Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

PPPL-5342

Photon polarizability and its effect on the dispersion of plasma waves

I. Y. Dodin

January 2017





Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-09CH11466.

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Report Disclaimers

Full Legal Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Trademark Disclaimer

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors

PPPL Report Availability

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory:

http://www.pppl.gov/techreports.cfm

Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI):

http://www.osti.gov/scitech/

Related Links:

U.S. Department of Energy

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Fusion Energy Sciences

Photon polarizability and its effect on the dispersion of plasma waves

I. Y. $Dodin^{1,2}\dagger$ and D. E. $Ruiz^1$

¹Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA

²Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey 08543, USA

(Received xx; revised xx; accepted xx)

High-frequency photons traveling in plasma exhibit a linear polarizability that can influence the dispersion of linear plasma waves. We present a detailed calculation of this effect for Langmuir waves as a characteristic example. Two alternative formulations are given. In the first formulation, we calculate the modified dispersion of Langmuir waves by solving the governing equations for the electron fluid, where the photon contribution enters as a ponderomotive force. In the second formulation, we provide a derivation based on the photon polarizability. Then, the calculation of ponderomotive forces is not needed, and the result is more general.

1. Introduction

As we showed recently (Ruiz & Dodin 2016), high-frequency photons traveling in plasma exhibit a well defined linear polarizability. Hence, they contribute to the linear dielectric tensor just like any other plasma particles, such as electrons and ions. This implies that high-frequency photons can influence the dispersion of linear plasma waves. Here, we present a detailed calculation of this effect for Langmuir waves (LW).

Specifically, we develop a theory linear with respect to the LW amplitude ε . The photon density is assumed $O(\varepsilon^0)$, and perturbations to the photon density are assumed $O(\varepsilon^1)$. Hence, the LW can be understood as the linear modulational dynamics of the electromagnetic (EM) radiation. Two alternative formulations of this dynamics are given. In the first formulation (Sec. 2), we calculate the LW dispersion by solving the governing equations for the electron fluid, where the photon contribution enters as a ponderomotive force. A related calculation was also reported previously (Bingham *et al.* 1997; Mendonça 2000), but it has omissions that warrant a reconsideration. In the second formulation (Sec. 3), we invoke the photon-polarizability concept. Then, the theory becomes *linear*, ponderomotive forces do not need to be considered, and, consequently, more general results are obtained.

Although we focus on LW, the calculation presented here is only a characteristic example. The concept of the photon (plasmon, phonon, etc.) polarizability can be useful also in more general settings. For example, effects related to those considered here also apply to waves in solid-state media (Dylov & Fleischer 2008). We leave the consideration of such specific examples (other than LW) to future publications. The present paper aims only to illustrate the basic idea.

2. PDE-based approach

In this section, we present a formulation that is based on the partial-differential equations (PDE) governing the plasma motion. We assume that, to the zeroth order in ε , the plasma (including the photon content) is stationary and homogeneous. We also assume that the ions are motionless, that the electrons can be modeled as a fluid, and that the $O(\varepsilon^0)$ velocity of the electron fluid is zero. We also assume that the electrons are collisionless and nonmagnetized. Hence, the wave dynamics is described as follows.

Consider the electron continuity equation

$$\partial_t n + \nabla \cdot (n \mathbf{v}) = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where n is the electron density, and v is the electron flow velocity. After the linearization, Eq. (2.1) becomes

$$\partial_t \tilde{n} + n_0 \nabla \cdot \tilde{v} = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

[We use subscript 0 to denote $O(\varepsilon^0)$ quantities and tilde to denote $O(\varepsilon^1)$ quantities.] The velocity $\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}$ is found from the electron momentum equation

$$mn(\partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v} = ne\mathbf{E} - \nabla P + \eta, \tag{2.3}$$

where m and e < 0 are the electron mass and charge, P is the pressure, and η is the ponderomotive force density (averaged over the EM-field oscillations yet not over the LW oscillations). After the linearization, Eq. (2.3) becomes

$$\partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{e}{m} \,\tilde{\mathbf{E}} - \frac{\nabla \bar{P}}{mn_0} + \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}}}{mn_0}. \tag{2.4}$$

The electron gas is considered adiabatic, so $\tilde{P} = 3mv_T^2\tilde{n}$ [see, e.g., (Dodin *et al.* 2009)], where the constant v_T is the unperturbed thermal speed of electrons. Hence, we get

$$\partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{e}{m} \tilde{\mathbf{E}} - 3v_T^2 \frac{\nabla \tilde{n}}{n_0} + \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}}}{mn_0}.$$
 (2.5)

Substituting this into Eq. (2.2) gives $\partial_t^2 \tilde{n} = -n_0 \nabla \cdot \partial_t \tilde{v}$, or

$$\partial_t^2 \tilde{n} = -\frac{en_0}{m} \nabla \cdot \tilde{E} + 3v_T^2 \nabla^2 \tilde{n} - \frac{\nabla \cdot \tilde{\eta}}{m}.$$
 (2.6)

Using Gauss's law, $\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = 4\pi e \tilde{n}$, we then obtain

$$\partial_t^2 \tilde{n} + \omega_{p,0}^2 \tilde{n} - 3v_T^2 \nabla^2 \tilde{n} = -\frac{\nabla \cdot \tilde{\eta}}{m}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\omega_p^2 \doteq (4\pi n e^2/m)^{1/2}$. (We use the symbol \doteq to denote definitions.) Assume $\tilde{n} = \text{Re}\left(n_c \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\Omega t + \mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{K} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}}\right)$ and similarly for other "tilded" quantities. Then,

$$[\Omega^2 - \Omega_0^2(K)]\tilde{n}_c = iK \cdot \tilde{\eta}_c/m, \qquad (2.8)$$

where $\Omega_0(\mathbf{K}) = (\omega_{p,0}^2 + 3K^2v_T^2)^{1/2}$ is the LW frequency absent photons. Note that $Kv_T \ll \Omega$ is implied because otherwise electrons cannot be considered adiabatic but rather must be described kinetically (Stix 1992); hence,

$$\Omega_0(K) \approx \omega_{p,0} (1 + 3K^2 v_T^2 / 2\omega_{p,0}^2).$$
(2.9)

In the case of broad-band EM radiation, the average η equals the sum of the ponderomotive forces produced by its individual quasimonochromatic constituents, i.e., traveling geometrical-optics (GO) waves with well defined wave vectors k and the corresponding

frequencies

$$\omega = (\omega_p^2 + c^2 k^2)^{1/2}. (2.10)$$

Each such wave produces a per-electron average force $-\nabla \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$, where $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}} = e^2 |\mathbf{E}_c|^2/(4m\omega^2)$ is the ponderomotive potential, and \mathbf{E}_c is the complex amplitude of the EM-wave electric field \mathbf{E} (Gaponov & Miller 1958). [More specifically, we adopt $\mathbf{E} = \text{Re}(\mathbf{E}_c e^{\mathrm{i}\theta})$, where θ is the wave rapid phase. Accordingly, $\omega \doteq -\partial_t \theta$ and $\mathbf{k} \doteq \nabla \theta$.] Hence, the average force density is $\eta_{\mathbf{k}} = -n\nabla \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$. Let us also express this in terms of the wave action density $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{E}/\omega$, where (Dodin & Fisch 2012)

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{16\pi\omega} \mathbf{E}_c^* \cdot \partial_\omega [\omega^2 \epsilon(\omega, \mathbf{k})] \cdot \mathbf{E}_c$$
 (2.11)

is the wave energy density, and ϵ is the dielectric tensor. Using $\epsilon(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = 1 - \omega_p^2/\omega^2$, we get $\mathcal{E} = |\mathbf{E}_c|^2/(8\pi)$, so

$$\eta_{k} = -\frac{\omega_{p}^{2}}{2} \nabla \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}}{\omega}\right). \tag{2.12}$$

The force density η produced by broad-band radiation can be written as $\eta = \int \eta_k d^3k$, where \mathcal{I} is replaced with the *phase-space* action density F. [One can also understand F/\hbar as the phase-space photon probability distribution (Dodin 2014a).] This gives

$$\eta(t, \mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\omega_p^2}{2} \nabla \int \frac{F(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k})}{\omega(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k})} d^3k.$$
 (2.13)

Equation (2.13) is in agreement with the formula reported previously (Bingham *et al.* 1997; Mendonça 2000), at least up to a factor of two. However, in this work, we report a different linearization, which is as follows:

$$\tilde{\eta}_c = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} K \omega_{p,0}^2 \int \left(\frac{\tilde{F}_c}{\omega_0} - \frac{\tilde{\omega}_c F_0}{\omega_0^2} \right) d^3 k, \tag{2.14}$$

Here, $\omega_0 \doteq (\omega_{p,0}^2 + c^2 k^2)^{1/2}$ is the unperturbed frequency of the EM wave, and

$$\tilde{\omega}_c = \frac{\omega_{p,0}^2}{2\omega_0} \frac{\tilde{n}_c}{n_0} \tag{2.15}$$

is the perturbation on the EM-wave frequency due to the plasma density variations caused by the LW. The perturbation of the photon distribution \tilde{F}_c is obtained from the wave kinetic equation

$$\partial_t F + \partial_k \omega \cdot \nabla F - \partial_x \omega \cdot \nabla_k F = 0, \tag{2.16}$$

where $\omega = \omega(t, x, k)$, and $\partial_k \omega$ is understood as the group velocity. Since the unperturbed plasma is considered homogeneous, linearizing Eq. (2.16) leads to

$$\tilde{F}_c = -\frac{\tilde{\omega}_c \mathbf{K} \cdot \nabla_k F_0}{Q - \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{v}},\tag{2.17}$$

where $v_* \doteq ck/\omega_0$ is the unperturbed group velocity. Inserting Eqs. (2.15) and (2.17) into Eq. (2.14), we obtain

$$\tilde{\eta}_c = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{4} \left(\frac{\tilde{n}_c}{n_0} \right) K \omega_{p,0}^4 Q(\Omega, K), \tag{2.18}$$

where we introduced the following function:

$$Q(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) \doteq \int \left[\frac{\mathbf{K} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} F_0(\mathbf{k})}{(\Omega - \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{v}_*) \omega_0^2(k)} + \frac{F_0(\mathbf{k})}{\omega_0^3(k)} \right] d^3k.$$
 (2.19)

By substituting Eq. (2.18) into Eq. (2.8), we get

$$\Omega^2 - \Omega_0^2(K) = -\frac{\omega_{p,0}^4 K^2}{4mn_0} Q(\Omega, K).$$

Assuming that Ω is close to $\Omega_0 \approx \omega_{p,0}$, we can also simplify the left side here as follows:

$$\Omega^2 - \Omega_0^2(\mathbf{K}) \approx 2\omega_{p,0}[\Omega - \Omega_0(\mathbf{K})]. \tag{2.20}$$

Then, the dispersion relation becomes

$$\Omega \approx \Omega_0(\mathbf{K}) - \frac{\omega_{p,0}^3 K^2}{8mn_0} Q(\Omega, \mathbf{K}).$$
 (2.21)

The second term on the right side is the modification of the LW dispersion relation caused by the photon gas. Equation (2.21) differs from the corresponding relation reported previously (Bingham et al. 1997; Mendonça 2000) in the following aspects: (a) the order-one numerical coefficient in front of the integral is different, and, most importantly, the second term in the integrand in our expression for Q [Eq. (2.19)] is new. Notably, such terms are missed also in the general method reported by Tsytovich (1970) for calculating the nonlinear plasma dispersion. The wave-scattering paradigm that underlies this method assumes that the interaction between each pair of waves can be modeled as instantaneous, so the effect of the adiabatic frequency shift $\omega - \omega_0$ cannot be captured.

In order to estimate the photon contribution, suppose for clarity that $\omega \sim kc$ and $k \sim K$. (Under the assumptions adopted in the present section, this regime is accessible only marginally, but a more general theory given in Sec. 3 leads to similar estimates.) Then, the ratio of the two terms in the right side of Eq. (2.21) is roughly

$$\frac{\omega_{p,0}^3 K^2}{m n_0 \Omega_0} Q \sim \left(\frac{eE_c}{m c \omega}\right)^2 \equiv a^2, \tag{2.22}$$

where we assumed $Q \sim \omega^{-3} \int F_0 d^3k \sim \mathcal{I}/\omega^3 \sim |E_c|^2/(8\pi\omega^4)$. Note that a is the amplitude of the EM-driven momentum oscillations in units mc. Thus, in the non-relativistic limit assumed here, one has $a \ll 1$, so the photon contribution is small. Nevertheless, photons can have an important effect on the LW stability. For example, when resonant photons are present, the integrand in Eq. (2.19) has a pole on the real axis, and the integral must be taken along the Landau contour (Stix 1992). Then, Ω is complex, which signifies dissipation (positive or negative) of LW on photons. This effect is known as photon Landau damping (Bingham $et\ al.\ 1997$; Mendonça 2000) and has been demonstrated experimentally, albeit in a solid-state medium rather than plasma (Dylov & Fleischer 2008). Also note that LW are considered here only as a simple example, and the effect of photons on the dispersion of other waves can be more substantial.

3. Polarizability-based approach

Although the calculations of the ponderomotive forces are relatively straightforward in the situation considered in this paper, the problem can be much harder when Φ_{k} is velocity-dependent, i.e., when kinetic effects are essential (Dodin 2014b; Dodin & Fisch 2008a,b). Thus, it would be advantageous to develop a formulation of the modulational

dynamics that avoids this step altogether. Below, we propose such formulation that utilizes the photon-polarizability concept (Ruiz & Dodin 2016). Within this approach, ponderomotive forces do not need to be considered, and a more general dispersion relation is obtained.

3.1. General theory

The dispersion relation of electrostatic oscillations in plasma with dielectric tensor ϵ is given by

$$e_{K} \cdot \epsilon(\Omega, K) \cdot e_{K} = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

where $e_K \doteq K/K$ is the unit vector along the MW wave vector K, and Ω is the MW frequency. Consider a plasma whose dielectric tensor is some ϵ_0 plus the contribution from the photon gas. The latter contribution is the photon susceptibility, which can be written as follows:

$$\chi_{\rm ph}(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) = 4\pi \int \alpha_{\rm ph}(\Omega, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{k}) f_{\rm ph}(\mathbf{k}) d^3k, \qquad (3.2)$$

Here, $f_{\rm ph}$ is the unperturbed photon distribution, and $\alpha_{\rm ph}$ is the polarizability of a single photon. As shown previously (Ruiz & Dodin 2016),

$$\alpha_{\rm ph} = \frac{\hbar e^2 K^2 \Xi}{4m^2 \omega_0^3} e_K e_K, \tag{3.3}$$

where Ξ is a dimensionless coefficient given by

$$\Xi \doteq \frac{\Omega^2 - c^2 K^2}{(\Omega - \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{v}_*)^2 - (\Omega^2 - c^2 K^2)^2 / 4\omega_0^2(k)},\tag{3.4}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\Xi = -\sum_{\sigma=\pm 1} \frac{\sigma\omega_0(k)}{R_{\sigma}(\Omega, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{k})},\tag{3.5}$$

$$R_{\sigma}(\Omega, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{k}) \doteq \Omega - \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{*}(\mathbf{k}) + \sigma \frac{\Omega^{2} - c^{2}K^{2}}{2\omega_{0}(k)}.$$
 (3.6)

Thus. Eq. (3.1) can be expressed as follows:

$$\epsilon_0(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) + \chi_{\text{ph}}(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) = 0.$$
 (3.7)

Here, $\epsilon_0 \doteq e_K \cdot \epsilon_0(\Omega, K) \cdot e_K$, and $\chi_{\rm ph}(\Omega, K) \doteq e_K \cdot \chi_{\rm ph}(\Omega, K) \cdot e_K$, or, explicitly.

$$\chi_{\rm ph}(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) = -\frac{\omega_{p,0}^2 K^2}{4mn_0} \sum_{\sigma=\pm 1} \int \frac{\sigma F_0(\mathbf{k})}{\omega_0^2(k) R_{\sigma}(\Omega, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{k})} d^3k,$$

where $F_0 \doteq \hbar f_{\rm ph}$ is the photon action density, which is a classical quantity. Accordingly, Eq. (3.7) becomes

$$\epsilon_0(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) - \frac{\omega_{p,0}^2 K^2}{4mn_0} \sum_{\sigma=\pm 1} \int \frac{\sigma F_0(\mathbf{k})}{\omega_0^2(k) R_{\sigma}(\Omega, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{k})} d^3k = 0.$$
 (3.8)

Compared to Eq. (2.21) that was obtained within a PDE-based approach, Eq. (3.8) is more general. It allows for $k \sim K$ and does not assume any specific model of the background plasma; i.e., no assumptions regarding ϵ_0 are made. Moreover, the derivation applies as is to the case where the background plasma is weakly nonstationary and (or)

weakly inhomogeneous. [For more details, see Ruiz & Dodin (2016).] Also importantly, the same approach is readily extended to describe modulational dynamics of other waves too, as will be reported separately.

Finally, let us show how Eq. (3.8) reduces to Eq. (2.21) under the additional assumptions adopted in Sec. 2. First, assume the GO approximation for photons, namely, $K \ll k$. This implies (Ruiz & Dodin 2016; Dodin & Fisch 2014)

$$\Xi(\Omega, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{k}) \approx \frac{\Omega^2 - c^2 K^2}{(\Omega - \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{v}_+)^2}.$$
 (3.9)

Hence, the photon susceptibility becomes

$$\chi_{\rm ph}(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) = \frac{\omega_{p,0}^2 K^2}{4mn_0} \int \frac{\Omega^2 - c^2 K^2}{(\Omega - \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{v}_*)^2 \omega_0^3(k)} F_0(\mathbf{k}) d^3 k.$$

As can be checked by a direct calculation.

$$\mathbf{K} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \left[\frac{1}{(\Omega - \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\star}) \,\omega_0^2} \right] = \frac{c^2 K^2 - \Omega^2}{(\Omega - \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\star})^2 \,\omega_0^3} + \frac{1}{\omega_0^3}. \tag{3.10}$$

(This nonintuitive step can be avoided as explained in Appendix A.) Thus, one can also express $\chi_{\rm ph}$ equivalently as follows:

$$\chi_{\rm ph}(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) = \frac{\omega_{p,0}^2 K^2}{4mn_0} Q(\Omega, \mathbf{K}), \tag{3.11}$$

where Q is given by Eq. (2.19). Second, assume that ions are motionless and electrons have a nonzero yet small enough thermal speed $v_T \ll \Omega/K$; then (Stix 1992).

$$\epsilon_0(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) = 1 - \frac{\omega_{p,0}^2}{\Omega^2} \left(1 + \frac{3K^2 v_T^2}{\omega_{p,0}^2} \right).$$
 (3.12)

and Ω is close to the unperturbed linear frequency Ω_0 [Eq. (2.9)]. This can be simplified to $\epsilon_0 \approx 2[\Omega - \Omega_0(K)]/\omega_{p,0}$. Hence, Eq. (3.7) immediately leads to Eq. (2.21).

4. Conclusions

In summary, we calculated the influence of the photon polarizability on the dispersion of linear Langmuir waves in collisionless nonmagnetized electron plasma. Two alternative formulations are given here. In the first formulation, we calculate the Langmuir-wave dispersion by solving the equations of motion for the electron fluid, where the photon contribution enters as a ponderomotive force. A related calculation was reported previously (Bingham *et al.* 1997; Mendonça 2000), but it has omissions, which are corrected in the present work. In the second formulation, we explicitly invoke the photon-polarizability concept (Ruiz & Dodin 2016). Then, the theory becomes linear, ponderomotive forces do not need to be considered, and, consequently, more general results are obtained.

Although we focus on LW, the calculation presented here is only a characteristic example. The concept of the photon (plasmon, phonon, etc.) polarizability is of broader generality and can help calculate the modification of the dispersion relation also of other waves, including waves in media other than plasma. We leave the consideration of specific examples to future publications.

The work was supported by the NNSA SSAA Program through DOE Research Grant

No. DE-NA0002948, by the U.S. DOE through Contract No. DE-AC02-09CH11466, and by the U.S. DOD NDSEG Fellowship through Contract No. 32-CFR-168a.

Appendix A. Variational approach

Here, we present an even more straightforward derivation of the GO dispersion relation (2.21) that does not involve the nonintuitive step of using Eq. (3.10). Instead of invoking the photon-polarizability concept, we start directly with the LW Lagrangian density (Ruiz & Dodin 2016):

$$\mathfrak{L} = \epsilon_0(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) \frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_c|^2}{16\pi} - \int \Phi_{\rm ph} f_{\rm ph} d^3k. \tag{A1}$$

Here, the first term is the Lagrangian density of LW absent photons (Dodin 2014b), the second one is the photon contribution, and $\Phi_{\rm ph}$ is the LW-produced ponderomotive potential of a photon. Let us use the GO approximation of $\Phi_{\rm ph}$ that was derived in Ruiz & Dodin (2016); Dodin & Fisch (2014):

$$\hbar^{-1}\Phi_{\rm ph} = \langle \omega - \omega_0 \rangle + \frac{K}{4} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \left(\frac{|\bar{\omega}_c|^2}{\Omega - K \cdot v_*} \right). \tag{A 2}$$

(The angular brackets denote averaging over time.) As seen from Eq. (2.10),

$$\langle \omega - \omega_0 \rangle = -\frac{\omega_{p,0}^4}{16\omega_0^3} \frac{|\tilde{n}_c|^2}{n_0^2}.$$
 (A 3)

Also, from Gauss's law, $\tilde{n}_c = i\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{\bar{E}}_c/(4\pi e)$, so

$$\frac{|\tilde{n}_c|^2}{n_0^2} = \frac{K^2 |\tilde{E}_c|^2}{16\pi^2 n_0^2 e^2} = \frac{|\tilde{E}_c|^2}{16\pi} \frac{4K^2}{mn_0\omega_{p,0}^2},\tag{A4}$$

where we used that the electrostatic field E_c is parallel to K. This gives

$$\hbar^{-1}\Phi_{\rm ph} = \frac{\omega_{p,0}^2 K^2}{4mn_0} \left\{ -\frac{1}{\omega_0^3} + \boldsymbol{K} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{k}} \left[\frac{1}{(\Omega - \boldsymbol{K} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_*)\omega_0^2} \right] \right\},$$

where we used Eq. (2.15). By substituting this result into Eq. (A1) and integrating by parts. one obtains

$$\mathcal{L} = \epsilon(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) \frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_c|^2}{16\pi},\tag{A5}$$

where ϵ is given by

$$\epsilon(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) \doteq \epsilon_0(\Omega, \mathbf{K}) + \frac{\omega_{p,0}^2 K^2}{4mn_0} Q(\Omega, \mathbf{K}),$$
 (A 6)

and Q is given by Eq. (2.19). The corresponding action integral can be considered as a functional of $\mathcal{A} \doteq |\tilde{E}_c|^2$ and the LW phase Θ ; namely,

$$S = \int \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{A}, \underbrace{-\partial_t \Theta}_{\mathcal{O}}, \underbrace{\nabla \Theta}_{\mathcal{K}}) dt d^3 x. \tag{A7}$$

The dispersion relation is obtained from $\delta S/\delta A=0$. This gives $\epsilon(\Omega, K)=0$, so one is again led to Eq. (2.21). Also notably, if ϵ depends on (t, x), the amplitude equation

(action conservation theorem) is obtained from $\delta S/\delta\Theta = 0$; namely,

$$\partial_t \mathcal{I} + \nabla \cdot (V_g \mathcal{I}) = 0. \tag{A 8}$$

Here, $\mathcal{I} = (\partial_{\Omega} \epsilon) |\tilde{E}_c|^2 / (16\pi)$ is the LW wave action density, $V_g \doteq -(\partial_K \epsilon) / (\partial_{\Omega} \epsilon)$ is the LW group velocity, Ω is found from the dispersion relation, and the wave vector is treated as a field; i.e., K = K(t, x) (Dodin 2014*a*; Tracy *et al.* 2014).

Although this variational formalism does not capture dissipation [which is reflected in the conservative form of Eq. (A8)], it can be extended to dissipative processes as described in Dodin *et al.* (2016). In particular, the dispersion relation derived from the above variational formalism is valid for complex frequencies too provided that the integral in the expression for Q [Eq. (2.19)] is taken using the Landau rule.

REFERENCES

- Ruiz, D. E. & Dodin, I. Y. Ponderomotive dynamics of waves in quasiperiodically modulated media, arXiv:1609.01681.
- DODIN, I. Y., ZHMOGINOV, A. I. & RUIZ, D. E. Variational principles for dissipative (sub)systems, with applications to the theory of linear dispersion and geometrical optics, arXiv:1610.05668.
- BINGHAM, R., MENDONÇA, J. T. & DAWSON, J. M. 1997 Photon Landau damping. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 78, 247.
- DODIN, I. Y. 2014a Geometric view on noneikonal waves. Phys. Lett. A 378, 1598.
- DODIN, I. Y. 2014b On variational methods in the physics of plasma waves. Fusion Sci. Tech. 65, 54.
- Dodin, I. Y. & Fisch, N. J. 2008a Non-Newtonian mechanics of oscillation centers. AIP Proc. 1061, 263.
- DODIN, I. Y. & FISCH, N. J. 2008b Positive and negative effective mass of classical particles in oscillatory and static fields. *Phys. Rev. E* 77, 036402.
- Dodin, I. Y. & Fisch, N. J. 2012 Axiomatic geometrical optics, Abraham-Minkowski controversy, and photon properties derived classically. *Phys. Rev. A* 86, 053834.
- Dodin, I. Y. & Fisch, N. J. 2014 Ponderomotive forces on waves in modulated media. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 205002.
- Dodin, I. Y., Geyko, V. I. & Fisch, N. J. 2009 Langmuir wave linear evolution in inhomogeneous nonstationary anisotropic plasma. *Phys. Plasmas* **16**, 112101.
- Dylov, D. V. & Fleischer, J. W. 2008 Observation of all-optical bump-on-tail instability. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 103903.
- GAPONOV, A. V. & MILLER, M. A. 1958 Potential wells for charged particles in a high-frequency electromagnetic field. Sov. Phys. JETP 7, 168.
- MENDONÇA, J. T. 2000 Theory of Photon Acceleration. Philadelphia: IOP, Chapter 5.4.
- STIX, T. H. 1992 Waves in Plasmas. New York: AIP, Chapter 8.
- TRACY, E. R., BRIZARD, A. J., RICHARDSON, A. S. & KAUFMAN, A. N. 2014 Ray Tracing and Beyond: Phase Space Methods in Plasma Wave Theory. New York: Cambridge University Press, Section 3.2.6.
- TSYTOVICH, V. N. 1970 Nonlinear Effects in Plasma. New York: Plenum Press, Appendix 4.



Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Office of Reports and Publications

Managed by Princeton University

under contract with the U.S. Department of Energy (DE-AC02-09CH11466)

P.O. Box 451, Princeton, NJ 08543 E-mail: publications@pppl.gov

Phone: 609-243-2245
Fax: 609-243-2751

Website: http://www.pppl.gov