA in CY 2017 [Sla18].

C. Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) Title III Reporting Requirements

NJDEP administers the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) Title III, also known as the Emergency Reporting and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA), reporting for EPA Region II. The modified Tier I form includes SARA Title III and NJDEP-specific reporting requirements. PPPL submitted the SARA Title III Report to NJDEP prior to the March 1st deadline [PPPL17a]. No changes were reported in PPPL’s 2017 EPCRA/SARA.

SARA Title III reports included information about eleven compounds used at PPPL as listed in Exhibits 4-7 and 4-8.

PPPL does not exceed threshold amounts for chemicals listed on the Toxic Release Inventory (TRI), PPPL completed the TRI cover page and laboratory exemptions report for 1996, and submitted these documents to DOE. Since PPPL did not exceed the threshold amounts, no TRI submittal was completed for 2017 [Sla18].

Exhibit 4-7. 2017 Summary of PPPL EPCRA Reporting Requirements

SARA	YES	NO	NOT REQUIRED
EPCRA 302-303: Planning Notification	X		
EPCRA 304: EHS Release Notification		X	
EPCRA 311-312: MSDS/Chemical Inventory	X		
EPCRA 313: TRI Report			X

EHS – Extremely hazardous substances (No EHS are on-site at PPPL)

MSDS – Material Safety Data Sheets

TRI – Toxic Release Inventory

Exhibit 4-8. 2017 Hazard Class of Chemicals at PPPL

Compound	Category	Compound	Category
Bromochlorodifluoromethane (Halon 1211)	Sudden release of pressure & Acute health effects	Lead	Chronic health effects
Carbon dioxide	Sudden release of pressure & Reactive	Nitrogen	Sudden release of pressure
Diesel Fuel Oil	Fire	Propane	Sudden release of pressure
Gasoline	Fire & Chronic Health Hazard	Petroleum Oil	Fire
Helium	Sudden release of pressure	Sulfur Hexafluoride	Sudden release of pressure
Sulfuric acid	Acute Health Hazard & Reactive		

4.3.2 Environmental Releases

PPPL has one reportable hydraulic oil spill in CY2017. Due to New Jersey’s no *de minimus* thresholds, all oil or other hazardous substance released to unpaved surfaces must be reported.

If spills occur, PPPL typically removes the impacted soil and tests the soil to ensure adequate cleanup of oil or other chemicals [San18b].

4.3.3 Pollution Prevention Program

In 2017, PPPL continued to pursue waste minimization and pollution prevention opportunities through active recycling efforts and through the purchasing of recycled-content and other environmentally-preferable products (EPP). In FY 2017, PPPL diverted 70.1% of the municipal solid waste through single stream recycling and organic waste composting programs. The DOE (EO13514) goal of 50% recycle versus disposal rate was met and accomplished by active participation of Laboratory employees. PPPL's FY 2017 rate for recycling of construction materials including wood, concrete, and metal was 85.6% by weight [Kin18a].

In September 2010, PPPL initiated the collection and recycling of food waste from the cafeteria kitchen and the trash bins located in the cafeteria and select locations around the laboratory. In FY 2017, PPPL composted 12.6 tons of food waste. A change in vendor material acceptable has led PPPL to limit compostable items in FY 2017 to only food waste and eliminate corn starch and compostable paper products from our waste stream. [Kin18a].

4.4 Non-Radiological Emissions Monitoring Programs

Air Permits

PPPL maintains New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) air permits/certificates for the equipment as listed in Exhibit 4-9. PPPL is classified as a synthetic-minor facility and does not exceed the Potential to Emit (PTE) limits for any of the Criteria Air Pollutants.

PPPL tracks NJDEP Air Quality Conditions Alerts. Unhealthy conditions are noted and all generator repairs and maintenance are postponed until normal air quality is reinstated. During those times, the standby (emergency) generators may be used only in an emergency (power outage) or when a voltage reduction issued by Pennsylvania, Jersey, Maryland Interconnect (PJM – electric-power grid controllers) and posted on the PJM internet website under the “emergency procedures” menu.


In 2008, NJDEP reduced the regulatory limits for the Criteria air pollutants for operating PPPL's four central plant steam boilers. With the installation of digital controls and high-efficiency low nitrogen oxide (NO_x) burners, the NO_x, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), particulates, sulfur dioxide (SO₂), and carbon monoxide (CO) emissions are further reduced [Nem18]. In 2017 PPPL's boiler operations resulted in emissions well below those limits (Exhibit 4-10 & Appendix Table 8).

Exhibit 4-9. PPPL's Air-Permitted Equipment

Type of Air Permit	Qty	Location	Requirements
Dust collectors	2	Facilities Woodworking shop C-Site MG Annex	Operate at 99% efficiency General Permit July 2011 (Facilities out of commission since 12/2014)
Storage tanks vents*	2	25,000 gal. No. 2 & 4 oil 15,000 gal. No.1 oil	TANKS – EPA annual emissions based on amount of fuel through-put *Note: Canceled per NJDEP Audit 2/2017
Diesel generators	1 2	D-site generator C-site generator	Annual limit of 200 hours for D-site & 100 hours for C-site of operation excluding emergencies; no testing on NJDEP Air Action Days
Utility boilers	4	Units 2,3,4, & 5 in Facilities	Annual emission testing same quarter each year; annual emission calculations based on hours of operations (Ex.4-12); rolling 12-month calendar total fuel consumed by boiler and fuel type (Table 8). Visual stack checked weekly when operating.
Fluorescent bulb crusher	1	Hazardous Materials Storage Facility	Hours of operations and number of bulbs crushed; air monitoring for mercury during filter changes.

Exhibit 4-10. PPPL's Boiler Emissions from 2002- 2017 vs. Regulatory Limits (Sul18b)

Criteria Pollutants in Tons per Year



4.5 Land Resources and Conservation

4.5.1 Wetlands Letter of Interpretation (LOI)

PPPL operates under NJDEP Land Use Wetlands LOI. Under permit No. 1218-06-0002.2FWW070001, NJDEP had line verified LOI PPPL's freshwater boundaries in 2008. PPPL's permit was renewed in 2015 and extended until April 1, 2018. No construction or alterations to existing vegetation within 50 feet of wetlands can commence without state notification. PPPL's National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review process verifies projects do not alter vegetation within 50 feet of wetlands. The freshwater line verifications must be present on all future site development drawings [PPPL15b].

4.5.2. Soil Erosion and Landscaping

In 2017, PPPL obtained a Soil Erosion Permit obtained through the Freehold Soil Conservation District. Permit No. 2017-0530 for the demolition of Modular Building #6 (MOD VI) was issued on 8/18/2017 and expires on 2/18/2021. PPPL also maintains internal soil erosion and sediment control guidelines in its Engineering Standards for projects that are below the permit threshold of 5,000 square feet [PPPL17d].

PPPL Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan encourages the reduction of turf grass areas that required mowing and other maintenance by planting native meadow grasses that are allowed to grow tall where practical. Other landscaping improvements, such as rain gardens and tree planting improve the local wildlife habitat and help to minimize stormwater pollution.

4.5.3 Herbicides and Fertilizers

During 2017, PPPL's Facilities Division used herbicides, insecticide and fertilizer on campus grounds (Exhibit 4-11). These materials are applied in accordance with state and federal FIFRA regulations. Chemicals are applied by New Jersey-certified applicators. No herbicides or fertilizers are stored on site; therefore, no disposal of these types of regulated chemicals is required by PPPL [Kin18b].

Exhibit 4-11. 2017 Fertilizer and Herbicide

Type of Material	Name of Material	Registered EPA No.	Application Qty
Herbicide	Quali-pro Prodiamine 4L	66222-230	96.71 Oz.
Herbicide	Roundup ProMax	524-579	568.13 Oz.
Insecticide	Up-star Gold	70506-24	10 gallons
Insecticide	The End Wasp, Bee Killer	11694-109	As needed
Fertilizer	None	-	-

4.5.4 Stormwater Pollution Prevention

PPPL's Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) was revised in 2015 to provide guidance to reduce the impact of PPPL's operations on stormwater quality [PPPL15c]. As summarized in Exhibit 8 of SWPPP, PPPL reduces stormwater quantity by utilizing best management practices, such as limiting the mowing areas with rain gardens and native grass meadows plantings.

4.6 Safety

PPPL's 2017 performance with respect to worker safety is noted in Exhibit 4-12 [Lev18a].

Exhibit 4-12. 2017 PPPL's Safety Performance

Total OSHA recordable case rate ¹	Days away, restricted transferred (DART) case rate ¹
0.99	0.20
Number of radioactive contaminations (external)	Number of Safety report OSHA (ORPS) Occurrence confined space, chemical exposure and (LOTO) incidents
0	0

OSHA – Occupational Safety and Health Administration

¹ Per 200,000 hours worked



ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOLOGICAL PROGRAM INFORMATION

The DOE Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory's Environmental Radiological program includes information about PPPL's tritium releases to the environment and as measured by dose to employees and to the public. This annual dose is calculated using air and water measurements, and in 2017 was 0.00262 mrem per year compared to 310 mrem from natural sources.

5.1 Radiological Emissions and Doses

For 2017, the releases of tritium in air and water and the dose to the maximum exposed individual (MEI) are summarized in Exhibit 5-1. The calculated MEI is 0.00262 milli-radiation equivalent man (mrem), far below the annual limit of 10 mrem per year [Lev18b, Hug18].

Laboratory policy states that when occupational exposures have the potential to exceed 1,000 mrem (1 rem) per year (10 mSv/y), the PPPL Environment, Safety, and Health (ES&H) Executive Board must approve an exemption. This value (1,000 mrem per year limit) is 20 percent of the DOE legal limit for occupational exposure. In addition, the Laboratory applies the "ALARA" (As Low As Reasonably Achievable) policy to all its operations. This philosophy for control of occupational exposure means that environmental radiation levels for device operation are also very low. From all operational sources of radiation, the ALARA goal for maximum individual occupational exposure was less than 100 mrem per year (1.0 mSv/year) above natural background at PPPL. The average annual dose to a member of the general population is considered to be about 620 mrem/year with 310 mrem contribution from natural sources and 310 mrem from man-made sources.

- Cosmic radiation - 28 mrem/yr
- Terrestrial sources /earth's crust - 28 mrem/yr
- Food - 40 mrem/yr
- Radon - ~200 mrem/yr
- Medical sources: 310 mrem from medical diagnostics such as x-rays, CAT scans, cancer treatments.

Exhibit 5-1. Summary of 2017 Emissions and Doses from D-Site Operations

Radionuclide & Pathway	Source	Source Term Curies (Bq)	MEI mrem/yr (mSv/yr)	Percent of Total	Collective EDE w/in 80 km in person-rem (person-Sv)
Tritium (air)	D-site stack	HTO – 3.2768 (1.21 x 10 ¹¹) HT - 0.1746 (6.43 x 10 ⁹)	2.40 x 10 ⁻³ (2.40 x 10 ⁻⁵)	92	7.60 x 10 ⁻² (7.60 x 10 ⁻⁴)
Tritium (water)	LEC tank	HTO - 0.0022 (8.14 X10 ⁷)	4.40 x 10 ⁻⁵ (4.40 x 10 ⁻⁷)	2	6.03 x 10 ⁻⁵ (6.03 x 10 ⁻⁷)
Tritium (water)	Surface Ground	90.1 pCi/L (Multiple) 135 pCi/L (MG Basement)	1.72 x 10 ⁻⁴ (1.72 x 10 ⁻⁶)	6	2.35 x 10 ⁻⁴ (2.35 x 10 ⁻⁶)
Direct/Scattered neutron & Gamma radiation	NSTX	N/A ³ neutrons	N/A	0	N/A
Argon-41 (Air)	NSTX	N/A ³	N/A	0	N/A
Total [Lev18b,Hug18]			2.62 x 10⁻³ (2.62 x 10⁻⁵)	100	7.63 x 10⁻² (7.63 x 10⁻⁴)

Bq = Becquerel EDE = effective dose equivalent HT = elemental tritium DD = deuterium-deuterium
mSv = milli Sievert mrem = milli radiation equivalent man HTO = tritium oxide NSTX = National Spherical Torus Experiment
Half-life of tritium (HTO & HT) is 12.3 years.

- Note:
1. Dose to the MEI occurs at the nearest business which is 351 meters from the D-site stack. Doses assume maximum exposed individual is in continuous occupation at the nearest business; waterborne doses assume that maximum exposed individual uses the ultimate destination of liquid discharges (Millstone River) as sole source of drinking water.
 2. Annual limit is 10 mrem/year; background is about 620 mrem/year. (Reference NCRP Report 160, 2009)
 3. NSTX was not in operation in 2017, therefore it did not generate any neutrons.

Exhibit 5-2. Radiological Atmospheric Releases - Calendar Year 2017 (Curies)

Tritium	85Kr	Noble Gases (T1/2 <40 days)	Short- Lived Fission and Activation Products (T1/2 <3 hr)	Fission and Activation Products (T1/2 >3 hr)	Total Radio- iodine	Total Radio- strontium	Total Uranium	Plutonium	Other Actinides
3.45E+00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

5.1.1 Penetrating Radiation

The NSTX-U experiment was down for repairs for all of 2017 and did not contribute to the dose totals. The repairs include production of new magnetic coils and additional upgrades. This will result in increased neutron production when NSTX-U resumes scheduled operations.

5.1.2 Sanitary Sewage

Drainage from D-site sumps in radiological areas is collected in the one of the three liquid effluent collection (LEC) tanks; each tank has a capacity of 15,000 gallons. Prior to release of these tanks to the sanitary sewer system and the publicly owned treatment works, *i.e.*, Stony Brook Regional Sewerage Authority (SBRSA), a sample is collected and analyzed for tritium concentration and gross beta. All samples for 2017 showed effluent quantity and concentrations of radionuclides (tritium) to be within allowable limits established in New Jersey regulations (1 Ci/y for all radionuclides), the National Safe Drinking Water regulations (40 CFR 141.66 limit of 20,000 pCi/L) and DOE Order 458.1 (1.9×10^6 pCi/liter for tritium) [40CFR141].

As shown in Exhibits 5-2 and 5-3, the total amount of tritium released to the sanitary sewer in 2017 was 0.0022 Curies, less than the allowable 1.0-Curie per year limit. In Appendix A, Table 7, the tritium activity is reported; the gross beta activity ranges from 1,580 to 12,900 pCi/L.

Exhibit 5-3. Annual Releases to Sanitary System from Liquid Effluent Collection Tanks 2007-2017




Exhibit 5-4. Total Annual Releases (LEC tanks) to Sanitary System

Calendar Year	Total Gallons Released	Total Activity (Curies)
2007	149,100	0.009
2008	159,450	0.007
2009	140,850	0.082
2010	158,900	0.317
2011	134,450	0.041
2012	102,000	0.018
2013	132,250	0.009
2014	135,250	0.030
2015	139,950	0.005
2016	213950	0.0169
2017	97200	0.0022

Exhibit 5-5. Total Low-Level Radioactive Waste

Year	Cubic meters (m ³)	Total Activity in Curies (Bq)
2007	8.6 m ³	0.09285 (3.435 x10 ⁹)
2008	3.63 m ³	0.08341 (3.086 x10 ⁹)
2009	No Shipment	No Shipment
2010	13.3	6.30270 (2.332 x10 ¹¹)
2011	15.6 m ³	0.0351 (1.297x10 ⁹)
2012	No shipment	No shipment
2013	34.9m ³	0.357 (1.32x10 ¹⁰)
2014	17.1	0.0082 (3.03x10 ⁸)
2015	No shipment	No shipment
2016	No shipment	No shipment
2017	17.8	12.3 (4.57x10 ¹¹)

5.1.3 Radioactive Waste

Small quantities of low-level radioactive wastes (LLW) are stored on-site in the Radioactive Waste Handling Facility (RWHF) prior to packaging and disposal. This facility is also used to store items with radioactive contamination for re-use at the Laboratory.

PPPL made one shipment of radioactive waste for disposal in 2017 (Exhibitt 5-4). Wastes are packaged for shipment and disposal in Type-B metal containers and drums (Exhibit 5-6). PPPL maintains waste profiles for LLW that is shipped off-site for burial. PPPL ships LLW to the Energy Soluions facility in Clive, Utah. PPPL's radioactive waste program is audited periodically to ensure compliance with DOT requirements. The audit includes employee training, waste characterization, waste packaging, quality control, and records retention.



Exhibit 5-6. B-box with Liner in RWHF for Shipping Radioactive Waste for disposal.

5.1.4 Airborne Emission - Differential Atmospheric Tritium Samplers (DATS)

PPPL uses differential atmospheric tritium sampler (DATS) to measure elemental (HT) and oxide tritium (HTO) at the D site stack. DATS are similarly used at four environmental sampling stations located on D-site facility boundary trailers (T1 to T4), All of the aforementioned monitoring is performed on a continuous basis.

Tritium (HTO and HT) was released and monitored at the D-site stack (Appendix Table 3). Projected dose equivalent to the MEI from airborne emissions of tritium was 0.0024 mrem/year (2.40×10^{-5} mSv/year) in 2017.

5.2 Release of Property Containing Residual Radioactive Material

Release of property containing residual radioactivity material is performed in accordance with PPPL ES&H Directives (ESHD) 5008, Section 10, Subpart L. PPPL has not released real property assets (land, Structures, etc.) for public use in the past and has no plans for such releases in the future. Current property release processes focus on person property items (equipment, materials, etc.). Property cannot be released for unrestricted use unless it is demonstrated that contamination levels on accessible surfaces are less than the values in Appendix D of ES&HD 5008, Section 10, and that prior use does not suggest that contamination levels in inaccessible surfaces exceed surface contamination value used for this purpose is 1,000 dpm/100 cm². In addition, material is not released if radiation levels above background are detected when performing activation analysis per PPPL approved procedure. During 2017, PPPL did not release any property containing radioactive material for recycle or reuse.

5.3 Protection of Biota

The highest measured concentrations of tritium in ground water in 2017, was 135 pCi/L D-Site MG Basement sump, in July (Appendix Table 4) and for surface water 90.1 pCi/L C1, E1, and DSN-001 (Appendix Table 5). All sample results were below the lower limit of detection. These concentrations are small fractions of the water biota concentration guide (BCG) (for HTO) of 3×10^8 pCi/L for aquatic system evaluations, and the water BCG (for HTO) of 2×10^8 pCi/L for terrestrial system evaluations, per DOE Standard STD-1153-2002, "A Graded Approach for Evaluating Radiation Doses to Aquatic and Terrestrial Biota" [Lev18b, DOE11b & 10CFR835]. Because of these low concentrations and potential doses, PPPL does not conduct direct biota monitoring.

5.4 Unplanned Radiological Releases

There were no unplanned radiological releases in 2017.

5.5 Environmental Radiological Monitoring

5.5.1 Waterborne Radioactivity

A. Surface Water

Surface-water samples from nine locations; two on-site locations: DSN001, and E1; and seven off-site locations: B1, B2, C1, DSN003, M1, P1, and P2) have been analyzed for tritium (Appendix Table 5).

In February 2017, at C1 and E1, the tritium concentration was detected at 90.1 pCi/L, this same level was also present at DSN-001 in March, and again at E1 in May. This concentration of 90.1 pCi/L was the highest for surface water sample(s) (Appendix Table 5), although all of the surface water samples for tritium fell below the lower limit of detection.

In April 1988, PPPL began on-site precipitation measurements as part of its environmental surveillance program. On a weekly basis, precipitation is measured by an on-site rain gauge. The weekly precipitation amounts for 2017 are shown on Appendix Table 2. Based on the average rainfall, a comparison of dry or wet years shows that 2017 was below the average rainfall total at 41.38 inches, a -4.62 inches deficit from New Jersey's expected average of 46 inches (116.8cm) (Appendix Table 6).

B. Ground Water

Ground water samples are taken from two building foundation sumps: D-Site Airshaft, and D-Site MG sump, which are sampled monthly. The highest concentration of tritium in ground water was found in the D-site MG Basement at 135 pCi/L in January 2017 (Appendix Table 4). This tritium concentrations is well below the Drinking Water Standard of 20,000 pCi/L

Based on PPPL's environmental monitoring data and the available scientific literature [Jo74, Mu77, Mu82, Mu90], the most likely source of the tritium detected in the on-site ground water samples is from the atmospheric releases of tritium from the D-site stack and the resulting "wash-out" during precipitation. Monitoring of ground water from building foundation sump (dewatering sump for D-site buildings) will continue as on-going atmospheric releases and environmental surveillance monitoring results necessitate.

C. Drinking (Potable) Water

Potable water is supplied by the public utility, New Jersey American Water Company, formerly Elizabethtown Water Company. In April 1984, a sampling point at the input to PPPL (E1 location) was established to provide baseline data for water coming onto the site. Radiological analysis has included gamma spectroscopy and tritium-concentration determination. In 2017, tritium concentration at this location was 90.1 pCi/L in February and May, although that result was below the lower limit of detection(Appendix Table 5).

5.5.2 Foodstuffs, Soil, and Vegetation

There were no foodstuffs, soil, or vegetation samples gathered for analysis in 2017. In 1996, the Health Physics (HP) Manager reviewed the requirement for soil/biota sampling. At that time, a decision was made to discontinue the sampling program. Tritium was not detected in almost all samples and these data were not adding to the understanding of tritium transport in the environment. Greater emphasis was placed on water sampling and monitoring, which produced more relevant results.



SITE HYDROLOGY, GROUND WATER, AND DRINKING WATER PROTECTION

The DOE Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory's Site Hydrology, Ground Water, and Drinking Water Protection program includes information about PPPL's compliance with the Ground Water Remedial Action Permit issued by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection. This permit requires quarterly and annual ground water monitoring that includes testing for volatile organic chemicals and their natural attenuation byproducts.

6.1 Lower Raritan River Watershed

PPPL is located within the Bee Brook Watershed. Bee Brook is a tributary to the Millstone River, which is part of the Raritan River Watershed (Exhibit 6-1). NJDEP has developed a watershed-based management program for prospective environmental planning and has divided the State of New Jersey into twenty watershed basins.

Locally, the Bee Brook Watershed encompasses approximately 700 acres within the Princeton Forrestal Center and James Forrestal Campus tracts. It begins at College Road East (approximately 1600 feet east of US Route 1), flows south in a wide flood plain, and then discharges into Devil's Brook at the entrance to Mill Pond [Sa80].

6.2 Geology & Topography

PPPL is situated on the eastern edge of the Piedmont Physiographic Province, approximately one-half mile from the western edge of the Atlantic Coastal Plain Province. The site is underlain largely by gently dipping and faulted sedimentary rock of the Newark Basin. The Newark Basin is one of several rift basins that were filled with sedimentary material during the Triassic Period, about 250-200 Ma (million years ago). At PPPL, bedrock is part of the Stockton Formation, which is reportedly more than 500 feet thick and consists of fractured red siltstone and sandstone [Lew87]. Regionally, the formation strikes approximately north 65 degrees east, and dips approximately 8 degrees to the northwest. The occurrence of limited amounts of clean sand near the surface indicates the presence of the Pennsauken Formation. This alluvial material was probably deposited during the Aftonian Interglacial period of the Pleistocene Epoch (approximately 2.6 million to 12,000 years ago).

Exhibit 6-1. Millstone River Watershed Basin



Within 25 miles, there are a number of documented faults; the closest of which is the Hopewell fault located about 8 miles from the site. The Flemington Fault and Ramapo Faults are located within 20 miles. None of these faults are determined to be “active” by the U.S. Geological Survey. This area of the country (eastern central US) is not generally earthquake-prone, despite the occurrence of minor earthquakes that have caused little or no damage.

The Millstone River and its supporting tributaries geographically dominate the region. The well-watered soils of the area have provided a wealth of natural resources including good agricultural lands from prehistoric times to the present. Land use was characterized by several small early centers of historic settlement and dispersed farmland. It has now been developed into industrial parks, housing developments, apartment complexes and shopping centers [Gr77].

The topography of the site is relatively flat and open with elevations ranging from 110 feet in the northwestern corner to 80 feet above mean sea level (msl) along the southern boundary. The low-lying topography of the Millstone River drainage reflects the glacial origins of the surface soils; sandy loams with varying percent of clay predominate.

Two soil series are recognized in the immediate vicinity of the site. Each reflects differences in drainage and subsurface water tables. Along the low-lying banks of stream tributaries, Bee Brook, the soils are classified Nixon-Nixon Variant and Fallsington Variant Association and Urban Land [Lew87].

This series is characterized by nearly level to gently sloping upland soils, deep, moderate to well drained, with a loamy subsoil and substratum. The yellowish-white sands contain patches of mottled coloring caused by prolonged wetness. On a regional scale, the water table fluctuates between 5 and 15 feet below the surface in wet periods and can drop below 15 feet during drier months.

In the slightly higher elevations (above 70 feet msl), the sandy loams are better drained and belong to the Sassafras series. Extensive historic farmlands and nurseries in the area indicate this soil provides a good environment for agricultural purposes, both today and in the past.

6.3 Biota

An upland forest type with dominant Oak forest characterizes vegetation of the site. Associated with the various oaks are Red Maple, Hickories, Sweetgums, Beech, Scarlet Oak, and Ash. Red, White, and Black Oaks are isolated in the lower poorly drained areas. Along the damp borders of Bee Brook, a bank of Sweetgum, Hickory, Beech, and Red Maple define the watercourse. The forest throughout most of the site has been removed either for farmland during the last century or recently for the construction of new facilities. Grass has replaced much of the open areas.

The under-story of the wooded areas is partially open with isolated patches of shrubs, vines, and saplings occurring mostly in the uplands area. The poorly drained areas have a low ground cover of ferns, grasses, and leaf litter.

6.4 Flood Plain

All of PPPL's storm water runoff flows to Bee Brook, either directly *via* the retention basin (DSN001) or along the western swale to the wetlands south of the site. Approximately 45% of the site's total area is covered by impervious surfaces – buildings, roadways and parking lots, and other structures.


PPPL's Stormwater Management Plan allows for a maximum impervious coverage of 60% of the developable land. Eighteen acres of PPPL's 88.5-acre site are wetlands, 14.5 acres grass, and 18.4 acres upland forest. Gravel, which is semi-impervious, covers approximately 11.1 acres, resulting in an impervious cover (buildings, roadways, sidewalks, etc.) of 26.5 acres. PPPL's current site impervious cover is well under the SWPPP's Best Management Practice of 60 percent of total developable coverage [PPPL15c & SE96].

Also, the 500-year flood plain elevation (85 ft. above msl) delineates the storm protection corridor, which is vital to the flood and water quality control program for PPPL as well as the Princeton Forrestal Center site. This "corridor" is preserved and protected from development by Princeton Forrestal Center in the Site Development Plan [PFC80].

The general direction of ground-water flow on the site is from the northwest of PPPL toward the southeast in the direction of Bee and Devil's Brooks. The operation of several building

foundation drain sump pumps creates a local and shallow cone of depression radially toward the sumps (Exhibit 6-2).

Exhibit 6-2. Generalized Potentiometric Surface of the Bedrock Aquifer at PPPL [Lew87]



Ground water is pumped from the sumps into the retention basin, which flows into Bee Brook. Bee Brook is hydraulically connected with ground water; during flooding stages, the brook recharges ground water and during low-flow periods, ground water discharges to the brook.

6.5 Groundwater Monitoring

6.5.1 Monitoring Wells

PPPL has installed a total of 46 wells to monitor ground-water quality under various regulatory programs (Exhibit 6-3), although many wells have since been decommissioned. PPPL has 32 active monitoring wells for environmental monitoring and surveillance purposes. Remedial Investigation and Remedial Alternatives Analysis (RI/RAA) studies were conducted to delineate shallow ground water contamination and identify a suitable remedy for ground water contamination under the New Jersey Site Remediation Program [PPPL99a & b]. A Remedial Action Work Plan (RAWP) was approved by NJDEP in 2000. Ground water monitoring continues as part of the selected remedy [PPPL00]. PPPL completed the transition from NJDEP oversight to the new state-mandated Licensed Site Remediation Professional (LSRP) program in May 2012 and issued a Ground Water Remedial Action Permit (RAP13001) to replace the RAWP. In early 2018, NJDEP issued a revised Ground Water Remedial Action Permit number RAP17001 to replace RAP13001, effective for 30 years, for the

ongoing remediation and monitoring programs at PPPL. PPPL has modified its monitoring program to meet conditions of the new permit [NJDEP18].

Exhibit 6-3. Summary of Monitoring Wells at PPPL

	Remedial Action Monitoring Well (MW)	Environmental Surveillance (TW)
Active Wells Monitored On-Site	22	10
Active Wells Monitored Off-Site	0	0
Number of Wells Sampled	15	0
Sampling Rounds Completed	4	0

Exhibit 6-4. Summary of Groundwater Contamination

Ranges of Results for Positive Detections		
	Wells	Sumps
Tritium (pCi/L)	N/A	<Bkg
PCE (µg/L)	ND – 101	1.5 – 43.1
TCE (µg/L)	ND – 7.2	0.32 – 15.8
1,4-dioxane (µg/L)	ND – 1.17	ND - 0.134

Note: ND- Not Detected;

Bkg- Background radiation naturally present

6.5.2 Sampling Events

In support of the approved ground water remedial action, PPPL monitors the groundwater wells quarterly in March, June, September and December. The type of equipment used by PPPL to sample the ground water is shown in Exhibits 6-5. Gas from a compressed gas (carbon dioxide) cylinder is pumped down into the well via a Teflon-lined polyethylene tube into the dedicated bladder pump. The air pushes the water up through the exit tube and water flows through a chamber containing instruments to measure pH, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, temperature, and turbidity. Discharged water flows into a bucket that measures the volume discharged. A water level gauge is used to determine the rate of water recharging back into the well to ensure the sample will be representative of the groundwater. Groundwater parameters sampled can be seen in Exhibit 6-6.

Ground water monitoring results show that tetrachloroethylene, trichloroethylene (PCE, TCE), 1,4-dioxane, and their natural degradation products are present in a number of shallow and intermediate-depth wells on C-site (Exhibit 6-4). These VOCs are commonly contained in industrial solvents or metal degreasing agents. The source of these chemicals has been identified as a former waste storage area known as the PPPL Annex Building.

Starting in September 2017, PPPL shifted its sampling to match that of the 2013 permit. PPPL transitioned from sampling VOC plus library search to chlorinated organic VOC's. These include PCA, PCE, TCA, TCE, 1,1 DCA, cis 1 2 DCE, trans 1 2 DCE, 1,2 DCA, 1,1 DCE, CA, VC.

Additional ground water sampling for 1,4-dioxane, using EPA Method 8270, was conducted in all 2017 sampling events. The results are summarized in Appendix A, Figure 1 and show that 1,4-dioxane is present in ground water south of the CAS/RESA building. All results have been below the NJDEP Ground Water Quality Standard of 0.4 ug/L, with the exception of monitoring wells MW-13S and MW-9 in September 2016. The maximum 1,4-dioxane concentration detected was 0.723 ug/L. Typically the highest chlorinated contaminant concentrations are detected during the September sampling event, which is consistent with the 1,4-dioxane data. Based on the existing data PPPL will continue 1,4-dioxane sampling in 2018. In addition to the wells sampled previously, PPPL will be analyzing 1,4-dioxane in monitoring wells MW-5S and MW-5I to confirm horizontal delineation to the north.

Foundation de-watering sumps located on D-site influence ground water flow across the site (Exhibits 6-8). The sumps create a significant cone of depression drawing ground water toward them. Under natural conditions, ground water flow is to the south-southeast toward Bee Brook; however, because of building foundation drains on D-Site, ground water beneath the site is drawn radially toward the D site sumps [EPA99, NJDEP18].

Exhibit 6-5. Well Monitoring Set-up



Exhibit 6-6. Groundwater Monitoring Parameters

Frequency	Analytical Parameter	Analytical Method
Monthly	Tritium	
Quarterly	<u>March, June 2017:</u> Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) + Library Search <u>Sept., December 2017:</u> Chlorinated Volatile Organics (VOCs)	EPA-624
Quarterly	1,4-Dioxane	SW 846/8270 D
Annual	Nitrate & Nitrite	EPA-300.0
Annual	Chloride	EPA-300.0
Annual	Sulfate	SM 2320B
Annual	Alkalinity	EPA-200.8 Rev. 5
Annual	Manganese	SM20/3500FEB
Annual	Ferrous Iron (Fe ⁺²)	RSK-175
Annual	Dissolved Methane	SM 5310C
Annual	Sulfide	EPA 906.0
	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	

6.5.3 Ground Water Remedial Action

Following a site-wide RI/RAA study and remedy selection process, PPPL prepared and submitted a Remedial Action Work Plan (RAWP) outlining continual operation of the ground water extraction system and a long-term monitoring program [Sh00]. The RAWP was submitted to NJDEP in May 2000, which was implemented until the Ground Water Remedial Action permit was issued in August 2013 [HLA97, HLA98, Sh 10-13] and revised in January 2018.

In January 2002, an Aquifer Classification Exception Area (CEA) Designation was submitted to NJDEP. The CEA designation identifies specific areas where state-wide Ground Water Quality Standards are not met and will not be met for some time. The CEAs was granted for a specific area of an aquifer to address specific VOCs in the shallow (<60 feet deep) aquifer. The CEA request was approved by NJDEP in August 2002. The CEA was recertified in 2017, with submittal of a Biennial Remedial Action and Ground Water Classification Exception Area Recertification Report.

Long-term ground water monitoring confirms the following conditions:

- Examination of analytical data and water level measurements indicates an inverse relationship between ground water level and VOC concentration.
- Natural attenuation (anaerobic biodegradation) occurs in the wetlands adjacent to CAS/RESA.
- Contaminated ground water is captured by building sumps and is not migrating off-site.

Ground water remedial action activities in 2017 included:

- NJDEP issued PPPL's Groundwater Remedial Action Permit No. RAP17001, in 2018 effective for 30 years.
- Quarterly and Annual sampling JM Sorge subcontractor sampled March, June, September, and December.
- Annual sampling will be conducted with VOC + library search and monitored natural attenuation (MNA) parameters in March 2017.
- Transition from VOC + Library Search to Chlorinated Organic Volatiles starting in September 2017.
- 1,4-dioxane sampled at all wells quarterly.
- Annual Remedial Action Progress Reports to NJDEP were discontinued in 2016; data, graphs and charts are compiled annually.
- *Remedial Action Biennial Certification for Ground Water* submitted to NJDEP in 2017; the next report is due in 2019.
- Groundwater monitoring equipment and monitoring wells repaired as necessary.

6.5.4 Monitored Natural Attenuation

Examination of analytical data and water level measurements during the Remedial Investigation and the beginning of the Remedial Action indicated an inverse relationship between ground water level and VOC concentration (particularly PCE). Periods of higher water level generally corresponded with lower PCE results. Conversely, higher PCE results are generally coincident with period of lower ground water elevation (Appendix Tables 18-21).

Natural attenuation processes are active as evidenced by presence of degradation compounds in ground water down gradient of source area (Appendix Tables 18-21). PCE is sequentially degraded into trichloroethylene (TCE) and cis-1,2-dichloroethylene (c-1,2-DCE) (Exhibit 6-7). The presence of c-1,2-DCE, dissolved methane, reduced dissolved oxygen levels and negative oxidation-reduction


potential (redox) values provide definitive evidence of on-going biological degradation of chlorinated ethenes [PPPL18, Sh00-13].

Exhibit 6-7. Typical PCE Degradation Pathway



Review and examination of the analytical results indicate that contaminant concentrations, particularly PCE, are generally decreasing and are below the levels documented at the beginning to the Remedial Investigation. Seasonal fluctuations in VOC concentrations were seen in data collected during the RI and during the first two years of remedial action monitoring. These data generally showed peak VOC concentration during the late fall/winter months (Appendix Figure 1 and 2, Exhibits 6-8). The time-trend graph shown in Exhibit 6-7 also includes a second-order polynomial regression line fitted to PCE concentrations. This trend line shows an overall downward trend in contaminant concentration with a significant decrease since early 2007. Spring and summer results are generally lower.

Exhibit 6-8. PCE Concentration vs. Time at MW-19S (1998-2017)



6.6 Drinking Water Protection

PPPL and the surrounding area do not rely on on-site or shallow supply wells for potable water. All potable water in the immediate area of the Laboratory is provided by New Jersey American Water Company. New Jersey American Water Company is supplied by a variety of sources, including surface water intakes and deep supply wells located throughout its service area. The nearest wells supplying water to New Jersey American are located approximately 2 miles south-southwest of the Laboratory near the Millstone River. As discussed above, ground water contaminated with PCE and other organic chemicals is captured by the building foundation drains and is not migrating offsite.



Chapter 7


QUALITY ASSURANCE

As required by DOE Order 450.1, *Environmental Protection Program* and DOE Order 414.1D, *Quality Assurance*, PPPL has established a Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Program to ensure that the accuracy, precision, and reliability of environmental monitoring data are consistent.

7.1 PEARL Lab Certification

In 2017, analyses of environmental samples for “analyze-immediately” non-radiological parameters were conducted by PPPL's on-site analytical laboratory (Exhibits 7-1 & 7-2).

**Exhibit 7-1. PEARL Chlorine Standard
Check for Accuracy**



**Exhibit 7-2. Distilling Samples for
Tritium Analysis Performed at PEARL**



The Princeton Environmental Analytical Radiological Laboratory (PEARL) procedures follow the DOE's Environmental Measurements Laboratory's *EML HASL-300 Manual* [Vo82], EPA's *Methods and Guidance for Analysis of Water* [EPA99] and *Standard Methods of Water and Wastewater Analysis* [SM12] that are nationally recognized standards.

Beginning in 1984, PPPL participated in a NJDEP certification program initially through the USEPA QA program. In March 1986, EPA/Las Vegas and NJDEP reviewed PPPL's procedures and inspected its facilities. The laboratory became certified for tritium analysis in urine (bioassays) and water. In 2001, USEPA turned the QA program over to the states; NJDEP chose

a contractor laboratory, ERA, to supply the radiological proficiency tests. As of October 2013, NJDEP is no longer administrating PT Sample Contracts, requiring individual sites to obtain their own approved PT Sample Providers to obtain PT samples.

7.1.1. Radiological Parameters

In response to an on-site NJDEP Office of Quality Assurance (OQA) Audit, all PEARL radiological parameters including tritium and gamma spectroscopy certifications were dropped as of August 14, 2015. As a best management practice, PPPL continued in a National Institute for Standards and Technology's (NIST) National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) accredited radiochemistry quality control testing program in 2017. Cesium, cobalt and zinc use a gamma spectroscopy technique while tritium uses a distillation and liquid scintillation method as seen in Appendix Table 24 (Exhibit 7-3).

Exhibit 7-3. 2017 Internal Radiological Parameters

Parameter	Approved Method
Cesium 134/137*	SM 7120
Cobalt 60*	SM 7120
Zinc 65*	SM 7120
Tritium*	EPA 906.0

*Dropped NJDEP laboratory certification for parameters as of 8/14/2015

7.1.2. Non-Radiological Parameters

For non-radiological parameters, PPPL participates in NJDEP Laboratory Certification program (NJ ID #12471) (Exhibit 7-4). A requirement of the certification program is to analyze within the acceptance range the quality control (QC) and proficiency test (PT) samples that are purchased from outside laboratory suppliers. These PT samples are provided as blind samples for analysis; the test results are submitted prior to the end of the study. Results are supplied to PPPL and NJDEP to confirm a laboratories' ability to correctly analyze those parameters being tested [PPPL17f].

In Appendix Table 24, the non-radiological proficiency testing (PT) results show that all PEARL's March's 2017 results were in the acceptable range for pH. Residual chlorine was out of acceptable range during WP0317 Phenova test. PPPL retested residual chlorine in September 2017 and was found to be within acceptable limits for WP0917.

**Exhibit 7-4. 2017 NJDEP Non-Radiological Certified Parameters
NJDEP Laboratory Number 12471**

Parameter	Approved Method
Chlorine	SM 4500-Cl G
pH	SM 4500-H B
Temperature	SM 2550 B

7.2 Subcontractor Labs

PPPL followed its internal procedures, EM-OP-49 - “Methods for Measuring Analyze Immediately Parameters,” EM-OP-31 - “Surface Water Sampling Procedure,” and EM-OP-38— “Ground Water Sampling Procedures.” These procedures provide detailed descriptions of all NJPDES permit-required sampling and analytical methods for collection of samples, analyses of these samples, and quality assurance/quality control requirements. Chain-of-custody forms are required for all samples; holding times are closely checked to ensure that analyses are performed within established holding times and that the data is valid; trip blanks are required for all volatile organic compound analyses.

Subcontractor laboratories used by PPPL are certified by NJDEP and participate in the state’s QA program; the subcontractor laboratories must also follow their own internal quality assurance plans. Eurofins/QC Laboratories and SGS/Accutest Laboratories were used for environmental laboratory analysis. Starting in 2017, PPPL environmental samples switched primarily to SGS Accutest NJDES Laboratory ID Number 12129. American Aquatics was also used as a subcontractor laboratory for acute and chronic toxicity, NJDEP Laboratory is PA682.

PPPL’s groundwater subcontractor JM Sorge, Inc. has a NJDEP ID 18012 state laboratory certifications for groundwater analyze immediately parameters. Precision Testing Laboratories, Inc. is used to analyze the majority of hazardous waste sampling analysis NJDEP Laboratory ID 15005.

7.3 Internal QA/QC

7.3.1 Internal Audit

PPPL’s Quality Assurance program provides a variety of internal audits annually. The audits are completed with a member of QA and a subject matter expert. The following is a list of audits dealing with the environmental issues or environmental management [Cum18].

In 2017, PPPL participated in the following environmental internal audits:

- ES&H Executive Board FY17 Corrective Actions
- ISO-14001 Environmental Management System Audit
- Gap Analysis for Environmental Management System
- EMS Compliance Assessment for PPPL's Ozone Depleting Substances


7.3.2 Internal QA Check

PPPL’s PEARL ensures QA/QC through EM-QA-02 “Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan for Analyze Immediately Parameters.” PPPL internal procedures include the following:

- NIST Temperature calibrations are conducted quarterly, or replaced with new NIST certification long stem thermometer.
- Chlorine field meters and secondary standards are calibrated at least quarterly by chlorine standard concentrations; Quarterly chlorine calibration curves are generated.

- Duplicate samples of chlorine, pH and temperature will be conducted daily or every 20 samples.
- Quarterly duplicates for NJPDES Permit to external laboratory

PPPL's internal Quality Assurance process for laboratory samples is outlined below:



7.3.3. Calibrations

PPPL calibrates all equipment per equipment manual and following EM-OP-49 and EM-QA-02 procedures. Calibrations are recorded in lab calibration log and reported to Head QA Officer for review.

PPPL's Environmental QA procedures following for calibration prior to sampling. The chlorine field meter is verified by using calibrated Secondary Standards. pH meters are calibrated with a 3-point standard calibration, and verified by checking the pH to the 7.01 standard.

7.3.4 Chemicals

Chemical inventories are performed quarterly to insure proper storage, expiration and quantity checks. Chemical name, stock number, lot number, date received, date opened and expiration date are all checked to ensure chemical quality for calibration. Expired chemicals are removed from service and processed through our lab wide Hazardous Waste ID tag program.

7.4 External QA/QC

PPPL's external audits can be completed by a variety of different sources. Local, state and federal entities such as US DOE or NJDEP may request an on-site audit or inspection at any time. As reviewed in Chapter 3, PPPL's EMS requires ISO Registrar Audits for Registration and Surveillance Audits. All corrective action were tracked and completed using PPPL's internal by the QA Division [Cum18].

Three external audits/inspections were performed for Environmental QA/QC in 2017:

- US EPA Multi Media Inspection
- NJDEP Air Permit Audit
- Environmental Management System (EMS) UL-DQS, ISO14001:2015



Chapter 8

REFERENCES

- 10CFR835 Title 10, Code of Federal Register, Part 835, *Occupational Radiation Protection, Appendix D – Surface Radioactivity Values*.
- 40CFR141 Title 40, Code of Federal Register, Part 141.66, *National Primary Drinking Water Regulations, Maximum contaminant levels for radionuclides*.
- Am98 Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc., 1998, *Baseline Ecological Evaluation Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Plainsboro Township, Middlesex County, New Jersey*.
- Be87b Bentz, L. K., and Bender, D. S., 1987, *Socioeconomic Information, Plainsboro Area, New Jersey: Supplementary Documentation for an Environmental Assessment for the CIT at PPPL, EGG-EP-7752, INEL, Idaho Falls, Idaho*.
- Cu18 Cummings, C., 2018, *2017 PPPL Audits*, personal communications.
- DOE01 Department of Energy Order 435.1, 2001, *Radioactive Waste Management*.
- DOE11a Department of Energy Order 436.1, 2011, *Department Sustainability*.
- DOE11b Department of Energy Order 458.1, 2011, *Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment*.
- DOE11c Department of Energy Order 231.1B, *Environment, Safety, and Health Reporting*.
- DOE15 Department of Energy, 2015, *Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan*.
- DOE16 Department of Energy, 2016, Princeton Site Office, *NEPA Program Assessment*.
- Dy93 Dynamac Corporation, August 1993, *CERCLA Inventory Report*, prepared for Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory.
- En87 EnviroSphere Company, 1987, *Ecological Survey of Compact Ignition Tokamak Site and Surroundings at Princeton University's Forrestal Campus*, EnviroSphere Company, Division of Ebasco, Report to INEL for the CIT.
- EO15 Executive Order 13693, 2015, *Planning for Federal Sustainability in the Next Decade*.
- EPA83 Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, Environmental Monitoring and Support, March 1983, *Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Waste*, EPA 600-U-79-020.
- EPA99 Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, June 1999, *Methods and Guidance for Analysis of Water*, EPA 821-C-99-004.

- Gr77 Grossman, J. W., 1977, *Archaeological and Historical Survey of the Proposed Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor*, Rutgers University.
- HLA 97 Harding Lawson Associates, March 28, 1997, *Remedial Investigation/Remedial Action Report Phase I and II, Princeton University Plasma Physics Laboratory, James Forrestal Campus, Plainsboro, New Jersey*.
- HLA98 Harding Lawson Associates, September 25, 1998, *Remedial Investigation/Remedial Action Report Addendum, Phase 3 Activities, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, James Forrestal Campus, Plainsboro, New Jersey*, 17 volumes.
- Hug18 Hughes, M., 2018, *2017 Effective Dose Equivalent Calculations for PPPL Operations*, personal communication.
- ISO15 International Standards Organization, 2015, ISO14001-15, *Environmental Management Systems (EMS)*.
- Jo74 Jordan, C. F., Stewart, M., and Kline, J., 1974, *Tritium Movement in Soils: The Importance of Exchange and High Initial Dispersion*, *Health Physics* 27: 37-43.
- Kin18a King, M., 2018, *2017 Solid Waste Data*, worksheet.
- Kin18b King, M., 2018, *2017 PPPL Fertilizer, Pesticide, and Herbicide Report*, personal communication.
- Kin18c King, M., 2018, *2017 American Recycles Day and Earth Week 2017 data*.
- Lev18a Levine, J., 2018, *2017 Safety Statistics*, personal communication.
- Lev18b Levine, J., 2018, *2017 Tritium Environmental Data and D site Stack Tritium Release Data*, personal communication.
- Lew87 Lewis, J. C. and Spitz, F. J., 1987, *Hydrogeology, Ground-Water Quality, and The Possible Effects of a Hypothetical Radioactive-Water Spill, Plainsboro Township, New Jersey*, U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 87-4092, West Trenton, NJ.
- Mor18 Morrison, K., 2018, *2017 Water Data for Potable and Non-Potable Sources and Sanitary Wastes*, personal communication.
- Mu77 Murphy, C. E., Jr., Watts, J. R., and Corey, J. C., 1977, *Environmental Tritium Transport from Atmospheric Release of Molecular Tritium*, *Health Physics* 33:325-331.
- Mu82 Murphy, C. E., Jr., Sweet, C. W., and Fallon, R. D., 1982, *Tritium Transport Around Nuclear Facilities*, *Nuclear Safety* 23:667-685.
- Mu90 Murphy, C. E., Jr., 1990, *The Transport, Dispersion, and Cycling of Tritium in the Environment*, Savannah River Site Report, WSRC-RP-90-462, UC702, 70 pp.
- NCRP09 National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, 2009, *Ionizing Radiation Exposure of the Population of the United States*.

- Nem18 Nemeth, J. 2018, *2017 Boiler Stack Efficiency Test Results and Subpart JJJJJJ form submittal*.
- NJB97 NJ Breeding Bird Atlas Report, 1997, *A New Jersey Breeding Bird Atlas Data Base Inquiry for Plainsboro Township, Middlesex County, New Jersey*, Cape May Bird Observatory (Letter), January 13, 1998.
- NJDEP84 NJ Department of Environmental Protection, December 1984, *Bee Brook - Delineation of Floodway and Flood Hazard Area*.
- NJDEP97 New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Natural Heritage Program, 1997, *A Natural Heritage Data Base Inquiry for Plainsboro Township, Middlesex County, New Jersey*, NJDEP Natural Heritage Program (Letter), NHP file No. 97-4007435.
- NJDEP09 New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, 2009, *NJPDES Ground Water General Permit No. NJ0142051 (Lined Surface Impoundment)*
- NJDEP13 New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, 2013, *Final Surface Water Permit, New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES), NJ0023922*.
- NJDEP18 New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, 2018, *NJPDES Ground Water Remedial Monitoring Permit, RAP170001*.
- NJWSA12 New Jersey Water Supply Authority, 2012, *Withdrawal Agreement of Water from the Delaware & Raritan Canal*.
- NOAA <http://www.weather.gov/phi/localclimate.html>
- PFC80 Princeton Forrestal Center, 1980, *Storm Water Management Plan Phase I*, prepared by Sasaki Associates, Inc.
- PPPL95 Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, March 1995, *Proposed Site Treatment Plan [PSTP] for Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory [PPPL]*.
- PPPL99a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory. 1999, *Phase IV Remedial Investigation Report*.
- PPPL99b Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory. 1999, *Remedial Action Selection Report*.
- PPPL00 Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2000, *Remedial Action Work Plan*.
- PPPL05 Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, August 2005, *Cultural Resource Management Plan*.
- PPPL08a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, January 22, 2008, *Laboratory Mission*, O-001, TCR R-1-002, Rev. 4.
- PPPL12 Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2012, *Low-Level Radioactive Waste Program Basis Document*.

- PPPL13 Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2013, *Nuclear Materials Control and Accountability (MC&A) Plan, HP-PP-06. Rev.8.*
- PPPL14a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2014, *Excavation Soil Erosion and Sediment Control, ES-MECH-013 Rev. 0*
- PPPL15a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2015, *Environmental Management System Description, Revision 5.*
- PPPL15b Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2015, *Letter of Interpretation (LOI) Wetlands Delineation.*
- PPPL15c Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2015, *Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan.*
- PPPL15d Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2015, *Environmental Monitoring Plan, Rev. 7.*
- PPPL16a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2016, *Spill Prevention Countermeasure and Control Plan (SPCC Plan).*
- PPPL16b Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2016, *Appendix A, Summary of Environmental Aspects and Impacts by Facility, Rev. 5.*
- PPPL16c Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, December 2016, *DOE Order 13693 PPPL Site Sustainable Plan.*
- PPPL17a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2017, *SARA Title III Annual Report for 2017.*
- PPPL 17b Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2017, *NESHAPs Annual Report for Tritium Emissions 2016.*
- PPPL17c Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2017, *Appendix C, Summary of PPPL EMS Objectives and Targets.*
- PPPL17d Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2017, *Freehold Soil Conservation District, Soil Erosion Permit 2017-0530 PPPL Mod 6 Demolition*
- PPPL17e Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2017, *NJDEP Laboratory Certification ID 12471*
- PPPL17f Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2017, *Chronic Toxicity Bio-monitoring Tests for DSN001 Report.*
- PPPL17g Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2017, *NJPDES Waste Characterization Report for DSN001.*
- PPPL17h Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, December 2016, *PPPL 2017 DOE Sustainability Dashboard.*
- PPPL17i Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2017, *NJPDES Waste Characterization Report for DSN003.*

- PPPL17j Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2017, *Health Physics Procedures (Calibration, Dosimetry, Environmental, Field Operations, Laboratory, Material Control and Accountability, and Radiological Laboratory)*.
- PPPL17i Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2017, *Acute Toxicity Bio-monitoring Tests for DSN003 Report*.
- PPPL18a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2018, *Appendix B, Summary of PPPL EMS Legal and Other Requirements, Rev. 10*.
- PSAR78 *Preliminary Safety Analysis Report, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor, 1978*.
- Sa80 Sasaki Associates, February 1980, *Princeton Forrestal Center, Storm Water Management Plan for Bee Brook Watershed*, prepared for Delaware & Raritan Canal Commission.
- San18a Sandt, T., 2018, *2017 Hazardous, TSCA, and Medical Waste Data*, personal communication.
- San18b Sandt, T., 2018, *2017 Spill Release Number of Incidents*, personal communication.
- SE96 Smith Environmental Technologies, Corp., February 29, 1996, *Final Site-Wide Storm Water Management Plan, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, James Forrestal Campus, Plainsboro Township, Middlesex County, New Jersey*.
- Sh00-13 Sheneman, R., 2000-2013, *Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory -- Remedial Action Work Plan*.
- Sla18 Slavin, W., 2018, *2017 No continuous releases*, person communication.
- SM12 American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, and Water Environment Federation, 2012 (22nd edition), *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*.
- Str18 Strauss, D., 2018, *NEPA Statistics for 2017*, personal communication.
- Sul18a Sullivan, L., 2018, *2017 Total Flow Discharged from DSN001, Basin Outfall*, personal communication.
- Sul18b Sullivan, L., 2018, *2017 PPPL Boiler Emission Calculations for Criteria Air Pollutants*, personal communication.
- Sul18c Sullivan, L., 2018, *1990-2017 Permit Compliance Summary*, personal communication.
- UL17 UL-DQS, Inc., 2017 « *Certification Report ISO 14001:2015 Evaluation of PPPL's International Standard Organization (ISO) – Environmental Management System* ».

- US13 US Census Bureau Statistics, *2013 Census Data for the State of New Jersey*,
<http://www.census.gov/census2000/states/nj.html>
- Vo82 Volchok, H. L., and de Planque, G., 1982, *EML Procedures Manual HASL 300*,
Department of Energy, Environmental Measurements Laboratory, 376 Hudson
St., NY, NY 10014.

Chapter 9

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Facilities and Site Management:

Margaret Kevin-King - fertilizer, herbicide, and pesticide data, and municipal solid waste and recycling data

Kate Morrison – energy and water-utilization data

Jules Nemeth – boiler fuel use, run time, and test data

Office of Communications:

Elle Starkman - Photos of NSTX and photos from the “PPPL Weekly”

Jeanne Jackson-DeVoe and Larry Bernard – “PPPL Weekly” articles

Quality Assurance Division:

Connie Cummings – audit status

Environment, Safety & Health Department:

Jerry Levine – dose calculations and review

Dorothy Strauss - NEPA data and safety statistics

Safety Division:

Bill Slavin - SARA Title III and Toxic Release Inventory information

Health Physics Division:

George Ascione - radiological and calibration data

Patti Bruno - in-house radiochemical and water analyses

Environmental Services Division:

Mark Hughes – cover design, acronym list, introduction chapter

Leanna Sullivan –non-radiological programs, groundwater and quality assurance chapters

Todd Standt – RCRA, TSCA, SPCC and radiological waste data

Mark Hughes – radiological program chapter and dose calculations

Robert Sheneman - ground water data, environmental management system/ISO chapter

Material Services Division

Fran Cargill and Adam Salmon– transportation/vehicle fuel use

This work is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-09CH11466.

✧

2017 ASER DATA TABLES & MAPS

Table #	Title	Page
Table 1.	PPPL NSTX-U Radiological Limits and Design Objectives.....	72
Table 2.	Annual Precipitation Data for 2017.....	73
Table 3.	D-Site Stack Tritium Releases in Curies in 2017.....	74
Table 4.	Ground Water Tritium Concentrations for 2017.....	75
Table 5.	Surface Water Tritium Concentrations for 2017.....	76
Table 6.	Annual Range of Tritium Concentrations at PPPL in Precipitation from 1985 to 2017 75	
Table 7.	Liquid Effluent Collection Tank Release Data for 2017	77
Table 8.	Total Fuel Consumption by Fuel Type and Boiler.....	77
Table 9.	Surface Water Analysis for Bee Brook, B1, in 2017	78
Table 10.	Surface Water Analysis for Bee Brook, B2, in 2017	78
Table 11.	Surface Water Analysis for Delaware & Raritan Canal, C1, in 2017	79
Table 12.	Surface Water Analysis for NJ American Water, E1, in 2017	79
Table 13.	Surface Water Analysis for Millstone River, M1, in 2017	80
Table 14.	Surface Water Analysis for Cranbury Brook (Plainsboro), P1, in 2017	80
Table 15.	Surface Water Analysis for Devil's Brook (Plainsboro), P2, in 2017	80
Table 16.	DSN001 - Detention Basin Outfall Surface Water Results (NJPDES NJ0023922) in 2017	81
Table 17.	D&R Canal Pump House - DSN003, Surface Water Analysis (NJPDES NJ0023922) in 2017	82
Table 18.	Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results - March 2017 Target Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) and Monitoring Natural Attenuation	83
Table 19.	Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results - June 2017 Target Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) and Monitoring Natural Attenuation	85
Table 20.	Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results - September 2017 Target Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) and Monitoring Natural Attenuation	86
Table 21.	Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results - December 2017 Target Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) and Monitoring Natural Attenuation	87
Table 22.	Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results, D-Site MG Sump, 2017.....	88
Table 23.	Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results, D-Site Airshaft Sump, 2017	89
Table 24.	Quality Assurance Data for Radiological and Non-Radiological Samples for 2017 89	
Table 25.	Waste Characterization Report in 2017	91
Figure 1.	1,4 Dioxane PCE Distribution for Shallow Groundwater Wells, Annual Sampling Event- March 2017.....	92
Figure 2.	Potentiometric Surface Contours Shallow Groundwater Wells, Annual Sampling Event- March 2017.....	93
Figure 3.	PPPL On Site Sampling Location Map	94
Figure 4.	PPPL Off Site Sampling Location Map.....	95
Figure 5.	PPPL Air Permits Location Map	96

Table 1. PPPL NSTX-U Radiological Limits and Design Objectives

CONDITION			PUBLIC EXPOSURE ^(b)		OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE	
		P, Probability Of Occurrence In A Year	REGULATORY LIMIT (rem/year)	DESIGN OBJECTIVE (rem/year)	REGULATORY LIMIT (rem/year)	DESIGN OBJECTIVE (rem/year)
<u>ROUTINE OPERATION</u> Dose equivalent to an individual from routine operations (rem per year, unless otherwise indicated)	NORMAL OPERATIONS	P~1	0.1 Total, 0.01 ^(c) Airborne, 0.004 Drinking Water	0.01 Total	5	1
	ANTICIPATED EVENTS	(1 > P ≥ 10 ⁻²)	0.5 Total (including normal operation)	0.05 per event		
<u>ACCIDENTS</u> Dose equivalent to an individual from an accidental release (rem per event)	UNLIKELY EVENTS	10 ⁻² > P ≥ 10 ⁻⁴	2.5	0.5	Emergency Exposure Situation: 5 to >25 depending on activity (property protection or lifesaving; see ESHD 5008, Section10.1302)	
	EXTREMELY UNLIKELY EVENTS	10 ⁻⁴ > P ≥ 10 ⁻⁶	25	5 ^(d)		
	INCREDIBLE EVENTS	10 ⁻⁶ > P	NA	NA		

P = Probability of occurrence in a year.

^(a) All operations must be planned to incorporate radiation safety guidelines, practices and procedures included in PPPL ESHD 5008, Section 10.

^(b) Evaluated at PPPL site boundary.

^(c) Compliance with this limit is to be determined by calculating the highest effective dose equivalent to any member of the public at any offsite point where there is a residence, school, business or office

^(d) For design basis accidents (DBAs), i.e., postulated accidents or natural forces and resulting conditions for which the confinement structure, systems, components and equipment must meet their functional goals, the design objective is 0.5 rem.

^(e) See PPPL ESHD-5008, Section 10, Chapter 10.1302 for emergency personnel exposure limits.

Table 2. Annual Precipitation Data for 2017

START DATE	WEEK	INCHES	CUM. INCHES	MONTHLY TOTAL	
3-Jan-17	1	0.5400	0.5400		
10-Jan-17	2	0.2600	0.8000		
17-Jan-17	3	0.9400	1.7400		
24-Jan-17	4	1.6100	3.3500	3.3500	JANUARY
31-Jan-17	5	0.0200	3.3700		
7-Feb-17	6	0.1800	3.5500		
14-Feb-17	7	0.7900	4.3400		
21-Feb-17	8	0.0000	4.3400		
28-Feb-17	9	0.4000	4.7400	1.3900	FEBRUARY
7-Mar-17	10	0.1200	4.8600		
14-Mar-17	11	1.2600	6.1200		
21-Mar-17	12	0.0400	6.1600		
28-Mar-17	13	1.4800	7.6400	2.9000	MARCH
4-Apr-17	14	2.4700	10.1100		
11-Apr-17	15	1.0000	11.1100		
18-Apr-17	16	0.0300	11.1400		
25-Apr-17	17	0.6300	11.7700	4.1300	APRIL
2-May-17	18	0.7400	12.5100		
9-May-17	19	1.6500	14.1600		
16-May-17	20	1.6600	15.8200		
23-May-17	21	0.4100	16.2300		
30-May-17	22	0.7700	17.0000	5.2300	MAY
6-Jun-17	23	1.3700	18.3700		
13-Jun-17	24	0.0000	18.3700		
20-Jun-17	25	1.7500	20.1200		
27-Jun-17	26	2.3300	22.4500	5.4500	JUNE
4-Jul-17	27	0.2800	22.7300		
11-Jul-17	28	0.4800	23.2100		
18-Jul-17	29	1.9100	25.1200		
25-Jul-17	30	2.8100	27.9300		
1-Aug-17	31	0.1500	28.0800	5.6300	JULY
8-Aug-17	32	1.7500	29.8300		
15-Aug-17	33	0.7900	30.6200		
22-Aug-17	34	0.0300	30.6500		
29-Aug-17	35	0.5200	31.1700	3.0900	AUGUST
5-Sep-17	36	0.5900	31.7600		
12-Sep-17	37	0.9100	32.6700		
19-Sep-17	38	0.3300	33.0000		
26-Sep-17	38	0.0300	33.0300	1.8600	SEPTEMBER
3-Oct-17	39	0.0000	33.0300		
10-Oct-17	40	0.7700	33.8000		
17-Oct-17	41	0.6300	34.4300		
24-Oct-17	42	0.4100	34.8400		
31-Oct-17	44	3.4100	38.2500	5.2200	OCTOBER
7-Nov-17	45	0.6300	38.8800		
14-Nov-17	46	0.2700	39.1500		
21-Nov-17	47	0.5600	39.7100		
28-Nov-17	48	0.0800	39.7900	1.5400	NOVEMBER
5-Dec-17	49	0.3000	40.0900		
12-Dec-17	50	0.3000	40.3900		
19-Dec-17	51	0.1300	40.5200		
26-Dec-17	52	0.8600	41.3800		
2-Jan-18	53	0.0000	41.3800	1.5900	DECEMBER

Table 3. D– Site Tritium Stack Releases in Curies in 2017

Week Ending	HTO (Ci)	HT (Ci)	Weekly Total (Ci)
1/4/17	0.02850	0.00115	0.02965
1/11/17	0.03360	0.00131	0.03491
1/18/17	0.03770	0.00104	0.03874
1/25/17	0.03010	0.00109	0.03119
2/1/17	0.03880	0.00078	0.03958
2/8/17	0.03280	0.00139	0.03419
2/15/17	0.03040	0.00082	0.03122
2/22/17	0.03220	0.00092	0.03312
3/1/17	0.03500	0.00096	0.03596
3/8/17	0.03990	0.00169	0.04159
3/15/17	0.03140	0.00075	0.03215
3/22/17	0.05650	0.00213	0.05863
3/29/17	0.03520	0.00100	0.03620
4/5/17	0.03120	0.00104	0.03224
4/12/17	0.04130	0.00103	0.04233
4/19/17	0.04080	0.00114	0.04194
4/26/17	0.08600	0.00135	0.08735
5/3/17	0.04790	0.00169	0.04959
5/10/17	0.04880	0.00143	0.05023
5/17/17	0.04580	0.00138	0.04718
5/24/17	0.04560	0.00081	0.04641
5/31/17	0.04190	0.00116	0.04306
6/7/17	0.03600	0.00077	0.03677
6/14/17	0.04560	0.00130	0.04690
6/21/17	0.04530	0.00110	0.04640
6/28/17	0.04750	0.00115	0.04865
7/5/17	0.04980	0.00133	0.05113
7/12/17	0.05470	0.00157	0.05627
7/19/17	0.06850	0.00167	0.07017
7/26/17	0.04640	0.00195	0.04835
8/2/17	0.05490	0.00166	0.05656
8/9/17	0.03950	0.00135	0.04085
8/16/17	0.04530	0.00147	0.04677
8/24/17	0.03120	0.00098	0.03218
8/30/17	0.04100	0.00108	0.04208
9/6/17	0.03940	0.00119	0.04059
9/13/17	0.41300	0.00579	0.41879
9/20/17	0.19200	0.08540	0.27740
9/27/17	0.45300	0.00604	0.45904
10/4/17	0.03490	0.00585	0.04075
10/11/17	0.03560	0.00153	0.03713
10/18/17	0.04200	0.00142	0.04342
10/25/17	0.03550	0.00114	0.03664
11/1/17	0.04540	0.00137	0.04677
11/8/17	0.03860	0.00145	0.04005
11/15/17	0.26900	0.01300	0.28200
11/22/17	0.03070	0.00099	0.03169
11/29/17	0.03330	0.00125	0.03455
12/6/17	0.03270	0.00096	0.03366
12/13/17	0.02870	0.00088	0.02958
12/20/17	0.05590	0.00196	0.05786
Total (Ci)	3.2768	0.17366	3.45046

Table 4. Ground Water Tritium Concentrations for 2017 (in picoCuries/Liter)

Month	D-Site MG Sump	D-Site Airshaft Sump
January	*	*
February	*	*
March	*	*
April	*	*
May	*	*
June	*	*
July	*	*
August	*	*
September	*	*
October	*	*
November	*	*
December	*	*

Sumps are taken monthly

*All sample dates not listed or shown without a number, are below LLD and background

Table 5. Surface Water Tritium Concentrations for 2017 (in picoCuries/liter)

Month	Bee Brook (B1)	Bee Brook (B2)	Basin (DSN001)	Basin Dup (DSN004)	D&R Canal (C1)	D&R Canal (DSN003)	E1	M1	P1	P2
January			*		*	*				
February	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
March			*		*	*				
April			*		*	*				
May	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
June			*		*	*				
July			*		*	*				
August	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
September			*		*	*				
October			*		*	*				
November	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
December			*		*	*				

Sample locations B1, B2, DSN004, E1, M1, P1, and P2 are taken quarterly

Sample locations DSN001, DSN003, and C1 are taken monthly

* All sample dates not listed or shown without a number, were below the LLD and background

Table 6. Annual Range of Tritium Concentration at PPPL in Precipitation from 1985 to 2017

<u>Year</u>	<u>Tritium Range picoCuries/Liter</u>	<u>Precipitation In Inches</u>	<u>Difference from Middlesex County Avg. Precipitation of 46.5 inches/yr</u>
1985	40 to 160		
1986	40 to 140		
1987	26 to 144		
1988	34 to 105		
1989	7 to 90	55.4	+8.8
1990	14 to 94	50.3	+3.8
1991	10 to 154	45.1	-1.5
1992	10 to 838	41.9	-4.6
1993	25 to 145	42.7	-3.8
1994	32 to 1,130	51.3	+4.8
1995	<19 to 2,561	35.6	-10.9
1996	<100 to 21,140	61.0	+14.5
1997	131 to 61,660	42.0	-4.5
1998	<108 to 26,450	42.9	-3.6
1999	<58 to 7,817	47.3(38.7 w/out Floyd)	+0.8(-7.8)
2000	<31 to 3,617	38.7	-7.8
2001	153 to 14,830	32.8	-13.7
2002	24 to 3,921	47.9	+1.4
2003	9 to 1,126	54.7	+8.2
2004	27 to 427	40.5	-6.0
2005	<37 to 623	48.4	+1.9
2006	9 to 3,600	48.1	+1.6
2007	<93 to 1,440	49.1	+2.6
2008	<103 to 1,212	48.2	+1.7
2009	< Bkg to 375	47.1	+1.6
2010	<105 to 469	40.8	-5.7
2011	<109 to 269	65.1	+18.6
2012	3 to 182	38.9	-7.6
2013	<Bkg to 1331	43.25	-3.25
2014	<Bkg to 216	45.06	-1.44
2015	<Bkg to 901	39.8	- 6.7
2016	<Bkg to 1396	34.82	-11.7
2017	<Bkg	41.37	-5.13

Table 7. Liquid Effluent Collection Tank Release Data for 2017

Release Date	Gallons Released	Tritium Sample LLD (pCi/L)	Tritium Sample Activity (pCi/L)	Total Tritium Tank Activity (Ci)
1/20/2017	8,550	3.58E+02	9.23E+03	4.46E-04
7/10/2017	12,150	3.66E+02	1.29E+04	6.24E-04
7/10/2017	12,750	2.91E+02	3.56E+03	1.72E-04
7/27/2017	12,750	4.71E+02	3.15E+03	1.52E-04
8/7/2017	12,750	4.11E+02	7.52E+03	3.63E-04
8/25/2017	12,750	2.74E+02	4.32E+03	2.09E-04
9/25/2017	12,750	2.92E+02	3.15E+03	1.52E-04
10/6/2017	12,750	2.90E+03	1.58E+03	7.61E-05
Total	97,200 gal.			0.0022 Ci 0.22% Limit

Table 8. Total Fuel Consumption by Fuel Type from 2012 to 2017

<i>Year</i>	<i>Natural Gas (mmcf)</i>	<i>Fuel Oil # 2 (kgals.)</i>
2012	0.201	4.8
2013	0.262	5.0
2014	0.267	18.5
2015	0.209	12.8
2016	0.233	4.86
2017	0.244	0.1
Permit limit	2.176	251

* Note: No. 2 Fuel oil consumption first began December 2004.
 No. 4 Fuel oil no longer burned after December 2004.
 mmcf = millions of cubic feet
 kgals. = thousands of gallons

Table 9. Surface Water Analysis for Bee Brook, B1, in 2017*Location B1 = Bee Brook upstream of PPPL basin discharge*

B1								
Parameters	Units		February		May		August	Nov.
			2017		2017		2017	2017
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L	J	19.90		27.90	<	20.00	26.30
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	<	0.050		0.058		0.086	< 0.050
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L	<	1.00	<	4.00	<	4.00	5.00
Field Parameters								
pH	SU		6.62		6.84		7.27	6.78
Oxidation-Reduction Potential, ORP	mV		67.1		6.1		-11.3	8.8
Temperature	°C		5.9		18.6		21.1	8.6

*Blank indicates no measurement NL = no limit***Table 10. Surface Water Analysis for Bee Brook, B2, in 2017***Location B2 = Bee Brook downstream of PPPL basin discharge*

B2								
Parameters	Units		February		May		August	Nov.
			2017		2017		2017	2017
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L	J	15.30		20.30	<	20.00	29.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	<	0.050	<	0.050	<	0.050	< 0.050
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L	<	1.00		7.10		4.50	4.10
Field Parameters								
pH	SU		6.51		6.40		6.69	6.67
Oxidation-Reduction Potential, ORP	mV		25.7		20.4		20.0	14.4
Temperature	°C		7.6		18.6		21.7	8.6

Blank indicates no measurement NL = no limit

Table 11. Surface Water Analysis for Delaware & Raritan Canal, C1, in 2017*Location C1 = Delaware & Raritan Canal State Park at Mapleton Avenue, Plainsboro midway on pedestrian bridge*

C1													
Parameters		Units	January		Feb.		March		April		May		June
			2017		2017		2017		2017		2017		2017
Chemical Oxygen Demand,	mg/L	<	12.80	<	12.80	J	24.40	<	20.00	<	20.00	<	20.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	<	0.05	<	0.050	<	0.050	<	0.050		0.740	<	0.050
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L		3.88	<	1.00	J	2.54		22.00	<	4.00	<	4.00
Field Parameters													
pH	SU		6.44		6.08		6.18		7.22		8.12		7.04
Oxidation-Reduction	mV		27.40		48.1		44.8		-13.0		-63.4		-3.8
Temperature	° C		4.00		2.4		10.6		16.1		20.8		19.7

C1													
Parameters	Units		July		Aug.		Sept.		Oct.		Nov.		Dec.
			2017		2017		2017		2017		2017		2017
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L	<	20.00	<	20.00		21.10		20.50	<	20.00	<	20.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L		0.054		0.063	<	0.050	<	0.050	<	0.050		0.068
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L	<	4.00	<	4.00		4.90	<	4.00	<	4.00	<	4.00
Field Parameters													
pH	SU		6.89		6.92		7.03		6.91		8.25		8.21
Oxidation-Reduction Potential,	mV		9.60		7.9		1.7		0.6		-63.4		-72.6
Temperature	° C		26.20		25.9		22.3		19.7		12.3		8.1

Blank indicates no measurement NL = no limit

Table 12. Surface Water Analysis for NJ American Water, E1, in 2017*Location E1 = NJ American Water (potable) collected at Main Gate Security Booth*

E1									
Parameters	Units		February		May		August		Nov.
			2017		2017		2017		2017
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L	<	12.80	<	20.00	<	20.00	<	20.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L		0.340	<	0.050		0.270		0.490
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L	<	1.00	<	4.00	<	4.00	<	4.00
Field Parameters									
pH	SU		5.89		7.58		6.89		7.81
Oxidation-Reduction Potential,	mV		60.1		-33.7		9.7		-42.0
Temperature	° C		11.5		19.2		22.3		17.1

Blank indicates no measurement NL = no limit

Table 13. Surface Water Analysis for Millstone River, M1, in 2017

Location M1 = Millstone River at Delaware & Raritan Canal State Park at Mapleton Road

M1							
Parameters	Units		February	May	August		Nov.
			2017	2017	2017		2017
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L J		17.60	20.30	24.30	<	20.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L J		0.068	< 0.050	0.086		0.066
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L		5.82	8.50	7.70		4.30
Field Parameters							
pH	SU		5.93	7.21	7.01		8.69
Oxidation-Reduction Potential, ORP	mV		56.5	-14.2	-		-84.7
Temperature	° C		4.6	22.3	29.9		12.2

Blank indicates no measurement NL = no limit

Table 14. Surface Water Analysis for Cranbury Brook (Plainsboro), P1, in 2017

Location P1 = Cranbury Brook at George Davison Road, Plainsboro mid-span on bridge southbound

P1							
Parameters	Units		February	May	August		Nov.
			2017	2017	2017		2017
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L J		15.30	22.90	< 20.00	<	20.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	<	0.050	0.099	0.086	<	0.050
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L		12.40	12.20	< 4.00	<	4.00
Field Parameters							
pH	SU		5.99	7.27	6.66		8.57
Oxidation-Reduction Potential, ORP	mV		53.1	-17.5	22.3		-78.6
Temperature	° C		3.5	23.5	26.8		11.6

Blank indicates no measurement NL = no limit

Table 15. Surface Water Analysis for Devil's Brook (Plainsboro), P2, in 2017

Location P2 = Devil's Brook at Schalks Road overpass, adjacent to Amtrak railroad tracks

P2							
Parameters	Units		February	May		August	Nov.
			2017	2017		2017	2017
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L J		24.40	48.30	<	20.00	31.60
Phosphorus, total	mg/L <		0.050	0.061	<	0.050	< 0.050
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L J		2.99	7.40		5.20	< 4.00
Field Parameters							
pH	SU		6.08	7.17		6.68	8.34
Oxidation-Reduction Potential, ORP	mV		48.3	11.5		-	-67.3
Temperature	° C		4.0	22.2		23.6	10.1

Blank indicates no measurement NL = no limit

**Table 16. DSN001 – Retention Basin Outfall Surface Water Analysis (NJPDES
NJ0023922) in 2017**

DSN001													
Parameters	Units	Permit Limit		January		Feb.		March		April		May	June
Chemical Oxygen Demand,	mg/L	50.0	<	12.80	J	15.30	J	22.20	<	20.00	<	20.00	< 20.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	NL	J	0.058	<	0.050	<	0.050	<	0.050	<	0.050	< 0.050
Tetrachloroethylene, PCE	ug/L	0.703	J	0.60	<	0.50	J	0.50	J	0.48	J	0.47	J 0.45
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon, TPHC	mg/L	15 Max 10 Avg	J	2.10	<	1.40	<	1.40	<	5.30	<	5.00	< 5.10
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L	50.0		3.13	<	1.00	J	2.39		6.40	<	4.00	4.90
Field Parameters													
Chlorine Produced Oxidants, Max CPO (Avg)	mg/L	0.1		0.030		0.000		0.030 0.020		0.000 0.010		0.010 0.040	0.070 0.050
pH (Max)	SU	>6; <9		7.38		7.35		6.66		7.47		6.64	6.59
pH (Min)								6.81		7.43		6.75	6.72
Oxidation-Reduction	mV	NL		-22.8		-20.1		19.5		-23.2		17.1	17.8
Temperature (Max)	° C	30		8.3		10.9		12.5		13.5		18.4	18.8

DSN001													
Parameters	Units	Permit Limit		July		August		Sept.		Oct.		Nov.	Dec.
Chemical Oxygen Demand,	mg/L	50.0	<	20.00	<	20.00	<	20.00	<	20.00	<	20.00	< 20.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	NL	<	0.050	<	0.050		0.057		0.160		0.400	< 0.050
Tetrachloroethylene, PCE	ug/L	0.703	<	0.50	<	0.50	<	0.50	<	0.50	<	0.50	< 0.50
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon,	mg/L	15 Max	<	5.20	<	5.10	<	5.10	<	5.10	<	5.10	< 4.60
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L	50.0	<	4.00	<	4.00	<	4.00		8.50	<	4.00	< 4.00
Field Parameters													
Chlorine Produced Oxidant, CPO (Avg)	mg/L	0.1		0.080 0.080		0.000 0.030		0.040 0.060		0.050 0.080		0.030 0.080	0.000 0.030
pH (Max)	SU	>6; <9		8.22		6.67		6.86		6.71		6.53	6.63
pH (Min)				8.30		6.97		6.78		6.71		6.51	6.65
Oxidation-Reduction	mV	NL		-59.5		21.3		14.6		10.8		22.2	10.9
Temperature (Max)	° C	30		21.2		21.2		20.5		16.6		11.3	11.0

Blank indicates no measurement NL = no limit

Table 17. D&R Canal Pump House – DSN003

Monthly Surface Water Analysis (NJPDES NJ0023922) in 2017

DSN003														
Parameters	Units	Permit Limit		January		Feb.		March		April		May	June	
Chemical Oxygen	mg/L	50	<	12.80	<	12.80		54.00	<	20.00	<	20.00	<	20.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	NL	<	0.050	<	0.050	<	0.050	<	0.050		0.120	<	0.050
Total Petroleum	mg/L	15 Max	<	1.40	J	1.60	<	1.40	<	5.30	<	5.00	<	5.00
Total Suspended Solids,	mg/L	NL	J	1.49	J	1.19	J	1.64		4.30		10.00		4.20
Field Parameters														
CPO (Max)	mg/L	0.1		0.04		0.03		0.00		0.02		0.03		0.00
CPO (Avg)				0.035		0.025				0.02				
pH (Max)	SU	>6;<9		6.51		6.21		6.79		7.24		7.67		6.95
pH (Min)				6.47		6.10				7.12				
Oxidation-Reduction	mV	NL		25.90		42.70		13		-14.0		-38.9		0.00
Temperature (Max)	° C	30 Max		5.10		3.80		13.10		12.30		21.30		19.90

DSN003														
Parameters	Units	Permit Limit		July		Aug.		Sept.		Oct.		Nov.		Dec.
Chemical Oxygen Demand,	mg/L	50	<	20.00	<	20.00	<	20.00	<	20.00	<	20.00	<	20.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	NL		0.074		0.120		0.067		0.140	<	0.050	<	0.050
Total Petroleum	mg/L	15 Max	<	5.00	<	5.00	<	5.50	<	5.20	<	5.40	<	4.70
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L	NL		5.10		4.10	<	4.00	<	4.00	<	4.00	<	4.00
Field Parameters														
CPO (Max)	mg/L	0.1		0.07		0.030		0.00		0.020		0.010		0.000
CPO (Avg)				0.08				0.00						
pH	SU	>6;<9		7.28		7.06		7.00		6.97		8.71		8.10
Oxidation-Reduction	mV	NL		-		0.20		3.40		-2.70		-86.20		-66.80
Temperature (Max)	° C	30 Max		27.70		26.90		22.10		19.80		13.50		8.10

Blank indicates no measurement NL = no limit

Table 18. Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results – March 2017

Target Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)

Well No.	MW-3S	MW-5I	MW-5S	MW-9S	MW-12S	MW-13S	MW-13I	MW-17	MW-18	MW-19S	MW-19I	MW-22S	NJ Ground	
PPPL Sample No.	17-130	17-132	17-131	17-133	17-134	17-135	17-136	17-137	17-138	17-139	17-140	17-141	Water Standard	
Target Volatile Organic Compounds (ug/L)														
Tetrachloroethylene	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	2.3	<1.0	12.9	26.4	10.3	<1.0	64.8	<1.0	<1.0	1	
Trichloroethylene	<1.0	2.2	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	6.3	0.47 J	3.2	<1.0	2.7	<1.0	<1.0	1	
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	<1.0	3.9	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	8.8	0.97 J	0.84 J	<1.0	1.6	<1.0	<1.0	70	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	0.74 J	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	30	
1,1-Dichloroethylene	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	0.56 J	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1	
Chloroform	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	0.28 J	1.6	0.42 J	0.84 J	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	70	
Vinyl Chloride	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1	
Tentatively Identified Compounds (ug/L)														
Unknown	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	–	
Target Semi-Volatile Organic Compound (ug/L)														
1,4-Dioxane	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	NA	<0.10	NA	0.167	<0.10	1.17	NA	NA	0.4	
Natural Attenuation Indicators														
Chloride	mg/L	17	644	64.7	6.3	482	89.1	23.4	33.9	24.2	5.4	204	293	250
Manganese	mg/L	NA	0.933	<0.015	<0.015	<0.015	NA	NA	0.0768	0.264	NA	NA	NA	0.05
Alkalinity	mg/L	58.3	135	32.4	52.9	32.4	51.3	82.1	20.5	<5.0	<5.0	24.8	<5.0	–
Nitrate as N	mg/L	0.28	<0.11	2.1	<0.11	2.7	0.2	0.46	0.19	<0.11	0.44	1.5	1.7	10
Nitrite	mg/L	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	1
Sulfide	mg/L	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	–
Total Organic Carbon	mg/L	10.6	<1.0	<1.0	3.5	<1.0	1.1	<1.0	1.5	1.1	1.4	<1.0	<1.0	–
Ferrous Iron	mg/L	<0.20	0.2	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.2	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	–
Dissolved Methane	mg/L	3.2	4.6	<0.11	<0.11	<0.11	<0.11	<0.11	0.45	<0.11	0.21	<0.11	<0.11	–
Dissolved Ethane	mg/L	NA	<0.23	<0.23	NA	<0.23	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	–
Dissolved Ethene	mg/L	NA	<0.31	<0.31	NA	<0.31	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	–
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	0.00	0.00	NA	2.8	0.01	0.00	0.00	5.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.17	–
pH	Std. Units	5.99	7.00	NA	6.02	5.78	5.79	6.48	5.51	5.36	5.08	5.41	4.78	–
Redox Potential	mVe	147	-83	NA	177	163	142	67	192	150	26.5	160	272	–

NOTES:

J - Estimated, concentration listed greater than the MDL but lower than the lowest standard.

N - Indicates presumptive evidence of the compound's presence.

ND - Analyte Not Detected

NA - Not Analyzed

Ground water quality standards as published in N.J.A.C. 7:9-6.9.

— - Compound-specific Ground Water Quality Standard not published.

* MW-26S is duplicate sample from well MW-13S.

Table 18 cont. Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results – March 2017

Target Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)

Well No.	MW-23	MW-24	MW-25S	MW-26S*	Basin Outflow	D-MG Sump	D-SITE AIR SHAFT	TB-1 [3/20]	TB-2 [3/21]	TB-3 [3/22]	TB-4 [3/23]	NJ Ground Water Standard
PPPL Sample No.	17-142	17-143	17-144	17-145	17-146	17-147	17-148	17-149	17-149	17-149	17-149	
Target Volatile Organic Compounds (ug/L)												
Tetrachloroethylene	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	11.6	0.48 J	22.6	1.5	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1
Trichloroethylene	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	6	<1.0	2.2	0.32 J	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	<1.0	<1.0	0.89 J	8.7	<1.0	1.1	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	30
1,1-Dichloroethylene	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	0.36 J	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1
Chloroform	<1.0	0.40 J	<1.0	0.27 J	0.79 J	<1.0	0.32 J	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	70
Vinyl Chloride	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1
Tentatively Identified Compounds (ug/L)												
Unknown	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	–
Target Semi-Volatile Organic Compound (ug/L)												
1,4-Dioxane	NA	NA	0.12	<0.10	NA	<0.10	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.4
Natural Attenuation Indicators												
Chloride	mg/L	8	13.5	54.8	89.3	182	183	171	NA	NA	NA	250
Manganese	mg/L	NA	NA	3.15	NA	0.0996	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.05
Alkalinity	mg/L	7.6	<5.0	62.1	49.7	67	103	126	NA	NA	NA	–
Nitrate as N	mg/L	0.11	0.22	<0.11	0.33	1.2	1	1.4	NA	NA	NA	10
Nitrite	mg/L	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	NA	NA	NA	1
Sulfide	mg/L	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	NA	NA	NA	–
Total Organic Carbon	mg/L	1.3	<1.0	8.2	1.3	2.2	1.5	<1.0	NA	NA	NA	–
Ferrous Iron	mg/L	<0.20	<0.20	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	NA	NA	NA	–
Dissolved Methane	mg/L	0.99	<0.11	1.2	<0.11	0.17	1.8	<0.11	NA	NA	NA	–
Dissolved Ethane	mg/L	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	–
Dissolved Ethene	mg/L	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	–
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	0.0	2.17	0.0	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	–
pH	Std. Units	4.88	5.05	6.40	5.79	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	–
Redox Potential	mVe	235	201	32	142	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	–

NOTES: J - Estimated, concentration listed greater than the MDL but lower than the lowest standard.

a - This compound in BS is outside in house QC limits bias high.

* MW-26S is duplicate sample from well MW-13S.

Ground water quality standards as published in N.J.A.C. 7:9C.

-- Compound-specific Ground Water Quality Standard not published.

Table 19. Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results –June 2017

Target Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC), Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA)

Well No.	MW-3S	MW-5I	MW-5S	MW-9S	MW-13S	MW-17	MW-18	MW-19S	MW-25S	D-MG SUMP	MW-26S *	Trip Blank	Trip Blank	NJ Ground
PPPL Sample No.	17-269	17-271	17-270	17-272	17-273	17-274	17-275	17-276	17-277	17-279	17-278	17-280	17-281	Water Standard
Target Volatile Organic Compounds (ug/L)														
Tetrachloroethylene	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	24.5	16.7	8.9	<0.500	1.8	<0.500	4.6	14.8	<0.500	<0.500	1
Trichloroethylene	<0.27	2.2	<0.27	5.7	6.2	1.2	<0.27	3.6	0.83 J	15.9	5.4	<0.27	<0.27	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	<0.500	4.4	<0.500	2.2	13.5	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	2	0.61 J	12.3	<0.500	<0.500	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	0.29 J	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	30
1,1-Dichloroethane	<0.21	0.31 J	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	50
1,1-Dichloroethylene	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	1
Chloroform	<0.29	<0.29	0.48 J	2.1	0.40 J	<0.29	3	<0.29	<0.29	<0.29	0.37 J	<0.29	<0.29	70
Vinyl Chloride	<0.62	<0.62	<0.62	<0.62 a	0.71 J	<0.62	<0.62	<0.62	<0.62	<0.62	<0.62	<0.62	<0.62	1
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-Trifluoroethane	<1.2	<1.2	<1.2	9.9	63.3	<1.2	<1.2	<1.2	<1.2	2.8 J	53.3	<1.2	<1.2	--
Target Semi-Volatile Organic Compound (ug/L)														
1,4-Dioxane	0.178	<0.049	<0.055	0.322	0.498	<0.049	<0.049	0.870	<0.050	<0.048	0.500	NA	NA	0.4
Natural Attenuation Indicators														
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	0.00	0.19	NA	0.40	5.70	0.02	1.42	1.11	0.12	NA	5.70	NA	--
pH	Std. Units	5.65	7.91	NA	6.01	6.01	6.19	4.96	4.78	5.99	NA	6.01	NA	--
Redox Potential	mVe	77	-104	NA	263	79	102	280	242	63	NA	79	NA	--

NOTES: J - Estimated, concentration listed greater than the MDL but lower than the lowest standard.

a - This compound in BS is outside in house QC limits bias high.

* MW-26S is duplicate sample from well MW-13S.

Ground water quality standards as published in N.J.A.C. 7:9C.

-- Compound-specific Ground Water Quality Standard not published.

Table 20. Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results –September 2017

Target Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC), Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA)

Well No.	MW-3S	MW-5I	MW-5S	MW-9S	MW-13S	MW-17	MW-18	MW-19S	MW-25S	D-MG SUMP	MW-26S *	Trip Blank	Trip Blank	NJ Ground
PPPL Sample No.	17-338	17-340	17-339	17-341	17-342	17-343	17-344	17-345	17-346	17-348	17-347	17-349	17-350	Water Standard
Target Volatile Organic Compounds (ug/L)														
Tetrachloroethylene	<0.500	<0.500	0.69 J	9.6	13.6	21.9	0.53 J	96.1	<0.500	43.1	12.5	<0.500	<0.500	1
Trichloroethylene	<0.27	0.52 J	<0.27	7.2	4.5	3.8	2.1	4.1	0.77 J	4.9	4.1	<0.27	<0.27	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	2.9	11.8	0.82 J	3.5	2.6	2.8	2.3	11	<0.500	<0.500	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.25	<0.25	4.6	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	30
1,1-Dichloroethane	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	0.22 J	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	50
1,1-Dichloroethylene	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	0.73 J	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	1
Chloroethane	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	5
Vinyl Chloride	<0.62	<0.62	<0.62	<0.62	0.76 J	<0.62	<0.62	<0.62	<0.62	<0.62	0.68 J	<0.62	<0.62	1
Target Semi-Volatile Organic Compound (ug/L)														
1,4-Dioxane	0.176	<0.054	0.197	0.128	0.431	0.260	0.166	0.701	<0.049	0.133	0.346	NA	NA	0.4
Natural Attenuation Indicators														
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	1.00	0	NA	0.00	8.09	0	0	0	NA	8.09	NA	NA	--
pH	Std. Units	5.94	7.09	NA	5.96	5.57	5.16	5.06	4.85	5.99	5.57	NA	NA	--
Redox Potential	mVe	105	-70	NA	140	57	178	200	195	36	57	NA	NA	--

NOTES: J - Estimated, concentration listed greater than the MDL but lower than the lowest standard.

a - This compound in BS is outside in house QC limits bias high.

* MW-26S is duplicate sample from well MW-13S.

Ground water quality standards as published in N.J.A.C. 7:9C.

-- Compound-specific Ground Water Quality Standard not published.

Table 21. Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results –December 2017

Target Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)

Well No. PPPL Sample No.	MW-3S 18-043	MW-5I 18-045	MW-5S 18-044	MW-9S 18-046	MW-13S 18-047	MW-17 18-048	MW-18 18-049	MW-19S 18-050	MW-25S 18-051	D-MG SUMP 18-053	MW-26S * 18-052	Trip Blank 18-054	Trip Blank 18-054	NJ Ground Water Standard
Target Volatile Organic Compounds (ug/L)														
Tetrachloroethylene	<0.500	<0.500	<0.500	5.6	12.3	16	<0.500	101	<0.500	33.9	11.8	<0.500	<0.500	1
Trichloroethylene	<0.27	2.3	<0.27	4.2	6.1	3.3	0.54 J	4.7	0.98 J	3.9	5.9	<0.27	<0.27	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	<0.500	4.5	<0.500	1.9	13.8	0.62 J	<0.500	2.8	2.2	2.2	13.8	<0.500	<0.500	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.25	<0.25	0.87 J	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	30
1,1-Dichloroethane	<0.21	0.36 J	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	50
1,1-Dichloroethylene	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	0.68 J	<0.47	<0.47	<0.47	1
Chloroethane	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	<0.59	5
Vinyl Chloride	<0.62	<0.62	<0.62	<0.62	0.99 J	<0.62	<0.62	<0.62	<0.62	<0.62	0.95 J	<0.62	<0.62	1
Target Semi-Volatile Organic Compound (ug/L)														
1,4-Dioxane	<0.054	0.161	<0.049	0.160	0.351	0.307	0.227	0.821	<0.057	0.134	0.343	NA	NA	0.4
Natural Attenuation Indicators														
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	6.10	0	NA	0.00	9.91	0	4.89	0	0	NA	9.91	NA	--
pH	Std. Units	7.08	7.16	NA	5.92	6.2	6.61	6.61	6.28	7.15	NA	6.2	NA	--
Redox Potential	mVe	113	-72	NA	61	-12	115	71	73	6	NA	-12	NA	--

NOTES: J - Estimated, concentration listed greater than the MDL but lower than the lowest standard.
a - This compound in BS is outside in house QC limits bias high.
* MW-26S is duplicate sample from well MW-13S.
Ground water quality standards as published in N.J.A.C. 7:9C.
-- Compound-specific Ground Water Quality Standard not published.

Table 22. Summary of Groundwater Sampling Results – D-Site MG Sump, 2017

D-Site MG Sump																	
Parameters	Units	January	Feb.	March	April	May	June	July	August	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.				
		2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017				
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L	J	495.00			< 20.00			< 20.00			< 20.00					
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	J	0.07	25.900	J	0.076	< 0.050	2.000	0.800	< 0.05	1.000	0.380	4.500	0.550	< 0.050		
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L		240.00			45.80			775.00				496.00				

Blank indicates no measurement NA = not applicable NL = no limit

Table 23. Summary of Groundwater Sampling Results – D-Site Airshaft Sump, 2017

D-Site Airshaft Sump																													
Parameters		Units		January		Feb.		March		April		May		June		July		August		Sept.		Oct.		Nov.		Dec.			
				2017		2017		2017		2017		2017		2017		2017		2017		2017		2017		2017		2017			
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD		mg/L		J		15.30				<		20.00				<		20.00				<		20.00					
Phosphorus, total		mg/L		<		0.05		J		0.076		<		0.050		<		0.050		<		0.050		<		0.050		0.070	
Total Suspended Solids, TSS		mg/L		<		1.00				<		4.00				<		4.00				<		4.00					

Blank indicates no measurement NA = not applicable NL = no limit

Table 24. Quality Assurance Data for Radiological and Non-Radiological Samples for 2017

Laboratory, Program and Parameter	Units	Reported Value	Actual Value	Acceptance Range	Acceptable Not Acceptable
ERA Co.					
April 2017 RAD-109, Proficiency Test					
Barium-133	pCi/L	49.81	49.7	40.8 - 55.1	Acceptable
Cesium-134	pCi/L	88.96	90.1	74.0 – 99.1	Acceptable
Cesium-137	pCi/L	212.2	206	185 - 228	Acceptable
Cobalt-60	pCi/L	55.64	54.7	49.2 – 62.7	Acceptable
Zinc-65	pCi/L	59.46	53.8	47.2 – 65.9	Acceptable
Tritium	pCi/L	7212.61	6850	5920 - 7540	Acceptable
ERA Co.					
October 2017 RAD-111, Proficiency Test					
Barium-133	pCi/L	76.47	73.7	61.7 - 81.1	Acceptable
Cesium-134	pCi/L	50.96	53.0	42.8 - 58-3	Acceptable
Cesium-137	pCi/L	56.1	52.9	47.6 - 61.1	Acceptable
Cobalt-60	pCi/L	74.32	69.5	62.6 – 78.9	Acceptable
Zinc-65	pCi/L	383.3	348	313 - 406	Acceptable
Tritium	pCi/L	6459.46	6250	5390 - 6880	Acceptable
Phenova					
April 2017 WP-0317					
pH	S.U.	9.67	9.67	9.47 – 9.87	Acceptable
Residual Chlorine	mg/L	2.50	2.02	1.49 – 2.36	Not Acceptable
Phenova					
September 2017 WP-0917					
Residual Chlorine	mg/L	1.94	1.72	1.27 – 2.02	Acceptable

Table 25. Waste Characterization Report (WCR) Surface Water Sampling 2017

No limits exceeded, only parameters listed above non-detect

Laboratory Parameter	Reported Value (mg/L)	
DSN001		
Semi Annual	May	November
Barium	0.275	<0.0919
Beryllium	-	<0.0004
Copper	-	<0.0539
Lead	-	<0.0026
Manganese	0.152	<0.059
Nickel	-	<0.0033
Selenium	-	<0.0066
Thallium	-	<0.0016
Zinc	-	<0.0481
DSN001 Annual	February	
Barium	0.281	
Manganese	0.110	
Zinc	0.0148 J	
Chloride	202	
Beta-BHC	0.0072 J ug/L	
DSN003		
Annual		
No parameters detected, May 2017		

Figure 1. 1,4 Dioxane PCE Distribution for Shallow Groundwater Wells Annual Sampling Event March 2017




Figure 2. Potentiometric Surface Contours Shallow Groundwater Wells Annual Sampling Event- March 2017




Figure 3. PPPL On Site Sampling Location Map



Figure 4. PPPL Off Site Sampling Location Map





Figure 5. PPPL Air Permitted Equipment Location Map



Appendix

B

REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

External Distribution

Argonne National Laboratory
Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Congress (*Sen. C. Booker, Sen. R. Menendez, Rep. R. Frelinghuysen, Rep. B. Watson-Coleman*)
Congressional Information Service
DOE Office of Science Integrated Support Center (ISC) Chicago Office (CH)
DOE Office of Environment, Health, Safety and Security, AU-20,
 A. Lawrence, Deputy Associate Under Secretary [1]
DOE Office of Environment, Health, Safety and Security (*R. Natoli*) [1]
DOE Office of Fusion Energy Research [1]
DOE Office of Science [1]
EPA/Region II
DOE Princeton Site Office (*P. Johnson, T. Estes*) [3]
Fermilab
Forrestal Development Center
General Atomics
Hanford National Laboratory
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Los Alamos National Laboratory
Idaho National Laboratory
Middlesex County Health Department
National Nevada Test Site
NJDEP, Central Bureau of Water Compliance and Enforcement (*G. Pritchard*)
NJDEP, Bureau of Permitting (*M. Carasia Auriti, R. Hall*)
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Plainsboro Public Library
Plainsboro Township Environmental Advisory Committee (*E. Mosley*)
Sandia National Laboratory
Savannah River National Laboratory
Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

PPPL/Princeton University Distribution

G. Ascione	M. Donohue	M. Hughes	R. Nazikian	R. S. Sheneman
N. Atnafu	C. Eisgruber	J. Jackson-Devoe	G. H. Neilson	W. Slavin
L. Bagley	K. Ferraro	B. Jedic	M. Ono	T. Stevenson
A. Bhattacharjee	N. J. Fisch	M. Kevin-King	R. Ortego	J. Vanoy
L. Bernard	K. A. Fischer	J. Lacenere	PPPL Library	S.E. Weidner
W. Blanchard	C. Gentile	J. D. Levine	C. Reno	M. C. Zarnstorff
T. K. Brog	R. J. Goldston	D. J. McComas	V. Riccardo	S. J. Zweben
C. Cane	R. J. Hawryluk	J. Menard	T. Sandt	A. Zwicker
D. S. Carle	L. Hill	K. Morrison		✱
S. Cowley		A. Moten		

