Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

PPPL- 5195

PPPL Annual Site Environmental Report 2014

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-09CH11466.

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

Annual Site Environmental Report

PPPL--

For Calendar Year 2014 – Abstract

This report provides the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and the public with information on the level of radioactive and non-radioactive pollutants (if any) that are added to the environment as a result of Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory's (PPPL) operations. The results of the 2014 environmental surveillance and monitoring program for PPPL's are presented and discussed. The report also summarizes environmental initiatives, assessments, and community involvement programs that were undertaken in 2014.

PPPL has engaged in fusion energy research since 1951. The vision of the Laboratory is to create innovations to make fusion power a practical reality – a clean, alternative energy source. 2014 marked the sixteenth year of National Spherical Torus Experiment (NSTX) operations. The NSTX Project–a collaboration among national laboratories, universities, and national and international research institutions– is a major element in the US Fusion Energy Sciences Program. Its design tests the physics principles of spherical torus (ST) plasmas has played an important role in the development of smaller, more economical fusion reactors. In 2014, construction of NSTX's first upgrade continued; the fabrication of the center stack magnets and the addition of a second neutral beam will allow for hotter plasmas and greater field strength to maintain the fusion reaction longer.

In 2014, PPPL's radiological environmental monitoring program measured tritium in the air at the NSTX Stack and at on -site sampling stations. Using highly sensitive monitors, PPPL is capable of detecting small changes in the ambient levels of tritium. The operation of an in-stack monitor located on D-site is used to demonstrate compliance with the National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs) regulations. Also included in PPPL's radiological environmental monitoring program, are water monitoring of precipitation, ground, surface, and waste waters. PPPL's radiological monitoring program characterized the background levels of tritium in the environment; the data are presented in this report.

Ground water monitoring continued under the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection's Site Remediation Program. PPPL monitored for non-radiological contaminants, mainly volatile organic compounds (components of chlorinated degreasing solvents). Monitoring continued to detect low levels of volatile organic compounds in ground water samples. In 2014, PPPL was in compliance with its permit limits for surface and sanitary discharges, excepting one elevated total suspended solids and chlorine-produced oxidant concentration. PPPL was honored with awards for its waste reduction and recycling program, and its "EPEAT" electronics purchasing.

Virginia L. Finley, editor

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy
Under Contract DE-AC02-09CH11466

Annual Site Environmental Report

PPPL -

2014

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

Operated by Princeton University For the U.S. Department of Energy Under Contract DE-AC02-09CH1

PPPL PRINCETON PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
Report Disclaimers

Full Legal Disclaimer

Trademark Disclaimer

PPPL Report Availability

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory:

http://www.pppl.gov/techreports.cfm

Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI):

http://www.osti.gov/bridge

Related Links:

U.S. Department of Energy

Office of Scientific and Technical Information

Fusion Links

2014 Annual Site Environmental Report
Table of Contents

Table of Contents

2014 Annual Site Environmental Report
List of Exhibits in Text

2014 Annual Site Environmental Report
List of Exhibits in Text

NOTE: ***Data tables are located in Appendix A - 2014 Tables and Figures begin on page 66. All tables and figures noted in the report are located in Appendix A***.

List of Acronyms

AEA	Atomic Energy Act of 1954
AFV	alternative fuel vehicles
ALARA	as low as reasonably achievable
ARD	America Recycles Day (November 15th)
BAS	building automation system
B1, B2	Bee Brook 1 (upstream of DSN001) and 2 (downstream of DSN001) (surface water stations)
B20/100	biofuel (20%/100%)
BCG	biota concentration guide
Bq	Becquerel
BTU/gsf	BritishThermal Unit per gross square feet
^{o}C	degrees Celsius
C- & D-	C & D-sites of James Forrestal Campus, currently site of PPPL
C1	Canal - surface water monitoring location (Delaware & Raritan Canal)
c-1,2-DCE	cis-1,2-dichloroethylene
C&D	construction and demolition (waste)
CAA	Clean Air Act
CAS	Coil Assembly and Storage building
CDX-U	Current Drive Experiment – Upgrade (at PPPL)
CEA	classified exception area
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CEDR	Comprehensive Energy Data Report
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
Ci	Curie (3.7 ^{E10} Becquerel)
cm	centimeter
CNG	compressed natural gas
CO_2	carbon dioxide (GHG)
CO_{2e}	carbon dioxide equivalent
COD	chemical oxygen demand
CPO	chlorine-produced oxidants known as total residual chlorine
CWA	Clean Water Act
CXs	categorical exclusions
CY	calendar year
D-D (DD)	deuterium-deuterium
DART	days away, restricted transferred (case rate - Safety statistic)
DATS	differential atmospheric tritium sampler
DESC	Defense Energy Supply Center
DMR	discharge monitoring report
DOE	Department of Energy
DOE-PSO	Department of Energy - Princeton Site Office
DOT	Department of Transportation
DPCC	Discharge Prevention Control and Containment
dpm	disintegrations per minute
D&R	Delaware & Raritan (Canal)
DSN	discharge serial number
E1	surface water monitoring station (NJ American Water Co. potable water source)
E85	ethanol (85%) fuel

EDE	effective dose equivalent
EHS	extremely hazardous substance
EISA	Energy Independence and Security Act, Section 432
EML	Environmental Monitoring Laboratory (DOE)
EMS	Environmental Management System
EO	Executive Order
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency (US)
EPCRA	Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act
EPEAT	Electronic Product Environmental Assessment Tool
EPP	Environmentally Preferred Products
ESD	Environmental Services Division (PPPL)
ES&H	Environment, Safety, and Health
ESHD	Environment, Safety, & Health Directives
ESPC	Energy Savings Performance Contract
^{o}F	degrees Fahrenheit
FFCA	Federal Facility Compliance Act
FIFRA	Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act
FY	fiscal year (October 1 to September 30)
GGE	gasoline gallon equivalent
GHGs	greenhouse gases
GP	Guiding principles
GPP	general plant projects
GSA	General Services Administration
GSF	gross square feet
HAZMAT	hazardous materials
HP	Health Physics Division of ES&H
HPSB	high performance and sustainable buildings
HT	tritium (elemental)
HTO	tritiated water or tritium oxide
IC25	inhibition concentration
ILA	industrial landscaping and agriculture
ISO14001	International Standards Organization 14001 (Environmental Management System – EMS)
ITER	International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (France)
JFC	James Forrestal Campus
JET	Joint European Torus facility (United Kingdom)
km	kilometer
kWh	kilowatt hour
LEC	liquid effluent collection (tanks)
LED	light emitting diode
LEED®	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design
LEED®-EB	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design - Existing Buildings
MNA	
LLW	low level waste
LSB	Lyman Spitzer Building (Formerly Laboratory Office Building)
LSRP	Licensed Site Remediation Professional
LOI	Letter of Interpretation (Wetlands)
LOTO	lock-out, tag-out (electrical safety)
LSI	lined surface impoundment

LTX	Lithium Tokamak Experiment
M1	Millstone River (surface water station)
MC&A	Material Control & Accountability (nuclear materials)
MEI	maximally exposed individual
MG	Motor Generator (Building)
MGD	million gallons per day
mg/L	milligram per liter
M&O	Maintenance &Operations
MNA	monitored natural attenuation
mrem	milli roentgen equivalent man (per year)
MRX	Magnetic Reconnection Experiment
MSDS	Material Safety Data Sheet
msl	mean sea level (in feet)
mSv	milliSievert
MT (mt)	metric ton (equivalent to 2,204.6 pounds or 1.10 tons)
MW	monitoring well
Mwh	Megawatt hour
MSW	municipal solid waste
NBI	Neutral Beam Injector(s)
NCSX	National Compact Stellarator Experiment
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NESHAPs	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
NHPA	National Historic and Preservation Act
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
NJAC	New Jersey Administrative Code
NJDEP	New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (prior to 1991 and after July 1994)
NJPDES	New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NOEC	no observable effect concentration
NOVs	notice of violations
NO_x	nitrogen oxides
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NSTX-U	National Spherical Torus Experiment Upgrade
NVLAP	National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NIST)
ODS	ozone-depleting substances (Class I and II)
OPEX	operating expenses (PPPL budget)
ORPS	occurrence reporting and processing system ((DOE accident/incident reporting system)
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Agency
P1, P2	Plainsboro 1 (Cranbury Brook) and 2 (Devil's Brook) (surface water stations)
PCBs	polychlorinated biphenyls
PCE	perchloroethylene, tetrachloroethene, or tetrachloroethylene
pCi/L	picoCuries per liter
PE	Professional engineer
PEARL	Princeton Environmental, Analytical, and Radiological Laboratory
PFC	Princeton Forrestal Center
PJM	Pennsylvania, Jersey, Maryland (Electric-power grid controllers/operators)
POTW	publicly-owned treatment works
PPA	Power Purchase Agreement

PPPL	Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
PSO	Princeton Site Office (DOE)
PSTP	Preliminary Site Treatment Plan
PT	proficiency test (Laboratory certification)
PTE	potential to emit (air emissions)
PUE	power utilization effectiveness
QA/ QC	Quality assurance/ Quality control
RAA	Remedial Alternative Assessment
RASR	Remedial Action Selection Report
RAWP	Remedial Action Work Plan
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
REC	renewable energy credits
redox	oxidation-reduction (potential)
rem	roentgen equivalent man
RESA	Research Equipment Storage and Assembly Building
RI	Remedial Investigation
RWHF	Radiological Waste Handling Facility
SF_6	sulfur hexafluoride (GHG)
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986
SBRSA	Stony Brook Regional Sewerage Authority
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
SESC	soil erosion and sediment control
SO_2	sulfur dioxide
SPCC	Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
SVOCs	semi-volatile organic compounds
T	tritium
TCE	trichloroethene or trichloroethylene
TFTR	Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor
TPHC	total petroleum hydrocarbons
TRI	Toxic Reduction Inventory (CERCLA)
TSCA	Toxic Substance Control Act
TSS	total suspended solids
TW	test wells
UL-DQS	Underwriters Laboratories-DQS (Germany's first certification body)
USGS	US Geological Survey
VOCs	volatile organic compounds
WCR	Waste Characterization Report
$\mu g/L$	micrograms per liter

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL)
Certification of Monitoring Data for
Annual Site Environmental Report for 2014

Contained in the following report are data for radioactivity in the environment collected and analyzed by Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory's Princeton Environmental, Analytical, and Radiological Laboratory (PEARL). The PEARL is located on-site and is certified for analyzing radiological and non-radiological parameters through the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection's Laboratory Certification Program, Certification Number 12471. Non-radiological surface and ground water samples are analyzed by NJDEP-certified subcontractor laboratories – QC, Inc. and Accutest Laboratory. To the best of our knowledge, these data, as contained in the "Annual Site Environmental Report for 2014," are documented and certified to be correct.

Signed:

Virginia L. Finley

Digitally signed by Virginia L. Finley
DN: cn=Virginia L. Finley, o=Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, ou=ESH&S Dept., email=vfinley@pppl.gov, c=US
Date: 2015.09.23 17:24:53 -04'00'

Virginia L. Finley,
Head, Environmental Compliance
Environmental Services Division

Robert Sheneman

Digitally signed by Robert Sheneman
DN: cn=Robert Sheneman, o=Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, ou=ESH&S Dept., email=rsheneman@pppl.gov, c=US
Date: 2015.09.24 08:32:07 -04'00'

Robert S. Sheneman,
Deputy Head
Environment, Safety, & Health Department

Approved:

Jerry D. Levine

Digitally signed by Jerry D. Levine
DN: cn=Jerry D. Levine, o=Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, ou=ESH&S Department, email=jlevine@pppl.gov, c=US
Date: 2015.09.24 11:28:24 -04'00'

Jerry D. Levine,
Head
Environment, Safety, & Health Department

Executive Summary

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
Annual Site Environmental Report for
Calendar Year 2014

This report presents the results of environmental activities and monitoring programs at the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL) for Calendar Year 2014. The report provides the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and the public with information on the level of radioactive and non-radioactive pollutants, if any, that are released into the environment as a result of PPPL operations. The report also summarizes environmental initiatives, assessments, and programs that were undertaken in 2014. The objective of the Site Environmental Report is to document PPPL's efforts to protect the public's health and the environment through its environmental protection, safety, and health programs.

Since 1951, the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory has engaged in fusion energy research. Fusion is the reaction that occurs in our sun as well as in other stars. During fusion reactions, the nuclei of hydrogen atoms in a plasma state, *i.e.* as an ionized gas, fuse or join forming helium atoms and releasing of neutrons and energy. Unlike the sun, PPPL's fusion reactions are magnetically confined within a vessel or reactor under vacuum conditions. The long-range goal of the U.S. Magnetic Fusion Energy Science program is to develop and demonstrate the practical application of fusion power as a safe, alternative energy source replacing power plants that burn fossil fuels. Energy from fusion power plants would boil water for steam that drives electric-generating turbines without the production of greenhouse gases and other air pollutants.



National Spherical Torus Experiment - Upgrade

2014 marked the sixteenth year of the National Spherical Torus Experiment's (NSTX). Though NSTX did not operate nor conduct experiments in 2014, the NSTX upgrade project, the redesign of the center stack magnets and the addition of a second neutral beam box from the former Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor (TFTR), was progressing on schedule for completion in 2015. In drawing on the right, the vacuum vessel is spherical in shape; the person standing to the right of the base illustrates the scale of this device. The new center column or stack shown in a yellow outline, are two magnets bound together – one contains vertical copper bars creating a magnetic field up and down the stack. The other is a coil wound around the center that drives a magnetic force or current through the plasma, which produces round-shape plasmas. The new center stack design will increase the field strength to one tesla - or 20,000 times the strength of Earth's magnetic field. The magnetic field generated by the polodial field coils is used to control the plasma shape within the vacuum vessel. For the NSTX research collaborators from 30 U.S. institutions and 11 other countries, the project is a major effort to produce a smaller, more economical fusion reactor.

The National Spherical Torus Experiment
Heated by Neutral Beam Injection (NBI)



The second neutral beam line will inject neutral atoms, deuterium (hydrogen isotope with one neutron), into the ionized gas or plasma causing the plasma to heat to temperatures on the order of 100 million degrees. The plasma is also heated by radio-frequency waves and together with the neutral beam injection, will allow for greater heat capacity and hotter experimental plasmas. In the photo to the left, the two neutral beam injectors (NBI) are shown.

ITER Cadarache, France

ITER in Latin means "the way" and is the name of the large international fusion experiment located in the Provence-Alpes-Côte-d'Azur region in southeastern France. Construction began in 2007 with a completion date in the early 2020's. When operational ITER will generate 10 times the external power delivered to heat the plasma. PPPL, partnering with Oak Ridge National Laboratory, leads the U.S. ITER Project that coordinates U.S. ITER activities - lending to the project design, construction, and technical expertise.

PPPL Maximum Off-site Dose in 2014

When the total maximum off-site dose for 2014 was calculated, PPPL's radiological contribution was a fraction of the 10 mrem/year PPPL objective and the 100-mrem/year DOE limit. Based on the radiological monitoring program data, the dose results for 2014 were:

1. Maximum exposed individual (MEI) dose from all sources—airborne and liquid releases—was 2.48×10^{-3} mrem per year (2.48×10^{-5} person-Sv per year).

2. The collective effective dose equivalent for the population living within 80 kilometers was 0.101 person-rem (1.01×10^{-3} person-Sv).

PPPL Environmental Achievements and Activities in 2014

PPPL encourages its employees to practice environmental stewardship principles in their daily lives through their personal purchases and recycling activities as well as at PPPL. Each year, the Laboratory hosts events such as Earth Week in April and America Recycles Day in November when information on green products and recycling opportunities are provided. PPPL's "Green Team" designs programs and activities to help green PPPL and the whole community.

In 2014, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory received the following recognition:

- U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) acknowledged PPPL's contribution to the development of the Sustainable Products Database. This database is a comprehensive system used within the complex DOE for sites to share information about sustainable products purchased and their performance.

- The Green Electronics Council EPEAT three-star award for outstanding performance in the purchasing of sustainable electronic equipment (see below).

PPPL's Kristen Ferraro and Robert Frisbee, CEO of the Green Electronics Council, And the EPEAT Purchaser Award

PPPL received an award from the Green Electronics Council for its program to purchase environmentally sustainable electronics in 2014. On behalf of the Laboratory, K. Ferraro accepted the three-star EPEAT (Electronic Product Environmental Assessment Tool) at a ceremony held in Washington, D. C. on Earth Day, April 22, 2015. Of 211 electronic devices purchases by PPPL in Fiscal Year 2014, including laptops, desktops, workstations, monitors, tablets, and thin clients (a terminal attached to a large server), 174 met EPEAT's highest standards. EPEAT means that the device uses less energy and can be donated or parts can be recycled when the Lab no longer use them.

PPPL's EMS Employee Card



In November 2014, an independent registrar company, UL-DQS, evaluated PPPL's Environmental Management System (EMS) program, comparing it with the International Standards Organization or ISO 14001 Standard requirements. This audit concluded that PPPL's EMS is compliant with the ISO standard, and recertification was issued. The auditors cited nine program strengths and seven opportunities for improvement. There were no audit findings. An example of a strength is the PPPL employee card – "What every employee needs to know or do" (see photos above); and an example of a recommendation is to enhance the clarity of the "Summary of Legal and Other Requirements Matrix" (Appendix B) regarding evaluation completion dates and dates for next evaluation.

The Laboratory continues to promote all aspects of its ES&H program as it has in its fusion research program. Efforts are geared not only to full compliance with applicable local, state, and federal regulations, but also to achieve a level of excellence in ES&H performance. PPPL is an institution that serves other research facilities and the nation by providing valuable information gathered from its fusion research program.

✵

Chapter

1

Introduction

1.1 Site Mission

The U.S. Department of Energy's Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL) is a Collaborative National Center for plasma and fusion science. Its primary mission is to develop scientific understandings and key innovations leading to an attractive fusion energy source [PPPL08a]. Related missions include conducting world-class research along the broad frontier of plasma science, providing the highest quality of scientific education and experimentation, and participating in technology transfer and science education projects/programs within the local community and nation-wide.

The National Spherical Torus Experiment (NSTX) is a collaborative project among 30 U.S. laboratories, including Department of Energy National Laboratories, universities, and institutions, and 28 international institutes from 11 countries. Also located at PPPL are smaller experimental devices, the Magnetic Reconnection Experiment (MRX), the Lithium Tokamak Experiment (LTX) and Hall Thruster, which investigate plasma physics phenomena.

As a part of both off and on-site collaborative projects, PPPL scientists assist fusion programs within the United States and in Europe and Asia. To further fusion science in 2014, PPPL collaborated with other fusion research laboratories across the globe on the Joint European Torus (JET) facility located in the United Kingdom, and the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor or ITER located in Cadarache, France. PPPL's main fusion experiment, the National Stellarator Tokamak Experiment Upgrade project (NSTX-U), began in 2011 and is scheduled for completion in mid-2015.

1.2 Site Location

The Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory site is in the center of a highly urbanized Northeast region. The closest urban centers are New Brunswick, 14 miles (22.5 km) to the northeast, and Trenton, 12 miles (19 km) to the southwest. Within a 50-mile (80 km) radius are the major urban centers of New York City, Philadelphia, and Newark (Exhibit 1-1).

The site is located in Plainsboro Township in Middlesex County (central New Jersey), adjacent to the municipalities of Princeton, Kingston, East and West Windsor, and Cranbury, NJ. The Princeton area continues to experience a sustained growth of new businesses locating along the Route 1 corridor near the site. In 2012 construction was completed on the new University Medical Center of Princeton Center at Plainsboro, which is located less than 2 miles south of PPPL. Princeton University's main campus is approximately three miles west of the site.

Exhibit 1-1. Region Surrounding PPPL (50-mile radius shown)



PPPL, then known as "Project Matterhorn", was first established on A- and B- sites of the James Forrestal Campus (JFC), Princeton University's research center named for Princeton graduate (Class of 1915) and the first Secretary of Defense, James Vincent Forrestal. Located east of U.S. Route 1 North, PPPL has occupied the C- and D-site location since

1959 (Exhibit 1-2). The alphabet designation was derived from the names given to the Stellarator models, those early plasma fusion devices.

Exhibit 1-2. PPPL James Forrestal Campus (JCF), Plainsboro, NJ



Surrounding the site are lands of preserved and undisturbed areas including upland forest, wetlands, open grassy areas, and a minor stream, Bee Brook, which flows along PPPL's eastern boundary. These areas are designated as open space in the James Forrestal Campus (JFC) site development plan.

D-site is fully surrounded by a chain-linked fence topped with barbed wire for security purposes. Access to D-site is limited to authorized personnel through the use of card readers. PPPL's Site Protection Division controls access to C-site allowing the public and visitor access following an identification check. Vehicle inspections may occur prior to entrance.

Exhibit 1-3. Aerial View of PPPL



The aerial photo above (Exhibit 1-3) shows the general layout of the facilities at the C- and D-sites of JFC as viewed from the north; the former TFTR and current NSTX Test Cells are located at D-site (on the left side of photo)

1.3 General Environmental Setting

The climate of central New Jersey is classified as mid-latitude, rainy climate with mild winters, hot summers, and no dry season. Temperatures may range from below zero to above 100 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) (17.8°Celsius (C) to 37.8° C); extreme temperatures typically occur once every five years. Approximately half the year, from late April until mid-October, the days are freeze-free.

The typical regional climate is moderately humid with a total average precipitation about 46 inches (116 cm) evenly distributed throughout the year. Droughts typically occur about once every 15 years [PSAR78]. In 2014, the annual rainfall total was 43.99 inches (111.73 cm), just below the average rainfall for central New Jersey.

The most recent archaeological survey was conducted in 1978 as part of the TFTR site environmental assessment study. Through historical records reviews, personal interviews, and field investigations, one projectile point and a stone cistern were found. Apparently, the site had limited occupation during prehistoric time and has only in recent times been actively used for farming. No significant archeological resources were identified on-site. There are more significant examples of prehistoric occupation in areas closer to the Millstone River, which are within two miles of the site [Gr77].

1.4 Primary Operations and Activities

Several magnetic fusion experiments, including NSTX, MRX, and LTX, currently operate at PPPL. NSTX is the Laboratory's largest experiment and it is located on D-site. NSTX has produced one million amperes of plasma current, setting a new world record for a spherical torus device. This device is designed to test the physics principles of spherical-shaped plasmas forming a sphere with a hole through its center. Plasma shaping is an important parameter for plasma stability and performance enabling viable fusion power. NSTX ceased operations in 2011 and was partially dismantled for major upgrades which are scheduled to be finished in 2015. The upgraded experiment, known as NSTX-U, will have twice the plasma heating power and magnetic confinement and be able to extent the pulse duration by five times.

LTX continues to explore new paths for plasma energy efficiency and sustainability. The primary goal of LTX is to investigate the properties of a lithium liquid coating for plasma surfaces or plasma-facing component (PFC). The previous experiment, Current Drive Experiment-Upgrade (CDX-U) held the lithium in a circular tray at the base of the vacuum vessel. The LTX liquid lithium was evaporated and deposited a thin layer inside the vacuum vessel and kept liquid by heater in the shell.

1.5 Relevant Demographic Information

Using data from the 2012 American community survey, there are 17.7 million people living within a 50 mile radius of the laboratory, totaling to roughly 2,258 people per square mile. There are just over 100,000 people living within a 5 mile radius. The 2013 US Census Bureau Statistics estimates that Middlesex County has a population of 828,919. Adjacent counties have populations of 370,414 (Mercer), 629,672 (Monmouth), 330,585 (Somerset), and 548,256 (Union) [US13]. Other information gathered and updated from previous TFTR studies include socioeconomic information [Be87b] and an ecological survey, which were studies describing pre-TFTR conditions [En87].

Chapter

2

2014 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE SUMMARY and COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory's (PPPL) environmental goals are to fully comply with applicable state, federal, and local environmental regulations and to conduct our scientific research and operate the Laboratory facilities in a manner that protects and preserves human health and the environment. PPPL initiates actions which enhance and document compliance with these requirements. Compliance with applicable federal, state, and local environmental statutes or regulations, and Executive or DOE Orders is an important piece of PPPL's primary mission.

2.1 Laws and Regulations

Exhibit 2.1 summarizes the environmental statutes and regulations applicable to PPPL's activities, as well as summarizing the 2014 compliance status and providing the ASER sections where further details are located. The list of "Applicable Environmental Laws and Regulations – 2014 Status" conforms to PPPL's Environmental Management System (EMS) Appendix B, "Summary of Legal and Other Requirements" [PPPL14c].

2.2 Site Compliance and Environmental Management System (EMS) Assessments

In 2014, PPPL's Quality Assurance (QA) Division performed an internal environmental audit of PPPL's Environmental Management System (EMS). This audit included follow-up of the findings and recommendations made by UL-DQS's 2012 annual surveillance audit, which is tracked through PPPL's internal QA Audit Database. In 2013, UL-DQS, Inc. was scheduled to conduct an annual surveillance audit of PPPL's EMS against the International Organization for Standards (ISO) standard 14001:2004 – "Environmental Management Systems – Requirements with guidance for use." Due to schedule conflicts, the surveillance audit was conducted in early 2014. [Cu15] Further discussion of the EMS program audits follow in Section 2.4 of this chapter.

2.3 Environmental Permits

The following Exhibit 2.1 "Applicable Environmental Laws and Regulations –2014 Status" provides information about PPPL's compliance with applicable Federal and State environmental laws, regulations, DOE and Executive Orders.

Exhibit 2.1. Applicable Environmental Laws and Regulations – 2014 Status

Environmental Restoration and Waste Management	2014 Status	ASER section(s)
Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) provides the regulatory framework for identification, assessment, and if needed remediation of contaminated sites – either recent or inactive releases of hazardous waste.	The CERCLA inventory completed in 1993 [Dy93] warranted no further CERCLA actions. During 2014, PPPL had no involvement with CERCLA-mandated clean-up actions. A New Jersey-regulated ground water investigation and remediation project is discussed in ASER Chapters 4 and 6.	*4.3.1 B* *6.5*
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) regulates the generation, storage, treatment, and disposal of hazardous wastes. RCRA also includes underground storage tanks containing petroleum and hazardous substances, universal waste, and recyclable used oil. (NJ-delegated program)	In 2014, PPPL shipped 13.65 tons (12.43 metric tons, MT) of hazardous waste of which 7.81 tons (7.11 MT) were recycled (57.2% recycling rate). The types of waste are highly variable each year; in 2014, majority of incinerated quantities came from batteries, used oil and miscellaneous waste [Pue15a].	*4.2.1 B* *4.2.1 C*
Federal Facility Compliance Act (FFCA) requires the Department of Energy (DOE) to prepare "Site Treatment Plans" for the treatment of mixed waste, which is waste containing both hazardous and radioactive components.	In 1995, PPPL prepared a Preliminary Site Treatment Plan (PSTP). PPPL does not generate mixed waste nor has any future plans to generate mixed waste. An agreement among the regulators was reached to treat in the accumulation container any potential mixed waste [PPPL95].	
National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) covers how federal actions may impact the environment and an examination of alternatives to those actions	In 2014, PPPL performed NEPA reviews of 24 proposed activities, and the NEPA reviews for 5 previous activities were revised. All of these activities were determined to be categorical exclusions (CXs) in accordance with the NEPA regulations/guidelines of the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) [Str15].	
Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA) governs the manufacture, use, and distribution of regulated chemicals listed.	PPPL shipped in 2014, 1008 pounds of PCB TSCA Hazardous Substances. Five PCB capacitors remain on-site. Asbestos shipments in 2014 were 0 cubic yards [Pue15a].	*4.2.1A*
Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) regulate the user and application of insecticides, fungicides, and rodenticides. (NJ-delegated program)	PPPL used limited quantities of insecticides, herbicides, and fertilizers. A certified subcontractor performs the application under the direction of PPPL's Facilities personnel [Kin15b].	*Exhibit 4- 11* *4.5.3*

Exhibit 2.1 Applicable Environmental Laws And Regulations – 2014 Status (continued)

Other Environmental Statutes	2014 Status	ASER section(s)
Oil Pollution Prevention provides the regulatory requirements for a Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan for petroleum containing storage tanks and equipment.	The SPCC plan was reviewed and updated in 2011[PPPL11]. PPPL does not meet the threshold quantity of 200,000 gallons of petroleum (excluding transformer oil) for the requirements of a Discharge Prevention Control and Containment (DPCC) plan. PPPL experienced no reportable spills in 2014 [Pue15b].	*4.3.1*
National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and New Jersey Register of Historic Places protect the nation and New Jersey's historical resources through a comprehensive historic preservation policy.	Due to the location of the pump house next to the Delaware & Raritan Canal, the Canal and the area within 100 yards are listed on both the federal and state register of historic sites [PPPL05].	
Floodplain Management Programs covers the delineation of the 100- and 500-year floodplain and prevention of development within the floodplain zones. (NJ-delegated program)	The 100- and 500-year floodplains are located at 80 and 85 feet above mean sea level (msl), respectively. The majority of the PPPL site is located at 100 ft. above msl; only HAZMAT building is in the flood hazard zone, but is protected by dikes [NJDEP84].	
Wetlands Protection Act governs the activities that are allowable through the permitting system and mitigation requirements. (NJ-delegated program)	In 2008, PPPL and Princeton Forrestal Center received the wetlands delineation from NJDEP. Any regulated activities either in the wetlands or transition areas must receive approve prior to commencement [PPPL08b].	*4.5.1*
Clean Air Act (CAA) and New Jersey Air Pollution Control Act controls the release of air pollutants through permit and air quality limits and conditions. USEPA regulates the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs) for tritium (an airborne radionuclide) and boilers (<10 million BTUs). Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions inventory tracking and reporting are regulated by EPA.	PPPL-DOE maintain air certificates/permits for the regulated equipment: 4 boilers, 3 emergency/standby generators, 2 dust collectors, 2 above-ground storage tanks (< 10,000 gals. fuel oil) and a fluorescent bulb crusher. PPPL is designated as a synthetic minor and does not exceed any air contaminant thresholds requiring a Title V permit. Submitted Subpart JJJJJJ Notification to EPA - biennial boiler adjustment. Annual 2014 boiler adjustment results submitted to NJDEP as required by the permit. Fuel consumption sulfur content for the generators and boilers are recorded; annual boiler emissions calculated [Nem15]. NESHAPs report for tritium emissions are submitted annually [PPPL15b]. PPPL maintains an inventory for ozone-depleting substances (ODS)/ greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.	*4.4*

Exhibit 2-1. Applicable Environmental Laws and Regulations – 2014 Status (continued)

Other Environmental Statutes	2014 Status	ASER section(s)
NJ Soil Erosion and Sediment Control (SESC) Plan requires an approval by the Freehold Soil Conservation District for any soil disturbance greater than 5,000 sq. feet.	In CY 2014, PPPL no new additional soil erosion permits were obtained.	*4. 5.2*
NJ Comprehensive Regulated Medical Waste Management governs the proper disposal of medical wastes.	Last report submitted to NJDEP in 2004; no longer required to submit report, but continues to comply with proper disposal of all medical wastes [Pue15a].	
NJ Endangered Species Act prohibits activities that may harm the existence of listed threatened or endangered species.	No endangered species reported on PPPL or D&R Canal pump house sites. Cooper's hawks and Bald eagles have been sited within 1 mile [Am98, NJB97, NJDEP97, PPPL05].	
NJ Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act, also referred to as the Superfund Amendment Reauthorization Act (SARA Title III) requires for certain toxic chemicals emergency planning information, hazardous chemical inventories, and the reporting of environmental releases to federal, state, and local authorities.	PPPL-DOE submitted annual chemical inventory reports to local health and emergency services departments for 2014 [PPPL15a].	*4.3.1 C* *Exhibit 4-7* *Exhibit 4-8*
NJ Regulations Governing Laboratory Certification and Environmental Measurements mandate that all required water analyses be performed by certified laboratories.	The PPPL Environmental, Analytical, and Radiological Laboratory (PEARL) continued analyze immediately parameters; PPPL received acceptable for all performance tests for tritium, gross beta, pH, total residual chlorine (Chlorine-produced oxidants- CPO) and temperature. PPPL subcontractor analytical laboratory is a NJDEP certified laboratory.	*7*

Exhibit 2.2 Applicable Environmental Laws And Regulations – 2014 Status (continued)

Water Quality and Protection	2014 Status	ASER section(s)
NJ Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) protects the public water supply by criteria standards and monitoring requirements.	PPPL conducts quarterly inspections of the potable water cross connection system as required by the NJDEP permit. Potable water is supplied by NJ American Water Company [Mor15].	*4.1.4 A* *Exhibit 4-4*
Stormwater Management and the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA) and **Delaware & Raritan Canal Commission Regulations (Stormwater Water Quality)**	PPPL's Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) was revised in 2012 to provide guidance to reduce the impact of PPPL's operations on storm water quality [PPPL12a]	
Clean Water Act (CWA) and NJ Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES) regulates surface and groundwater (lined surface impoundment, LSI) quality by permit requirements and monitoring point source discharges.	In 2013, PPPL-DOE received from NJDEP the final NJPDES surface water discharge permit [NJDEP13a, PPPL13f]. For 2014, PPPL reported no non-compliances at DSN001, the basin outfall or at DSN003, the D&R Canal pump house backwash filter outfall [Fin15c].	*4.1.1* *Exhibits 4-1, 4-2, 4-3 and 4-5*

Exhibit 2-1. Applicable Environmental Laws and Regulations – 2014 Status (continued)

Regulatory Program Description	2014 Status	ASER section(s)
NJ Technical Standards for Site Remediation governs the soil/ground water assessments, remedial investigations, and clean-up actions for sites suspected of hazardous substance contamination.	In 1990, ground water monitoring of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) began at PPPL. Over time, more than 20 monitoring wells were installed on-site to determine contamination source and extent of the plume. Quarterly sampling of 9 wells and 1 sump is collected, and annual sampling of 12 wells, 2 sumps and 1 surface water site is collected in September with the results reported biannually to NJDEP [NJDEP13b, PPPL13d].	*6.5*
DOE Order 231.1B, *Environment, Safety, and Health Reporting*, requires the timely collection, analysis, reporting, and distribution of information in ES&H issues.	PPPL ESH&S Department monitors/reports on environmental, safety and health data and distributes the information *via* lab-wide e-mails, PPPL news articles, at weekly Laboratory Management, DOE-Site Office, and staff meetings and at periodic ES&H Executive Board/sub-committees/Lab-wide meetings [DOE11c]. PPPL's Annual Site Environmental Report (ASER) is required by this order.	.
DOE Order 436.1, *Departmental Sustainability*, requires all applicable DOE elements to implement an ISO14001-compliant Environmental Management System and support departmental sustainability goals.	PPPL's Environmental Management System (EMS) was prepared in 2005 and is reviewed and updated annually [DOE11a, PPPL13c, 14e]. PPPL's EMS is registered to the ISO14001 standard by an independent registrar (UL-DQS) based on annual reviews/ assessments and three-year recertification audit [UL14].	*3*

Exhibit 2.2 Applicable Environmental Laws And Regulations – 2014 Status (continued)

Radiation Protection	2014 Status	ASER section(s)
DOE Order 435.1, Change 1, *Radioactive Waste Management*, provides guidance to ensure that DOE radioactive waste is properly managed to protect workers, the public and the environment.	PPPL developed a new Low-Level Radioactive Waste Program Basis document to meet the requirements of DOE Order 435.1 and enable shipments to the Energy Solutions disposal facility in Clive, UT. Approval was granted by DOE in July 2012. [DOE01, PPPL12c].	*5.1.3*
DOE Order 458.1, *Radiation Protection*, provides protection of the public and the environment from exposure to radiation from any DOE facility. Operations and its contractors comply with standards and requirements in this Order.	PPPL's policy is to maintain all radiation exposures "As Low as Reasonably Achievable" (ALARA). PPPL implements its radiation protection program as discussed in the Environmental Monitoring Plan Section 6, "Radiological Monitoring Plan." PPPL's contribution to radiation exposure is well below the DOE and PPPL limits [10CFR835, DOE01, DOE11b, PPPL12b, 14i]	*5.1* *Exhibit 5-1*
Atomic Energy Act (AEA) governs plans for the control of radioactive materials	PPPL's "Nuclear Materials Control and Accountability (MC&A) Plan" describes the control and accountability system of nuclear material at PPPL. This plan provides a system of checks and balances to prevent/detect unauthorized use or removal of nuclear material from PPPL [PPPL13b].	*5.2*
Executive Order (EO) 13423, *Strengthening Federal Environment, Energy, and Transportation Management*, requires all federal agencies to improve energy efficiency, reduce vehicle petroleum use by increasing non-petroleum fuel in vehicles, purchase energy from renewable sources, conserve water, improve waste minimization, purchase sustainable products, implement an environmental management system .	PPPL completed the *Executable Plan* in 2009, which outlined the goals and status of compliance with EO 13423 [EO08, PPPL10b].	*3*
Executive Order 13514 , *Federal Leadership in Environmental, Energy, and Economic Performance*, requires establishment of goals and targets for reducing greenhouse gases (GHGs), improving water use efficiency, promoting pollution prevention, sustainable acquisition and electronic stewardship, implementing high performance sustainable building design, construction, M&O, and deconstruction, sustaining environmental management systems.	PPPL prepared *the 2015 Site Sustainable Plan* that addressed the goals, targets and status of EO 13514 requirements [EO09 & PPPL14b, c, & d].	*3*

2.4 External Oversight and Assessments

In February 2014, PPPL's Environmental Management System underwent its annual ISO 14001 audit that was originally scheduled for late 2013. The result was a positive outcome for PPPL (see sidebar and Exhibit 2.2 below).

Once every three years, the Laboratory's EMS program must undergo a comprehensive audit for re-certification of its program. The previous annual maintenance audits conducted by UL-DQS lead to PPPL's successful recertification in November 2014 [UL14]. The specifics of PPPL's EMS program are detailed in Chapter 3.

During the week of February 3 to 7, PPPL successfully completed the annual maintenance audit of its ISO14001-certified Environmental Management System (EMS). The global ISO14001 Standard outlines requirements that organizations commit to as part of the certification process. Among these requirements is to have an independent auditor review the system and its implementation against the Standard. A comprehensive certification audit is conducted every three years and is accompanied by smaller annual "maintenance" audits.

A certified lead auditor from the global auditing firm UL-DQS, completed the annual maintenance audit and recommended continuation of PPPL's certification. The week long audit team reviewed various environmental and laboratory operations plans, procedures, and other documents. This year's audit was very successful in that no findings or "non-conformities" were identified. Two "opportunities for improvement" or recommendations, and identified seven best practices were suggested. A review of PPPL's EMS audit results over the past four years shows substantial improvement, as shown in the accompanying graph. For example, the pre-registration audit in October 2011 had 11 findings (shown in red) and 9 recommendations (in yellow). Additional recommendations were made as part of the registration audit in December 2011. Since that time, the EMS has matured and improved as evidenced by the decrease in findings and recommendations and the increase in best practices (shown in green).

Exhibit 2.2 EMS Audit Results October 2011 to February 2014



2.5 Emergency Reporting of Spills and Releases

Under New Jersey regulations, PPPL is required to call the Action Hotline to report any permit limits that are exceeded. No releases of hazardous substances or petroleum hydrocarbons on pervious surfaces required notification to New Jersey's Action Hotline during 2014.

2.6 Notice of Violations and Penalties

There were no notices of violations or penalties for environmental occurrences at PPPL during 2014 [Fin15c].

2.7 Community Involvement
Earth Week and American Recycles Day at PPPL

"Green Cities" was the theme of PPPL's 2014 Earth Week celebration (See box). On April 23rd PPPL employees and members of the public were invited to view displays on sustainable renovations and projects: Mercer County Improvement Authority, and PPPL's subcontractors for landscaping, office and janitorial supply, cafeteria, sustainable furniture supply, and electronic waste removal companies.

Forty-one PPPL employees took two-hours from their normal tasks to improve the environment. Four teams patrolled the grounds by removing recyclables and trash that had escaped the dumpsters. In all, they collected: trash (220 pounds), recyclable material (40 pounds), compostable material (55 pounds), scrap metal (78 pounds) and wood (76 pounds).

The colloquium speaker, John Lee, Deputy Director for Buildings and Energy Efficiency in New York City's Office of Long Term Planning and Sustainability, focuses on how New York City's "PlaNYC" has mapped out a long-term, comprehensive sustainability plan to reduce its greenhouse gases (GHGs) emissions by 30 percent by 2030.

Each year, employees nominate their co-workers for their exceptional efforts to minimize waste, improve energy efficiency, and promote sustainable practices at PPPL. There were thirteen employees who received the 2013 PPPL Green Machine Awards for the following projects:

- Outstanding recycling and composting efforts
- Help to make America Recycle Day a fun day
- Make improvement to energy efficiencies
- Plan meetings as "green events"
- Leading PPPL's sustainability efforts

Exhibit 2.3 PPPL's 2014 Earth Week

Exhibit 2-4.
PPPL's Earth Week Poster

Exhibit 2-5.
PPPL's Earth Week Green Machine Recipients

Exhibit 2-6. Fashion Dress Competition, ARD Logo, and Signing ARD Pledge

Each year PPPL celebrates America Recycles Day (ARD, officially November 15th). In 2014, PPPL's Green Team, volunteers who promote recycling within their Departments, presented a "ARD – Promote, Educate, Inform, Celebrate, & Get Involved". On November 11th, a display of dresses and other fashion designs made from recyclable or compostable materials found at PPPL were placed in the LSB lobby. Employees asked to vote for their favorite dress and design. Other activities include employee electronics recycling 1,450 pounds, signing-up pledges to recycle more, and displaying posters that tracked the progress of each department's recycling efforts.

Chapter

3

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (EMS)

PPPL has been successful in meeting the sustainability goals established by Presidential Executive Orders (EO) 13423 and 13514 and DOE Order 436.1 by integrating these goals into its site-wide Environmental Management System (EMS). Since 2005, PPPL has focused on improving the sustainability of Laboratory operations and improving environmental performance. "Sustainable PPPL" is a program that capitalizes on PPPL's existing EMS to move the Laboratory toward more sustainable operations. The EMS includes energy management, water conservation, renewable energy, greenhouse gas management, waste minimization, environmentally preferable purchasing, and facility operation programs to reduce environmental impacts and improve performance [PPPL13c]. PPPL will continue to proactively implement sustainability practices aimed at meeting, or exceeding, the sustainability goals in its EMS, DOE Orders, and Executive Orders [EO08, 09].

In 2014, PPPL re-certified the registration of its Environmental Management System against the International Standard Organization ISO-14001:2004. The first triennial re-certification audit, required to maintain ISO 14001:2004 certification, was completed in November 2014 [UL14].

3.1 DOE Sustainability Goals

In 2014, PPPL continued to address the sustainability and greenhouse gas management goals of EO 13514, *Federal Leadership in Environmental, Energy, and Economic Performance*. PPPL completed its third annual *Site Sustainability Plan,* which summarized progress and outlined future plans for meeting the departmental sustainability goals under EOs 13423 and 13514, and submitted the Comprehensive Energy Data Report (CEDR) and the annual Site Sustainability Plan reports detailing our energy and environmental performance [PPPL14f & 14e].

3.1.1 Energy Efficiency

In 2014, PPPL achieved a reduction of 39.3% in energy intensity (British Thermal Unit per gross square feet, BTU/gsf) for non-experimental energy use compared to the 2003 baseline year (see Exhibit 3-1). This value represents a modest increase over 2012 and 2013 because of an extremely cold winter, but PPPL's non-experimental buildings still use approximately one-half of the energy consumed in 2003. This was achieved through building automation, energy conservation measures, and equipment upgrades.

Exhibit 3-1. Annual Non-Experimental Energy Intensity in BTU/gsf
(Red line indicates the Federal energy efficiency goal set for 2015)



PPPL continues to emphasize energy management as part of its facility operations and continues to leverage the success in non-experimental energy management to improve experimental efficiency. For example, PPPL continues to carefully manage its central steam and chilled water plant to maximize efficiency and minimize greenhouse gas emissions. PPPL has standardized on high-efficiency light-emitting diode (LED) lighting for all office renovations and continues to evaluate and implement other energy efficiency projects.

3.1.2 Renewable Energy

PPPL and DOE-PSO pursued an on-site solar renewable energy generation project for as much as 40% of non-experimental energy use over the course of three years. The Energy Savings Performance Contract (ESPC) proposal received in FY08 was not successful due to the need for significant up-front investment by DOE. PSO and PPPL then pursued a long-term Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) through the Defense Energy Supply Center (DESC). After more than a year of bidding and negotiations, DESC, PSO, PPPL and the vendor were unable to develop a financially viable project. The ESPC and PPA processes at PPPL identified several significant statutory and management barriers to the cost-effective development of renewable power projects at DOE sites. PPPL will continue to purchase renewable energy credits (RECs) to meet its renewable energy commitments and will pursue cost-effective renewable energy project opportunities within the context of the DOE Office of Science's portfolio approach to the EO13514 sustainability goals. PPPL purchased 1,548,000 kWh Renewable Energy Credits from Renewable Choice Energy accounting for 7.5% of total electrical energy used in FY2014.

3.1.3 Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Between 2008 and 2014, PPPL reduced its Scope 1 and 2 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 76.4%. This significant reduction in GHG emissions is largely due to the focused efforts to control fugitive losses of sulfur hexafluoride (SF_6) and reduced emissions from on-site combustion of fuel through improved boiler operations, boiler control upgrade projects and the use of natural gas as the primary fuel over fuel oil. Sulfur hexafluoride is a potent GHG that is a highly effective high voltage insulator (see Exhibit 3-2).

Exhibit 3-2. Summary of PPPL Scope 1 & 2 GHG Emissions between 2008 and 2014



3.1.4 Fleet Management

In 2014, PPPL's fleet petroleum fuel use was 70% below 2005 levels (see Exhibit 3-3). In addition, alternative fleet fuel consumption in 2014 was nearly 21 times higher than the levels in 2005, representing approximately 48% of PPPL's total covered fleet fuel use (see Exhibit 3-4).

Exhibit 3-3. Annual Non-Exempt Fleet Petroleum Fuel Use between 2005 and 2014

(Red line indicates the Federal energy efficiency goal set for 2020)



PPPL continues to exceed the goal for 75% acquisition of alternative fuel vehicle (AFV) for light duty vehicles by FY2015. PPPL specifies only AFVs as replacement lease vehicles through the GSA whenever a suitable AFV is available. PPPL's fleet includes gasoline-electric hybrid vehicles, alternative fuel vehicles - Ethanol 85% (E85) or biodiesel 20% (B20) – and petroleum-

Exhibit 3-4. FY2014 Non-Exempt Fleet Fuel Use by Type



fueled (gasoline & diesel) vehicles. In addition to the use of alternative fuels in its covered fleet vehicles, PPPL uses B20 in several pieces of heavy-mobile equipment, including a 15-ton forklift, backhoe, and skid steer loader. PPPL's fleet of John Deere Gator® vehicles run exclusively on B20. Following B20 pilot testing in FY2007 and 2008, PPPL expanded its on-site fleet refueling station to support the storage and dispensing of E85 and B20 fuels.

3.1.5 Water Efficiency

PPPL has made significant progress in reducing its use of both potable and non-potable water in recent years achieving an overall water use reduction of approximately 80% between 2000 and 2014 and its water intensity, measured in gallons per square foot of building space annually (see Exhibit 3-5). PPPL currently uses only 4 gallons of potable water per square foot of building space annually, a reduction of 44% since 2007. The Laboratory also continues to pursue water conservation pilot projects and to identify new opportunities for water conservation. Given the reductions already achieved additional savings may be incremental over a number of years, as the largest water efficiency opportunities have likely already been addressed.

Exhibit 3-5. PPPL Total Annual Water Use from 2000 to 2014



3.2 Energy Efficient "Green" Buildings

The Lyman Spitzer Building (LSB), PPPL's main office building was awarded LEED®-Gold certification by the U.S. Green Building Council in April 2011 for meeting the rigorous Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design – Existing Buildings Operations & Maintenance (LEED®-EBOM) standard. The LSB represents approximately 16% of the current building space and certification of this building to the LEED®-EBOM standard is a major step toward the goal of having at least 15% of buildings meeting the Guiding Principles for High Performance and Sustainable Buildings.

PPPL continues to balance priorities for infrastructure projects to include those buildings identified with the greatest potential for meeting the Guiding Principles to meet the 15% goal, with a long-term objective of 100% HPSB buildings. ENERGYSTAR® Portfolio Manager is used to document progress in meeting these goals. Renovations or other building improvements required to meet the Guiding Principles will be incorporated into PPPL's OPEX and GPP planning process for inclusion in out-year plans. Five buildings have been identified for evaluation and three are targeted for upgrades to meet the Guiding Principles by FY2015. A tabular summary of PPPL's performance against the comprehensive sustainability goals of EO 13514 and the applicable DOE Orders is presented in Exhibit 3-6.

3.3 Sustainability Awards

PPPL has demonstrated its commitment to sustainability through its mature environmental stewardship programs. PPPL is frequently consulted by DOE Laboratories and other organizations for advice and experience in sustainable environmental performance. In January 2014, PPPL received a DOE Sustainability Award for its sustained performance against DOE and Federal sustainability goals. In September 2014, PPPL was recognized by DOE's Office of Environment, Health, Safety and Security for the development of a comprehensive database of sustainable products to strengthen and enhance DOE's Green Buy Program.

Exhibit 3-6. 2014 DOE Sustainability Goal Summary Table for PPPL

SSPP Goal #	DOE Goal	Performance Status through FY 2014	Planned Actions & Contribution	Risk of Non-attainment
Goal 1: Greenhouse Gas Reduction				
1.1	28% Scope 1 & 2 GHG reduction by FY 2020 from a FY 2008 baseline (2014 target: 19%)	**EXCEEDED** Scope 1&2 GHG emissions down by 79.8%	Continue to focus on energy efficiency, especially electricity use.	NA
1.2	13% Scope 3 GHG reduction by FY 2020 from a FY 2008 baseline (2014 target: 5%)	**ON TARGET** Scope 3 GHG emissions down by 9.1%	Continue to emphasize energy efficiency, business travel and employee commuting	Moderate. Ongoing international research emphasis
Goal 2: Sustainable Buildings				
2.1	30% energy intensity (Btu per gross square foot) reduction by FY 2015 from a FY 2003 baseline (2014 target: 27%)	**EXCEEDED** 39.3% reduction from 2003 baseline	Continue to focus on energy efficiency and building energy performance	Low. Limited funding available for ECMs
2.2	EISA Section 432 energy and water evaluations	**MET**	25% of buildings were evaluated in 2014	NA
2.3	Individual buildings metering for 90% of electricity (by October 1, 2012); for 90% of steam, natural gas, and chilled water (by October 1, 2015) (2014 target: 90% and 75%, respectively)	**MET**	Additional sub-metering as cost-effective and programmatically appropriate	NA
2.4	Cool roofs, unless uneconomical, for roof replacements unless project already has CD-2 approval. New roofs must have thermal resistance of at least R-30.	**MET** 17% of building footprint has cool roofs	R-30 is standard for roof installation and replacement	NA
2.5	15% of existing buildings greater than 5,000 gross square feet (GSF) are compliant with the Guiding Principles (GPs) of HPSB by FY 2015 (2014 target: 13%)	**ON TARGET** LSB is LEED-Gold and met Guiding Principles. Other buildings in progress	Four additional buildings are currently being assessed against the Guiding Principles.	Moderate. Limited funding available for ECMs
2.6	All new construction, major renovations, and alterations of buildings greater than 5,000 GSF must comply with the GPs.	**ON TARGET**	Future new construction & major renovations will comply with Guiding Principles	Moderate. Subject to constrained funding
2.7	Efforts to increase regional and local planning coordination and involvement	**ON TARGET** PPPL site development is governed by the Princeton Forrestal Center Master Plan, which addresses local planning issues.		

SSPP Goal #	DOE Goal	Performance Status through FY 2014	Planned Actions & Contribution	Risk of Non-attainment
Goal 3: Fleet Management				
3.1	10% annual increase in fleet alternative fuel consumption by FY 2015 relative to a FY 2005 baseline (2014 target: 136% cumulative since 2005)	**EXCEEDED** 70% of total fleet fuel used in 2014 was alternative fuel	Fleet management initiative has impacted alternative fuel use	NA
3.2	2% annual reduction in fleet petroleum consumption by FY 2020 relative to a FY 2005 baseline (2014 target: 18% cumulative since 2005)	**EXCEEDED**	Continue to manage fleet to optimize alternative fuel use and support program needs	NA
3.3	100% of light duty vehicle purchases must consist of alternative fuel vehicles (AFV) by FY 2015,75% FY 2000 – 15	**MET**	Continue acquiring AFVs as appropriate	NA
Goal 4: Water Use Efficiency and Management				
4.1	26% potable water intensity (Gal per gross square foot) reduction by FY 2020 from a FY 2007 baseline (2014 target: 14%)	**ON TARGET** Water use down 44.2%. Significant water savings prior to 2007.	Continue to identify water conservation opportunities. Operational needs require flexible water use goals	Moderate. Additional water conservation projects are limited.
4.2	20% water consumption (Gal) reduction of industrial, landscaping, and agricultural (ILA) water by FY 2020 from a FY 2010 baseline (2014 target: 8%)	**ON TARGET** Water use down 35.9%. Significant water savings prior to 2007.	Continue to identify water conservation opportunities. Operational needs require flexible water use goals	Moderate. Additional water conservation projects are limited.
Goal 5: Pollution Prevention and Waste Reduction				
5.1	Divert at least 50% of non-hazardous solid waste, excluding construction and demolition debris, by FY 2015	**EXCEEDED** MSW recycling rate was 77.9%	Continue to maximize waste diversion	NA
5.2	Divert at least 50% of construction and demolition materials and debris by FY 2015	**EXCEEDED** C&D recycling rate was 84.1%	Continue to maximize waste diversion	NA
Goal 6: Sustainable Acquisition				
6.1	Procurements meet requirements by including necessary provisions and clauses in 95% of applicable contracts	**MET** >95% for 2014	Procedure ENG-006 includes sustainable acquisition guidance	NA
Goal 7: Electronic Stewardship and Data Centers				
7.1	All core data centers metered to measure a monthly Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE) of 100% by FY 2015 (2014 target: 90%)	**MET** 100% of data centers are metered	Completed metering of PPLCC in 2013 & consolidation of data centers in 2014	NA
7.2	Core data centers maximum annual weighted average PUE of 1.4 by FY 2015 (2014 target: 1.5)	**ON TARGET** FY14 average PUE=1.55	Additional energy efficiency opportunities for PPLCC have been identified	Moderate. Limited funding for ECMs

SSPP Goal #	DOE Goal	Performance Status through FY 2014	Planned Actions & Contribution	Risk of Non-attainment
7.3	Power management – 100% of eligible PCs, laptops, and monitors with power management actively implemented and in use by FY 2012.	**ON TARGET** Standard desktop/laptop configuration include power management	Planned changes to configuration management programs will allow for improved power management	Moderate. System & cultural barriers. Limited funding for ECMs
7.4	Electronic Stewardship – 95% of eligible electronics acquisitions meet EPEAT standards.	**MET** >95% of laptops & desktops meet EPEAT standards.	Additional EPEAT eligible electronics regularly added to employee acquisition guidance	Low. Continue to educate employees about EPEAT requirements
Goal 8: Renewable Energy				
8.1	20% of annual electricity consumption from renewable sources by FY 2020 (2014 target: 7.5%)	**ON TARGET** 2014 REC purchases: 1,548 MWh (7.5%)	ESPC and PPA were not financially viable. Continue to explore other renewable energy options.	NA
Goal 9: Climate Change Resilience				
9.1	Address DOE Climate Change Adaptation Plan goals (See Appendix C)	**ON TARGET** PPPL is participating in national and state adaptation planning organizations as programmatic funding can support. PPPL completed the DOE vulnerability assessment survey and is incorporating anticipated climate changes into long-term planning and response documents.		
Goal 10: Energy Performance Contracts				
10.1	Utilization of Energy Performance Contracts	**ON TARGET** ESPCs not financially viable in the past. We will continue to look for opportunities to incorporate alternative financing into campus modernization efforts as programmatic funding and priorities support.		

Chapter

4

ENVIRONMENTAL NON-RADIOLOGICAL PROGRAM INFORMATION

The following sections briefly describe PPPL's environmental programs required by federal, state, or local agencies. These programs were developed to comply with regulations governing air, water, waste water, soil, land use, and hazardous materials, as well as with DOE orders or programs.

4.1 Non-Radiological Water Programs

4.1.1 New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES) Program

A. Monthly Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMR)

In compliance with permit requirements of the New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES) permit, NJ0023922, PPPL and DOE-PSO submitted to NJDEP monthly discharge monitoring reports (DMRs) for Discharge Serial Number (DSN)—DSN001, retention basin outfall, and DSN003, Delaware & Raritan (D&R) Canal pump house filter backwash discharge (Appendix A Tables 17 & 18).

In 2013, PPPL received the final NJPDES permit with the effective date of October 1, 2013. In February of 2008 NJDEP issued a *Final Surface Water Minor Modification Permit Action* report. Key changes to the permit included eliminating loading requirements and quarterly monitoring for DSN001, additional annual and semi-annual Waste Characterization Reports from DSN001 and DSN003 as well as addition annual [NJDEP13a].

Changes to PPPL's reporting requirements are noted in Exhibit 4-2. Under the current NJPDES permit effective October 2013, PPPL is required to provide an annual WCR for both DSN001 and DSN003. DSN001 also requires addition semiannual WCR reporting for particular metals and semi volatile organic compounds (SVOC). DSN003 is still required to complete a full WCR once per permit cycle [PPPL14h].

During 2014, PPPL's discharges were within allowable limits for all tested parameters (Exhibit 4-1.

Exhibit 4-1. 2014 NJPDES Permitted Compliance
NJPDES permit NJ0023922

Outfall DSN001							
Parameter (1)	**Frequency**	**Permit Limit**	**# Permit Exceedance**	**# Samples Taken** (4)	**# Compliant Samples**	**Percent Compliance**	**Dates Exceeded**
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), mg/L	Monthly	50.0	0	16	16	100%	-
Chlorine Produced Oxidants (CPO),mg/L	Monthly	0.1	0	32	32	100%	
Flow, MGD	Monthly	-	0	12	12	100%	-
Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPHC), mg/L	Monthly	10.0 Avg 15.0 Max	0	16	16	100%	--
pH, S. U.	Monthly	>6.0; <9.0	0	16	16	100%	-
Phosphorus, total mg/L (2)	Monthly	-	0	16	16	100%	-
Temperature º C	Monthly	30.0	0	16	16	100%	-
Tetrachloroethylene (PCE), µg/L (3)	Monthly	0.703	0	16	16	100%	-
Total Suspended Solids (TSS), mg/L	Monthly	50.0	0	16	16	100%	-
- Outfall DSN003							
Chlorine Produced Oxidants (CPO),mg/L	Monthly	>0.1	0	12	12	100%	-
Flow, GPD	Monthly	-	0	12	12	100%	-
Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPHC), mg/L	Monthly	10.0 Avg 15.0 Max	0	12	12	100%	-
pH, S. U.	Monthly	>6.0; <9.0	0	12	12	100%	-
Phosphorus, total mg/L (2)	Monthly	-	0	12	12	100%	-
Total Suspended Solids (TSS), mg/L	Quarterly	50.0	0	12	12	100%	-
Intake C1							
Total Suspended Solids (TSS), mg/L	Quarterly	-	0	12	12	100%	-

NA = Not applicable

Note: All samples reported in quality or concentration on monthly DMR

(1) *Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes*, Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, March 1983, EPA-600 4-79-020 [EPA83].

(2) Phosphorus Evaluation Study will be included in the Raritan Watershed Study.

(3) Tetrachloroethylene (PCE) found in the retention basin outfall results from ground water from the building foundation drainage system. Additional basin aeration is expected to keep the discharge concentration of PCE at or below 0.703 µg/L.

(4) Number of samples taken indicates the minimum number of samples required for the current NJPDES permit. Additional samples and duplicates may be taken and reported each CY year.

Exhibit 4-2. NJPDES Reporting Requirements

Parameter	Location	Frequency/Type	Last Completed
Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR)	DSN001, DSN003, C1	Monthly	Monthly 2014
Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity	DSN003	4 – 4.5 Years per Permit	March 2010 Next Nov 2017
Chronic Toxicity (% Effluent) IC25 7 Day *Ceriodaphnia dubia* & *Pimephales promelas*	DSN001	Annual	June 2014
Waste Characterization Report (WCR) – Complete WCR	DSN001	Annual	June 2014
Waste Characterization Report (WCR) – Metals, SVOC, Chloroform	DSN001	Semi Annual	June 2014 May 2015*
Waste Characterization Report (WCR) - Metals	DSN003	Annual	June 2014
Waste Characterization Report (WCR) – Complete WCR	DSN003	4 – 4.5 Years per Permit	March 2010 Next Nov 2017

**Make-up for missed Semi-annual WCR.*

B. Acute Toxicity Study

The Acute Biomonitoring Report for the water flea (*Ceriodaphnia dubia*) was completed on March 20, 2010 for DSN003. Samples were collected for the 48-hour acute toxicity survival test, required to be performed between 4 to 4.5 years after the effective date of the permit (Exhibit 4-2). The next permitted sampling is scheduled for November 2017. The toxicity test with *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (water flea) resulted in an inhibition concentration (IC25) of >100 percent [PPPL10a].

C. Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity Study

Annual Chronic Whole Effluent toxicity testing for DSN001 was completed on June 2, 2014 (Exhibit 4-2). In all chronic toxicity tests, *Pimephales promelas* (fathead minnow) survival rate inhibition concentration (IC25), as defined by the NJ Surface Water Quality Standards, was IC25 >100 percent (statistically possible) no observable effect concentration (NOEC) [NJDEP13a, PPPL14g].

D. Waste Characterization Report (WCR)

Waste Characterization Reports (WCR) are required by NJPDES Permit for monitoring effluent conditions. Semi Annual WCR are to completed twice annually at DSN001. PPPL completed DSN001 Annual WCR on June 18, 2014 [PPPL14h]. A make-up Semi Annual WCR at DSN001 was collected in May 2015, because the second Semi Annual WCR had not collected in CY2014. DSN003 was completed annually on June 5, 2014 [PPPL10c].

4.1.2 Lined Surface Impoundment Permit (LSI)

PPPL complies with NJDEP Ground Water General Permit No. NJ0142051 and is permitted to operate Lined Surface Impoundment (LSI) Program Interest (P.I.) ID#:47029 dated February 26, 2009. LSI Permit operates on a 5-year permit cycle, expiring on February 28, 2014. PPPL will operate under the previous permit until NJDEP issued a new permit. The LSI Permit authorizes PPPL to discharge from our lined retention basin outlet to surface water, Bee Brook, which is a tributary of Devils Brook and the Millstone River in Plainsboro, NJ [NJDEP09]. An estimated total of 94.2 million gallons of water was discharged from the retention basin in 2014 [Fin15a].

Exhibit 4-3. PPPL Retention Basin, Flow Sensor, Discharge Gate

LSI permit requires inspection and maintenance of liner every three years. In April 2012, PPPL completed its annual basin cleaning and inspected and certified the liner by Professional Engineer (P.E.) for repairs and maintenance. Liner inspection was reported to the state during May 2012; the next inspection is due in spring 2015. In the interim, the basin was drained and sediment removed in 2013 and its condition was monitored in 2014.

Water flowing through the retention basin includes site storm water, groundwater from building foundation drains, non-contact cooling water, and cooling tower and boiler (Units 2 and 3 only) blow down. PPPL operates and maintains all equipment associated with the retention basin including aerators, sonic algae control, oil sensors, oil boom, sump pump and flow meter (Exhibit 4-3). If oil is detected within the basin, an alarm signals Site Protection Office and automatically closes the discharge valve. The ultrasonic flow meter measures flow from the basin is downloaded monthly for NJPDES Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR). The following maintenance activities were conducted in 2014:

- Sump pump maintained and oil sensors replaced and calibrated.
- Calibrated the retention basin flow meter via certified outside vendor.
- Building automation system (BAS) delivers flow meter data electronically.

4.1.3 Ground Water

A. NJPDES Ground Water Program

No ground water monitoring is required by the LSI NJPDES Groundwater permit.

B. Regional Ground Water Monitoring Program

PPPL's Remedial Investigation and Remedial Action Selection Report (RI & RASR) was approved by NJDEP in 2000 [PPPL99b]. The Remedial Action Work Plan (RAWP) was approved NJDEP in June 2000 [PPPL00]. The process of natural attenuation by the indigenous bacteria and other *in-situ* processes are slowly degrading tetrachloroethylene or perchloroethylene (PCE) to its natural degradation products. The de-watering sumps located in the D-site MG and air shaft (formerly TFTR) basements draw ground water radially from the shallow aquifer, controlling ground water flow and preventing off-site contaminant migration. For details, see Chapter 6 "Site Hydrology, Ground Water, and Drinking Water Protection."

In August 2013, NJDEP issued Groundwater Remedial Action Permit number RAP13001, effective for 30 years, for the ongoing remediation and monitoring programs at PPPL. PPPL has modified its monitoring program to meet conditions of the new permit [PPPL13d]. Additional groundwater information can be found in Chapter 6.

4.1.4 Metered Water .

A. Drinking (Potable) Water

Potable water is supplied by the public utility, New Jersey American Water Company. PPPL used approximately 2.74 million gallons in 2014 (Exhibits 4-4 & 4-5) [Mor15]. PPPL uses potable water as a backup resource for fire protection, cooling make-up water and non-contact cooling water.

Exhibit 4-4. PPPL Potable Water Use from NJ American Water Co. [Mor15]

CY	In million gallons
2004	22.33
2005	20.01
2006	12.85
2007	3.78
2008	7.41
2009	15.57
2010	7.65
2011	8.54
2012	6.75
2013	4.52
2014	2.74

Exhibit 4-5. PPPL Non-Potable Water Use From Delaware & Raritan Canal [Fin15a]

CY	In million gallons
2004	13.02
2005	14.77
2006	7.90
2007	8.71
2008	7.15
2009	0.00
2010	7.35
2011	2.47
2012	4.19
2013	5.73
2014	5.14

B. *Process (Non-potable) Water*

Delaware & Raritan (D&R) Canal non-potable water is used for fire protection and process cooling *via* Physical Cross-Connection Permit 0826-WPC110001. Non-potable water is pumped from the D&R Canal as authorized through a contract with the New Jersey Water Supply Authority that allows for the withdrawal of up to 150,000 gallons per day (gpd) and an annual limit of 54.75 million gallons [NJWSA12]. PPPL used 5.14 million gallons of non-potable water from the D&R Canal in 2014 [Fin15a].

Filtration to remove solids and the addition of chlorine and a corrosion inhibitor are the primary water treatment at the canal pump house. Discharge serial number DSN003, located at the canal pump house filter-backwash, is a separate discharge point in the NJPDES surface-water permit and is monitored monthly (Appendix A Table 18). A sampling point (C1) was established to provide baseline data for surface water that is pumped from the D&R Canal for non-potable uses. Appendix A Table 12 summarizes the results of water quality analysis at the water intake C1 on the D&R Canal, which is upstream of PPPL's pump house.

C. *Surface Water*

Surface water is monitored for potential non-radioactive pollutants both on-site and at surface-water discharge pathways upstream and downstream off-site. Other sampling locations—Bee Brook (B1 & B2), New Jersey American Water Company (potable water supplier-E1), Delaware & Raritan Canal (C1), Millstone River (M1), and Cranbury and Devil's Brooks in Plainsboro (P1 & P2) sampling points (Appendix A Tables 10 -16)—are not required by regulation, but are a part of PPPL's environmental surveillance program.

D. *Sanitary Sewage*

Sanitary sewage is discharged to the Publicly-Owned Treatment Works (POTW) operated by South Brunswick Township, which is part of the Stony Brook Regional Sewerage Authority (SBRSA). SBRSA requires quarterly reporting of total volume discharged from the Liquid Effluent Collection (LEC) tanks on D-Site. PPPL continued to collect radioactive Tritium samples and non-radioactive data (pH and temperature) during 2014 (Appendix A Table 8). Detailed radiological and discharge quantities for LEC tanks can be found in Chapter 5 "Environmental Radiological Program Information".

For 2014, PPPL estimated a total annual sanitary sewage discharge of 2.37 million gallons to the South Brunswick sewerage treatment plant [Mor15].

4.2 Non-Radiological Waste Programs

4.2.1 Hazardous Waste Programs

A. Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA)

In 2014, PPPL shipped 1008 pounds of PCB waste. All contents were landfilled, recycled or incinerated in a permitted facility as TSCA Hazardous Waste [Pue15a].

B. Hazardous Waste

PPPL submitted a Biennial Hazardous Waste Generator Report to NJDEP for waste generated in CY2014. A description of Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) compliance is found in Exhibit 2-1 of this report [Pue15a].

PPPL continues to evaluate opportunities to remove hazardous materials from the workplace that have the potential to become hazardous wastes by substituting them with non-hazardous materials that has the added benefit of reducing employee exposure.

C. Recycled Hazardous/Universal Waste

The types and quantities of waste that are recycled each year changes due to the activities varying greatly from year to year as shown in Exhibit 4-6. PPPL's waste shipments can include hazardous, universal, non-hazardous and TSCA regulated waste. PPPL's avoids landfilling environmental waste through recycling and incinerating, as Exhibit 4.6 shows PPPL's commitment to sustainability [Pue15a].

Exhibit 4-6. 2014 Waste Shipments [Pue15a]

Recycled Hazardous Waste	Pounds	Tons (MT)
Recycled	15,628	7.81 (7.09)
Incinerated	11,681	5.84 (5.30)
Landfilled	0	0
Total Waste	**27,309**	**13.65 (12.39)**

4.3 Environmental Protection Programs

4.3.1 Release Programs

A. Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC)

PPPL maintains a Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan (SPCC), which was updated in 2011. The SPCC Plan is incorporated as a supplement to the PPPL Emergency Preparedness Plan. In addition to the 5-year major revision as required by the USEPA, PPPL's Environmental Services Division (ESD) completes a review every year to make any minor changes required to the SPCC [PPPL11, Pue15b]. Following the 2014 annual review, no revisions were made to SPCC.

B. Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) – Continuous Release Reporting

According to Comprehensive Environmental Recovery, Compensation, and Liability Act, (CERCLA) reporting requirements, the National Response Center (NCR) is notified upon the release of any listed hazardous substances in quantities equal to or greater than its reportable quantity. The facility is then required to report annually to EPA. Because PPPL has not released any CERCLA-regulated hazardous substances, no a "Continuous Release Report" was filed with EPA in CY 2014 [Sla15].

C. Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) Title III Reporting Requirements

NJDEP administers the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) Title III, also known as the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA), reporting for EPA Region II. The modified Tier I form includes SARA Title III and NJDEP-specific reporting deadline [PPPL15a].

1. Changes for 2014 EPCRA/SARA report included the removal of Halon 1301 from report.

SARA Title III reports included information about eleven compounds used at PPPL as listed in Exhibits 4-7and 4-8.

Exhibit 4-7. 2014 Summary of PPPL EPCRA Reporting Requirements

SARA	YES	NO	NOT REQUIRED
EPCRA 302-303: Planning Notification	✓		
EPCRA 304: EHS Release Notification		✓	
EPCRA 311-312: MSDS/Chemical Inventory	✓		
EPCRA 313: TRI Report			[✓]

EHS – Extremely hazardous substances (No EHS are on-site at PPPL)
MSDS – Material Safety Data Sheets
TRI – Toxic Release Inventory

Exhibit 4-8. 2014 Hazard Class of Chemicals at PPPL

Compound	Category	Compound	Category
Bromochlorodifluoro-methane (Halon 1211)	Sudden release of pressure & Acute health effects	**Lead**	Chronic health effects
Carbon dioxide	Sudden release of pressure & Reactive	**Nitrogen**	Sudden release of pressure
Diesel Fuel Oil	Fire	**Propane**	Sudden release of pressure
Gasoline	Fire & Chronic Health Hazard	**Petroleum Oil**	Fire
Helium	Sudden release of pressure	**Sulfur Hexafluoride**	Sudden release of pressure
Sulfuric acid	Acute Health Hazard & Reactive		

Though PPPL does not exceed threshold amounts for chemicals listed on the Toxic Release Inventory (TRI), PPPL completed the TRI cover page and laboratory exemptions report for 1996, and submitted these documents to DOE. Since PPPL did not exceed the threshold amounts, no TRI submittal was completed for 2014 [Sla15].

4.3.2 Environmental Releases

PPPL reported no oil or chemical spills in CY 2014. Due to New Jersey's no *de minimus* thresholds, all oil released to unpaved surfaces must be reported. If spills occur, PPPL removes dirt and tests the soil to ensure adequate cleanup of petroluem hydrocarbons and any other chemicals [Fin15c].

4.3.3 Pollution Prevention Program

In 2014, PPPL continued to pursue waste minimization and pollution prevention opportunities through active recycling efforts and through the purchasing of recycled-content and other environmentally-preferable products (EPP). In FY 2014, PPPL diverted 77.9% of the municipal solid waste through single stream recycling and organic waste composting programs. The DOE EO 13514 goal of 50% recycle versus disposal rate was met and accomplished by active participation of Laboratory employees. PPPL's FY 2014 rate for recycling of construction materials including wood, concrete, and metal was 84.1% by weight [Kin15a].

In September 2010, PPPL initiated the collection and recycling of food waste from the cafeteria kitchen and the trash bins located in the cafeteria and select locations around the laboratory. In FY2014, PPPL composted 20.1 tons of food waste. Changes in from non-compostable products (cups, plates and corn starch food containers) to compostable ones, new color-coded signs and bins increased composting across the laboratory [Kin15a].

4.4 Non-Radiological Emissions Monitoring Programs

Air Permits

PPPL maintains New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) air permits/certificates for the equipment as listed in Exhibit 4-9. PPPL is classified as a synthetic- minor facility and does not exceed the Potential to Emit (PTE) limits for any of the Criteria Air Pollutants.

PPPL tracks NJDEP Air Quality Conditions Alerts. Unhealthy conditions are noted and all generator repairs and maintenance are postponed until normal air quality is reinstated. During those times, the standby (emergency) generators may be used only in an emergency (power outage) or when a voltage reduction issued by Pennsylvania, Jersey, Maryland Interconnect (PJM – electric-power grid controllers) and posted on the PJM internet website under the "emergency procedures" menu.

In 2008, NJDEP reduced the regulatory limits for the Criteria air pollutants for operating the boilers; PPPL's operated these four boilers were well below those limits in 2014 (Exhibit 4-10 &

Appendix A Table 9). With the installation of digital controls and high-efficiency, lower nitrogen oxide (NO_x) burners, the NO_x , volatile organic compounds (VOCs), particulates, sulfur dioxide (SO_2), and carbon monoxide (CO) emissions are being further reduced [Nem15].

Exhibit 4-9. PPPL's Air-Permitted Equipment

Type of Air Permit	Qty	Location	Requirements
Dust collectors	2	Facilities Woodworking shop C-Site MG Annex	Operate at 99% efficiency General Permit July 2011 (Facilities out of commission since 12/2014)
Storage tanks vents	2	25,000 gal. No. 2 & 4 oil 15,000 gal. No.1 oil	TANKS – EPA annual emissions based on amount of fuel through-put
Diesel generators	1 2	D-site generator C-site generator	Annual Limi200of 200 hours for D-site & 100 hours for C-site of operation excluding emergencies; no testing on NJDEP Air Action Days
Utility boilers	4	Units 2,3,4, & 5 in Facilities	Annual emission testing same quarter each year; annual emission calculations based on hours of operations (Ex.4-12); rolling 12-month calendar total fuel consumed by boiler and fuel type (Tables 9A&9B). Visual stack checked weekly when operating.
Fluorescent bulb crusher	1	Hazardous Materials Storage Facility	Hours of operations and number of bulbs crushed; air monitoring for mercury during filter changes.

Exhibit 4-10. PPPL's Boiler Emissions from 2002- 2014 vs. Regulatory Limits (Fin15b)

Criteria Pollutants in Tons per Year



4.5 Land Resources and Conservation

4.5.1 Wetlands Letter of Interpretation (LOI)

PPPL operates under NJDEP Land Use Wetlands LOI. Under permit No. 1218-06-0002.2FWW070001, NJDEP had line verified LOI PPPL's freshwater boundaries in 2008. PPPL's permit expired in 2013. NJDEP's Permit Extension Act of 2001 as Amended though 2012 extends all NJDEP LOI's until June 30, 2015, after which PPPL will seek permit renewal. No construction or alterations to existing vegetation within 50 feet of wetlands can commence without state notification. Freshwater wetlands line verifications must be present on all future site development drawings [PPPL08c].

4.5.2. Soil Erosion and Landscaping

In 2014, PPPL applied for Soil Erosion Permit through Freehold Soil Conservation District. Permit No. 2014-0657 for PPPL's C-Site and D-Site Chilled Water Line was issued on 11/26/2014 and expires on 5/26/2018. Draft internal soil erosion and sediment control guidelines were for inclusion in PPPL's Engineering Standards [PPPL14a].

PPPL continued to reduce the grassed acreage that required mowing and other maintenance by planting native meadow grasses that are allowed to grow tall through PPPL's Storm water Pollution Prevention Plan [PPPL12a].

4.5.3 Herbicides and Fertilizers

During 2014, PPPL's Facilities Division used herbicides, insecticide and fertilizer on campus grounds (Exhibit 4-11). These materials are applied in accordance with state and federal FIFRA regulations. Chemicals are applied by certified applicators. No herbicides or fertilizers are stored on site; therefore, no disposal of these types of regulated chemicals is required by PPPL [Kin15b].

Exhibit 4-11. 2014 Fertilizer and Herbicide

Type of Material	Name of Material	Registered EPA No.	2014 Applied
Herbicide	Trimaec Bentgrass Broadleaf	2217-529	216.5 Oz.
Herbicide	Quali-pro Prodiamine 4L	66222-230	309.63 Oz.
Herbicide	Roundup ProMax	524-579	998.68 Oz.
Insecticide	Hot Shot no Mess Fogger	9688-252-8845	12.6 Oz.
Insecticide	The End Wasp, Bee Killer	11694-109	As needed
Fertilizer	None	-	-

4.5.4 Stormwater Pollution Prevention

PPPL's Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) was revised in 2012 to provide guidance to reduce the impact of PPPL's operations on stormwater quality [PPPL12a]. As summarized in Exhibit 8 of SWPPP, PPPL reduces stormwater quantity by utilizing best management practices, such as limiting the mowed areas with rain gardens and native grass meadows plantings.

4.6 Safety

PPPL's 2014 performance with respect to worker safety is noted in Exhibit 4-12 [Lev15a].

Exhibit 4-12. 2014 PPPL's Safety Performance

	Total OSHA recordable case rate[1]	Days away, restricted transferred (DART) case rate[1]
2014	1.72	0.86
	Number of radioactive contaminations (external)	**Number of Safety report OSHA (ORPS) Occurrence confined space, chemical exposure and (LOTO) incidents**
2014	0	1

OSHA – Occupational Safety and Health Administration
[1] Per 200,000 hours worked

Chapter

5

ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOLOGICAL PROGRAM INFORMATION

5.1 Radiological Emissions and Doses

For 2014, the releases of tritium in air and water and the dose to the maximum exposed individual (MEI) are summarized in Exhibit 5-1 below. The calculated MEI are less than 0.0025 milli-radiation equivalent man (mrem) per year, far below the annual limit of 10 mrem per year [Lev15b, Rul15].

Exhibit 5-1. Summary of 2014 Emissions and Doses from D-Site Operations

Radionuclide & Pathway	Source	Source Term Curies (Ci) (Bequerel, Bq)	MEI in mrem/yr (person-Sv)	Percent of Total	Collective EDE w/in 80 km in person-rem (person-Sv)
Tritium (air)	D-site stack	HTO – 2.16 (7.96×10^{10}) HT - 2.38 (8.81×10^{10})	1.6×10^{-3} (1.6×10^{-5})	63.7	0.10 (1.0×10^{-3})
Tritium (water)	LEC tank	HTO - 0.030 ($1.11 X10^{9}$)	6.0×10^{-4} (6.0×10^{-6})	24.2	8.22×10^{-4} (8.22×10^{-6})
Tritium (water)	Surface Ground	157.7 pCi/L` (basin) 216.2 pCi/L (air shaft/MG sump)	3.0×10^{-4} (3.0×10^{-6})	12.1	3.02×10^{-4} (3.02×10^{-6})
Direct/Scattered neutron & Gamma Radiation	NSTX	0 D-D neutrons	N/A	0	N/A
Argon-41 (Air)	NSTX	N/A	N/A	0	N/A
Total			**2.48×10^{-3}** **(2.48×10^{-5})**	100	0.101 (1.01×10^{-3})

[Lev15b & Rul15]

Bq = Bequerel
mSv = milli Sievert
EDE = effective dose equivalent
mrem = milli radiation equivalent man
HT = elemental tritium
HTO = tritium oxide
DD =deuterium-deuterium
NSTX = National Spherical Torus Experiment

Note: 1. Dose to the MEI occurs at the nearest business which is 351 meters from the D-site stack. Doses assume maximum exposed individual is in continuous occupation at the nearest business; waterborne doses assume that maximum exposed individual uses the ultimate destination of liquid discharges (Millstone River) as sole source of drinking water.

2. Annual limit is 10 mrem/year; background is about 620 mrem/year. [NCRP 09]
Half-life of tritium (HTO & HT) is 12.3 years.

Laboratory policy states that when occupational exposures have the potential to exceed 1,000 mrem (1 rem) per year (10 mSv/y), the PPPL Environment, Safety, and Health (ES&H) Executive Board must approve an exemption. This value (1,000 mrem per year limit) is 20 percent of the DOE legal limit for occupational exposure. In addition, the Laboratory applies the "ALARA" (As Low As Reasonably Achievable) policy to all its operations. This philosophy for control of occupational exposure means that environmental radiation levels for device operation are also very low. From all operational sources of radiation, the ALARA goal for maximum individual occupational exposure was less than 100 mrem per year (1.0 mSv/year) above natural background at PPPL. The average annual dose to a member of the general population is considered to be about 620 mrem/year with 310 mrem contribution from natural sources and 310 mrem from man-made sources.

- Cosmic radiation - 28 mrem/yr
- Terrestrial sources /earth's crust - 28 mrem/yr
- Food – 40 mrem/yr
- Radon - ~200 mrem/yr
- Medical sources: 310 mrem from medical disgnostics such as x-rays, CAT scans, cancer treatments,

5.1.1 Penetrating Radiation

Due to the upgrade project, the NSTX reactor did not conduct experiments during 2014, and therefore did not generate neutrons. The upgrade project includes installation of a new center stack, new magnetic coils, additional diagnostic instruments, and a second neutral beam for heating. This will result in increased neutron production when NSTX resumes operations in 2015.

5.1.2 Sanitary Sewage

Drainage from D-site sumps in radiological areas is collected in the one of the three liquid effluent collection (LEC) tanks; each tank has a capacity of 15,000 gallons. Prior to release of these tanks to the sanitary sewer system and the publicly owned treatment works, *i.e.*, Stony Brook Regional Sewerage Authority (SBRSA), a sample is collected and analyzed for tritium concentration and gross beta. All samples for 2014 showed effluent quantity and concentrations of radionuclides (tritium) to be within allowable limits established in New Jersey regulations (1 Ci/y for all radionuclides), the National Safe Drinking Water regulations (40 CFR 141.16 limit of 20,000 pCi/L) and DOE Order 458.1 (1.9×10^6 pCi/liter for tritium).

As shown in Exhibits 5-2 and 5-3, the 2014 total amount of tritium released to the sanitary sewer was 0.030 Curies, less than the allowable 1.0-Curie per year limit. In Appendix A Table 8, the tritium activity is reported; the gross beta activity ranges from 5,650 to 514,000 pCi/L.

Exhibit 5-2.
Annual Releases to Sanitary System from
Liquid Effluent Collection Tanks 2000-2014



Exhibit 5-3.
Total Annual Releases (LEC tanks) to Waste Sanitary System from 2000 to 2014

Calendar Year	Total Gallons Released	Total Activity (Curies)
2000	165,900	0.081
2001	150,150	0.103
2002	190,200	0.453
2003	217,320	0.032
2004	223,650	0.041
2005	247,950	0.044
2006	183,657	0.015
2007	149,100	0.009
2008	159,450	0.007
2009	140,850	0.082
2010	158,900	0.317
2011	134,450	0.041
2012	102,000	0.018
2013	132,250	0.009
2014	135,250	0.030

Exhibit 5-4.
Total Low-Level Radioactive from 2000-2014

Year	Cubic meters (m^3) or Kilograms (kg)	Total Activity in Curies (Bq)
2001	565 m^3	1,288.43 (4.77×10^{13})
2002	858,568 kgs	4,950.14 (1.83×10^{14})
2003	8,208 kgs	0.03 (1.11×10^{9})
2004	4,467 kgs	0.0202 (7.48×10^{8})
2005	30.29m^3	0.01997 (7.389×10^{8})
2006	11.12m^3	2.3543 (8.711×10^{10})
2007	8.6 m^3	0.09285 (3.435×10^{9})
2008	3.63 m^3	0.08341 (3.086×10^{9})
2009	No Shipment	No Shipment
2010	13.3	6.30270 (2.332×10^{11})
2011	15.6 m^3	0.0351 (1.297×10^{9})
2012	No shipment	No shipment
2013	34.9m^3	0.357 (1.32×10^{10})
2014	17.1	0.0082 (3.03×10^{8})

5.1.3 Radioactive Waste

Exhibit 5-5. B-box with Liner in RWHF for Shipping Radioactive Waste to Clive

In 2014, low-level radioactive wastes (LLW) were stored on-site in the Radioactive Waste Handling Facility (RWHF) prior to off-site disposal (Exhibit 5-4).

PPPL shipped 17.07 cubic feet of waste to the Energy Solutions facility in Clive, Utah. The wastes are packaged for shipment and disposal in metal containers, refered to as "B-boxes" and drums (Exhibit 5-5). PPPL maintains waste profiles for LLW that is shipped off-site for burial. In 2014, PPPL shipped two Curium 244 sources with the support of the Los Alamos National Lab Source Recovery program, to the NSSI facility in Houston, Texas. Also, PPPL shipped for incineration three 55-gallon drums of check sources no longer useful to the Energy Solutions facility in Bear Creek, Tennessee. PPPL's radioactive waste program is audited periodically to ensure compliance with burial facility and DOT requirements. The audit includes employee training, waste characterization, waste packaging, quality control, and records retention.

5.1.4 Airborne Emission - Differential Atmospheric Tritium Samplers (DATS)

PPPL uses differential atmospheric tritium sampler (DATS) to measure elemental tritium (HT) and oxide tritium (HTO) at the D site stack. DATS are similarly used at four environmental sampling stations located on D-site facility boundary trailers (T1 to T4), All of the aforementioned monitoring is performed on a continuous basis.

Tritium (HTO and HT) was released and monitored at the D-site stack (Appendix A Table 3 and Exhibit 3-8). Projected dose equivalent to the MEI from airborne emissions of tritium was 0.0016 mrem/year (0.016 μSv/year) in 2014.

The dose to the MEI was calculated based on annual tritium totals as measured at the stack (DATS air) and water samples at the LEC tanks and highest measurements from well and surface water during 2014 (Exhibit 5-1).

5.2 Release of Property Containing Residual Radioactive Material

Release of property containing residual radioactivity material is performed in accordance with PPPL ES&H Directives (ESHD) 5008, Section 10, Subpart L.

Such property cannot be released for unrestricted use unless it is demonstrated that contamination levels on accessible surfaces are less than the values in Appendix D of ES&HD 5008, Section 10, and that prior use does not suggest that contamination levels on inaccessible surfaces exceed Appendix D values. For tritium and tritiated compounds, the removable surface contamination value used for this purpose is 1,000 dpm/100 cm^2.

5.3 Protection of Biota

The highest measured concentrations of tritium in ground water in 2014, was 216.2 pCi/L (D-Site Airshaft sump - Appendix A Table 4) and for 157.7 pCi/L surface water (basin outfall - Appendix A Table 5). This concentration is a small fraction of the water biota concentration guide (BCG) for HTO of 3 $x10^8$ pCi/L for aquatic system evaluations, and the water BCG for HTO of 2 x 10^8 pCi/L for terrestrial system evaluations, per DOE Standard STD-1153-2002, "A Graded Approach for Evaluating Radiation Doses to Aquatic and Terrestrial Biota" [Lev15b]. Because of these low doses, PPPL does not conduct direct biota monitoring.

5.4 Unplanned Releases

There were no unplanned radiological releases in 2014.

5.5 Environmental Radiological Monitoring

5.5.1 Waterborne Radioactivity

A. Surface Water

Surface-water samples from nine locations; two on-site locations: DSN001, and E1; and seven off-site locations: B1, B2, C1, DSN003, M1, P1, and P2) have been analyzed for tritium (Appendix A Table 5).

In August 2014, the tritium concentration at basin was detected at 157.7 pCi/L (DSN004), which was the highest for surface water sample (Appendix A Table 5).

Rain water samples, which will eventually reach surface waters, were collected and analyzed and ranged from below detection to 151.4 pCi/L in 2014 (Appendix A Table 6). Since the end of TFTR D&D project in September 2002, tritium concentrations in rain, surface, and ground water samples have decreased, reflecting the decreased atmospheric tritium releases measured at the D-site stack. PPPL has discontinued Rainwater sampling as of July 2014 due to sufficient data from surrounding groundwater and surface water sampling.

In April 1988, PPPL began on-site precipitation measurements as part of its environmental surveillance program. On a weekly basis, precipitation is measured by an on-site rain gauge. The 2014 weekly precipitation amounts are shown on Appendix A

Table 2. Based on the average rainfall, a comparison of dry or wet years shows that 2014 was just below the average rainfall total at 45.06 inches, a -1.44 inches deficit from New Jersey's expected average. (109.86 cm) (Appendix A Table 7).

B. Ground Water

Ground water samples are taken from five locations, two building foundation sumps: D-Site Airshaft, and D-Site MG, and three on-site wells. The highest concentration of tritium in ground water was found in the D-site air shaft at 216.2 pCi/L in September 2014 (Appendix A Table 4). These tritium concentrations are well below the Drinking Water Standard of 20,000 pCi/L. The three on-site wells used to monitoring for tritium in the ground water (TW-1, TW-5, TW-8) were tested for tritium in 2014 and all results were below the limit of detection.

Based on PPPL's environmental monitoring data and the available scientific literature [Jo74, Mu77, Mu82, Mu90], the most likely source of the tritium detected in the on-site ground water samples is from the atmospheric releases of tritium from the D-site stack and the resulting "wash-out" during precipitation. Monitoring of ground water from wells and the building foundation sump (dewatering sump for D-site buildings) will continue as on-going atmospheric releases necessitate.

C. Drinking (Potable) Water

Potable water is supplied by the public utility, New Jersey American Water Company, formerly Elizabethtown Water Company. In April 1984, a sampling point at the input to PPPL (E1 location) was established to provide baseline data for water coming onto the site. Radiological analysis has included gamma spectroscopy and tritium-concentration determination. In 2014, tritium concentrations at this location were less than the lower limit of detection (Appendix A Tables 5).

5.5.2 Foodstuffs, Soil, and Vegetation

There were no foodstuffs, soil, or vegetation samples gathered for analysis in 2014. In 1996, the Health Physics (HP) Manager reviewed the requirement for soil/biota sampling. At that time, a decision was made to discontinue the sampling program. Tritium was not detected in almost all samples and these data were not adding to the understanding of tritium transport in the environment. Greater emphasis was placed on water sampling and monitoring, which produced more relevant results.

Chapter

6

SITE HYDROLOGY, GROUND WATER, AND DRINKING WATER PROTECTION

6.1 Lower Raritan River Watershed

PPPL is located within the Bee Brook Watershed. Bee Brook is a tributary to the Millstone River, which is part of the Raritan River Watershed (Exhibit 6-1). NJDEP has developed a watershed-based management program for prospective environmental planning and has divided the State of New Jersey into twenty watershed basins.

Locally, the Bee Brook Watershed encompasses approximately 700 acres within the Princeton Forrestal Center and James Forrestal Campus tracts. It begins at College Road East (approximately 1600 feet east of US Route 1), flows south in a wide flood plain, and then discharges into Devil's Brook at the entrance to Mill Pond [Sa80].

Exhibit 6-1. Millstone River Watershed Basin



6.2 Geology & Topography

PPPL is situated on the eastern edge of the Piedmont Physiographic Province, approximately one-half mile from the western edge of the Atlantic Coastal Plain Province. The site is underlain largely by gently dipping and faulted sedimentary rock of the Newark Basin. The Newark Basin is one of several rift basins that were filled with sedimentary material during the Triassic Period, about 250-200 Ma (million years ago). At PPPL, bedrock is part of the Stockton Formation, which is reportedly more than 500 feet thick and consists of fractured red siltstone and sandstone [Lew87]. Regionally, the formation strikes approximately north 65 degrees east, and dips approximately 8 degrees to the northwest. The occurrence of limited amounts of clean sand near the surface indicates the presence of the Pennsauken Formation. This alluvial material was probably deposited during the Aftonian Interglacial period of the Pleistocene Epoch (approximately 2.6 million to 12,000 years ago).

Within 25 miles, there are a number of documented faults; the closest of which is the Hopewell fault located about 8 miles from the site. The Flemington Fault and Ramapo Faults are located within 20 miles. None of these faults are determined to be "active" by the U.S. Geological Survey. This area of the country (eastern central US) is not earthquake-prone, despite the occurrence of minor earthquakes that have caused little or no damage.

The Millstone River and its supporting tributaries geographically dominate the region. The well-watered soils of the area have provided a wealth of natural resources including good agricultural lands from prehistoric times to the present. Land use was characterized by several small early centers of historic settlement and dispersed farmland. It has now been developed into industrial parks, housing developments, apartment complexes and shopping centers [Gr 77].

The topography of the site is relatively flat and open with elevations ranging from 110 feet in the northwestern corner to 80 feet above mean sea level (msl) along the southern boundary. The low-lying topography of the Millstone River drainage reflects the glacial origins of the surface soils; sandy loams with varying percent of clay predominate.

Two soil series are recognized in the immediate vicinity of the site. Each reflects differences in drainage and subsurface water tables. Along the low-lying banks of stream tributaries, Bee Brook, the soils are classified Nixon-Nixon Variant and Fallsington Variant Association and Urban Land [Lew87].

This series is characterized by nearly level to gently sloping upland soils, deep, moderate to well drained, with a loamy subsoil and substratum. The yellowish-white sands contain patches of mottled coloring caused by prolonged wetness. On a regional scale, the water table fluctuates between 1.5 and 2.5 feet below the surface in wet periods and drops below 5 feet during drier months.

In the slightly higher elevations (above 70 feet msl), the sandy loams are better drained and belong to the Sassafras series. Extensive historic farmlands and nurseries in the area indicate this soil provides a good environment for agricultural purposes, both today and in the past.

6.3 Biota

An upland forest type with dominant Oak forest characterizes vegetation of the site. Associated with the various oaks are Red Maple, Hickories, Sweetgums, Beech, Scarlet Oak, and Ash. Red, White, and Black Oaks are isolated in the lower poorly drained areas. Along the damp borders of Bee Brook, a bank of Sweetgum, Hickory, Beech, and Red Maple define the watercourse. The forest throughout most of the site has been removed either for farmland during the last century or recently for the construction of new facilities. Grass has replaced much of the open areas.

The under-story of the wooded areas is partially open with isolated patches of shrubs, vines, and saplings occurring mostly in the uplands area. The poorly drained areas have a low ground cover of ferns, grasses, and leaf litter.

6.4 Flood Plain

All of PPPL's storm water runoff flows to Bee Brook, either directly *via* the retention basin (DSN001) or along the western swale to the wetlands south of the site. Approximately 45% of the site's total area is covered by impervious surfaces – buildings, roadways and parking lots, and storage trailers.

PPPL's Stormwater Management Plan allows for a maximum impervious coverage of 60% of the developable land. Eighteen acres of PPPL's 88.5-acre site are wetlands, 14.5 acres grass, and 18.4 acres upland forest. Gravel, which is semi-impervious, covers approximately 11.1 acres, resulting in an impervious cover (buildings, roadways, sidewalks, etc.) of 26.5 acres. PPPL's current site impervious cover is well under SWPP's Best Management Practice of 60 percent of total developable coverage [PPPL12a & SE96].

Also, the 500-year flood plain elevation (85 ft. above msl) delineates the storm protection corridor, which is vital to the flood and water quality control program for PPPL as well as the Princeton Forrestal Center site. This "corridor" is preserved and protected from development by Princeton Forrestal Center in the Site Development Plan [PFC80].

Exhibit 6-2. Generalized Potentiometric Surface of the Bedrock Aquifer at PPPL [Lew87]



The general direction of ground-water flow on the site is from the northwest of PPPL toward the southeast in the direction of Bee and Devil's Brooks. The operation of several building foundation drain sump pumps creates a local and shallow cone of depression radially toward the sumps (Exhibit 6-2).

Ground water is pumped from the sumps into the retention basin, which flows into Bee Brook. Bee Brook is hydraulically connected with ground water; during flooding stages, the brook recharges ground water and during low-flow periods, ground water discharges to the brook.

6.5 Groundwater Monitoring

6.5.1 Monitoring Wells

PPPL has installed a total of 46 wells to monitor ground-water quality under various regulatory programs (Exhibit 6-3), although many wells have since been decommissioned. PPPL has 32 active monitoring wells for environmental monitoring and surveillance purposes. Remedial Investigation and Remedial Alternatives Analysis (RI/RAA) studies were conducted to delineate shallow ground water contamination and identify a suitable remedy for ground water contamination under the New Jersey Site Remediation Program [PPPL99a & b]. A Remedial Action Work Plan (RAWP) was approved by NJDEP in 2000. Ground water monitoring continues as part of the selected remedy [PPPL00]. PPPL completed the transition from NJDEP oversight to the new state-mandated

Licensed Site Remediation Professional (LSRP) program in May 2012. In August 2013, NJDEP issued Groundwater Remedial Action Permit number RAP13001, effective on August 27, 2013 for 30 years, for the ongoing remediation and monitoring programs at PPPL. PPPL has modified it monitoring program to meet conditions of the new permit [NJDEP13b].

Exhibit 6-3. 2014 Monitoring Wells

	Remedial Action Monitoring Well (MW)	Environmental Surveillance (TW)
Active Wells Monitored On-Site	22	10
Active Wells Monitored Off-Site	0	0
Number of Wells Sampled	15	3
Sampling Rounds Completed	4	4

Exhibit 6-4. 2014 Groundwater Contamination

Ranges of Results for Positive Detections		
	2014 Wells	**2014 Sumps**
Tritium (pCi/L)	Below Bkg	Bkg – 216.2
PCE (µg/L)	ND – 60.6	ND – 29.0
TCE (µg/L)	ND – 27.7	ND – 3.75

Note: ND- Not Detected;
Bkg- Background radiation naturally present

Exhibit 6-5. Well Monitoring Setup
(Compressed Air, Water Depth. Meter, Discharge Collection Bucket, Probe)

6.5.2 Sampling Events

As required by the Ground Water Remedial Action Permit, PPPL monitors the groundwater wells quarterly in March, June, September and December. The type of equipment used by PPPL to sample the ground water is shown in Exhibits 6-5. Gas from either a compressed gas (carbon dioxide) cylinders or from a gasoline–powered air compressor is pumped down into the well via a Teflon-lined polyethylene tube into the dedicated bladder pump. The air pushes the water up through the exit tube and water flows through a chamber containing instruments to measure pH, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, temperature, and turbidity. Discharged water flows into a bucket that measures the volume discharged. A water level gauge is used to determine the rate of water recharging back into the well to ensure the sample will be representative of the groundwater. Groundwater parameters sampled can be seen in Exhibit 6-6.

Ground water monitoring results show that tetrachloroethylene (PCE), trichloroethylene (TCE), and their natural degradation products are present in a number of shallow and intermediate-depth wells on C-site (Exhibit 6-4). These VOCs are commonly contained in industrial solvents or metal degreasing agents. The source of these chemicals has been identified as a former waste storage area known as the PPPL Annex Building.

Foundation de-watering sumps located on D-site influence ground water flow across the site (Exhibits 6-8). The sumps create a significant cone of depression drawing ground water toward them. Under natural conditions, ground water flow is to the south-southeast toward Bee Brook; however, because of building foundation drains on D-Site, ground water beneath the site is drawn radially toward the D site sumps.

Exhibit 6-6. Groundwater Parameters [EPA99, NJDEP13b & PPPL13d]

Frequency	Analytical Parameter	Analytical Method
Subcontractor		
Quarterly	Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)	EPA-624
Annual	+ Library Search	EPA-300.0
Annual	Nitrate & Nitrite	EPA-300.0
Annual	Chloride	EPA-300.0
Annual	Sulfate	SM 2320B
Annual	Alkalinity	EPA-200.8 Rev. 5
Annual	Manganese	SM20/3500FEB
Annual	Ferrous Iron (Fe^{+2})	RSK-175
Annual	Dissolved Methane, Ethane,	SM 4500S D
Annual	Ethene	SM 5310C
Quarterly	Sulfide	EPA 906.0
(TW Wells)	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	
	Tritium	

6.5.3 Remedial Action Work Plan (RAWP)

Following a site-wide RI/RAA study and remedy selection process, PPPL prepared and submitted a Remedial Action Work Plan (RAWP) outlining continual operation of the ground water extraction system and a long-term monitoring program [Sh00]. The RAWP was submitted to NJDEP in May 2000, which was implemented until the Ground Water Remedial Action permit was issued in August 2013 [HLA97, HLA98, Sh 10-13].

In January 2002, an Aquifer Classification Exception Area (CEA) Designation was submitted to NJDEP. The CEA designation identifies specific areas where state-wide Ground Water Quality Standards are not met and will not be met for some time. The CEAs was granted for a specific area of an aquifer to address specific VOCs in the shallow (<60 feet deep) aquifer. The CEA request was approved by NJDEP in August 2002. The CEA was recertified in 2013, with submittal of a Biennial Remedial Action and Ground Water Classification Exception Area Recertification Report [PPPL13e].

General RAWP activities monitored:

- Examination of analytical data and water level measurements indicates an inverse relationship between ground water level and VOC concentration.
- Natural attenuation (anaerobic biodegradation) occurs in the wetlands adjacent to CAS/RESA.

- Contaminated ground water is captured by building sumps and is not migrating off-site.

RAWP 2014 activities include:

- NJDEP issued PPPL's Groundwater Remedial Action Permit No. RAP13001, effective for 30 years starting August 27, 2013.
- Quarterly and Annual sampling JM Sorge, Licensed Site Remedial Professional(LSRP)/ subcontractor sampled March, June, September, and December.
- Annual sampling will be conducted with VOC + library search and monitored natural attenuation (MNA) parameters in September 2014, and occurring in March in following subsequent years.
- MNA parameters for annual sampling will no longer include ethane, ethane after September 2014.
- Submittal of the *Remedial Action Progress Report* in 2014.
- Bladder pumps and monitoring well casings were refurbished as necessary.
- Groundwater monitoring equipment repairs.

6.5.4 Monitored Natural Attenuation

Examination of analytical data and water level measurements during the Remedial Investigation and the beginning of the Remedial Action indicated an inverse relationship between ground water level and VOC concentration (particularly PCE). Periods of higher water level generally corresponded with lower PCE results. Conversely, higher PCE results are generally coincident with period of lower ground water elevation (Appendix A Tables 19-22).

Natural attenuation processes are active as evidenced by presence of degradation compounds in ground water down gradient of source area (Appendix Tables 19-22). PCE is sequentially degraded into trichloroethylene (TCE) and cis-1,2-dichloroethlyene (c-1,2-DCE) (Exhibit 6-7). The presence of c-1,2-DCE, dissolved methane, reduced dissolved oxygen levels and negative oxidation-reduction potential (redox) values provide definitive evidence of on-going biological degradation of chlorinated ethenes [PPPL13e, Sh06,07, 08, 09 & 10-13].

Exhibit 6-7: Typical PCE Degradation Pathway

Review and examination of the analytical results indicate that contaminant concentrations, particularly PCE, are generally decreasing and are below the levels documented at the beginning to the Remedial Investigation. Seasonal fluctuations in VOC concentrations were seen in data collected during the RI and during the first two years of remedial action monitoring. These data generally showed peak VOC concentration during the late fall/winter months (Appendix A Figure 1 and 2, Exhibits 6-8). The time-trend graph shown in Exhibit 6-7 also includes a second-order polynomial regression line fitted to PCE concentrations. This trend line shows an overall downward trend in contaminant concentration with a significant decrease since early 2007. Spring and summer results are generally lower [PPPL13e].

Exhibit 6-8: PCE Concentration vs. Time at MW-19S (1998-2014)



6.6 Drinking Water Protection

PPPL and the surrounding area do not rely on on-site or shallow supply wells for potable water. All potable water in the immediate area of the Laboratory is provided by New Jersey American Water Company. New Jersey American Water Company is supplied by a variety of sources, including surface water intakes and deep supply wells located throughout its service area. The nearest wells supplying water to New Jersey American are located approximately 2 miles south-southwest of the Laboratory near the Millstone River. As discussed above, ground water contaminated with PCE and other organic chemicals is captured by the building foundation drains and is not migrating offsite.

Chapter

7

QUALITY ASSURANCE

As required by DOE Order 450.1, Environmental Protection Program and DOE O 414.1D, Quality Assurance, PPPL has established a Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Program to ensure that the accuracy, precision, and reliability of environmental monitoring data are consistent

7.1 PEARL Lab Certification - Proficiency Testing

In 2014, analyses of environmental samples for radioactivity and other analyze immediately non radiological parameters were conducted by PPPL's on-site analytical laboratory (Exhibits 7-1 & 7-2).

Exhibit 7-1. PEARL Chlorine Standard Check for Accuracy



Exhibit 7-2. Distilling Samples for Tritium Analysis Performed at PEARL

The Princeton Environmental Analytical Radiological Laboratory (PEARL) procedures follow the DOE's Environmental Measurements Laboratory's *EML HASL-300 Manual* [Vo82], EPA's *Methods and Guidance for Analysis of Water* [EPA99] *and Standard Methods of Water and Wastewater Analysis* [SM12] that are nationally recognized standards.

Beginning in 1984, PPPL participated in a NJDEP certification program initially through the USEPA QA program. In March 1986, EPA/Las Vegas and NJDEP reviewed PPPL's procedures and inspected its facilities. The laboratory became certified for tritium analysis in urine (bioassays) and water. In 2001, USEPA turned the QA program over to the states;

NJDEP chose a contractor laboratory, ERA, to supply the radiological proficiency tests. As of October 2013, NJDEP is no longer administrating PT Sample Contracts, requiring individual sites to obtain their own approved PT Sample Providers to obtain PT samples.

7.1.1. Radiological

To maintain its radiological certification, PPPL participates in a National Institute for Standards and Technology's (NIST) National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) accredited radiochemistry proficiency testing program twice annually in 2014. Cesium, cobalt and zinc use a gamma spectroscopy technique while tritium uses a distillation and liquid scintillation method (Exhibit 7-3) (Table 25) [PPPL14i].

Exhibit 7-3. 2014 NJDEP Radiological Certified Parameters

Parameter	Approved Method
Cesium 134/137	SM 7120
Cobalt 60	SM 7120
Zinc 65	SM 7120
Tritium	EPA 906.0

7.1.2. Non-Radiological Parameters

For non-radiological parameters, PPPL participates in NJDEP Laboratory Certification program (NJ ID #12471) (Exhibit 7-4). A requirement of the certification program is to analyze within the acceptance range the quality control (QC) and proficiency test (PT) samples that are purchased from outside laboratory suppliers. These PT samples are provided as blind samples for analysis; the test results are submitted prior to the end of the study. Results are supplied to PPPL and NJDEP to confirm a laboratories' ability to correctly analyze those parameters being tested. In Appendix A Table 25, the radiological and non-radiological proficiency testing (PT) results show that all PEARL's results were in the acceptable range.

Exhibit 7-4. 2014 NJDEP Non-Radiological Certified Parameters

Parameter	Approved Method
Chlorine	SM 4500-Cl G
pH	SM 4500-H B
Temperature	SM 2550 B

7.2 Subcontractor Labs

PPPL followed its internal procedures, EM-OP-49- "Methods for Measuring Analyze Immediately Parameters," EM-OP-31—"Surface Water Sampling Procedure," and EM-OP-38—"Ground Water Sampling Procedures." These procedures provide detailed descriptions of all NJPDES permit-required sampling and analytical methods for collection of samples, analyses of these samples, and quality assurance/quality control requirements. Chain-of-custody forms are required for all samples; holding times are closely checked to ensure that analyses are performed within established holding times and that the data is valid; trip blanks are required for all volatile organic compound analyses.

Subcontractor laboratories used by PPPL are certified by NJDEP and participate in the state's QA program; the subcontractor laboratories must also follow their own internal quality assurance plans. QC Laboratories and Accutest Laboratories were used for environmental laboratory analysis. Precision Testing is used to analyze the majority of hazardous waste samples for waste classification purposes.

7.3 Internal QA/QC

7.3.1 Internal Audit

PPPL's Quality Assurance program provides a variety of internal audits annually. The audits are completed with a member of QA and a subject matter expert. The following is a list of audits dealing with the environmental issues or environmental management.

In 2014, PPPL participated in the following environmental internal audits:

- Radiological Waste Audit
- Environmental Management System (EMS)

7.3.2 Internal QA Check

PPPL's PEARL ensures QA/QC through EM-QA-02 "Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan for Analyze Immediately Parameters." PPPL has revised internal QA procedures to address the following:

- NIST Temperature calibrations are conducted quarterly, or replaced with new NIST certification for long stem thermometer.
- Chlorine field meters and secondary standards are calibrated at least quarterly by chlorine standard concentrations; Quarterly chlorine calibration curves are generated.
- Duplicate samples of chlorine and pH will be conducted daily or per 20 samples.

7.3.3. Calibrations

PPPL calibrates all equipment per equipment manual and following EM-OP-49 and EM-QA-02 procedures. Calibrations are recorded in lab calibration log and reported to Head QA Officer for review.

PPPL has revised internal QA procedures to include the following for calibration prior to sampling:

- The chlorine field meter is verified by using calibrated LaMotte Secondary Standards.
- pH meters are calibrated with a 3-point standard calibration, and verified by checking the pH to the 7.01 standard.

7.3.4 Chemicals

Inventories of analytical chemcials are performed quarterly to ensure proper storage, expiration and quantity checks. Chemical name, stock number, lot number, date received, date opened and expiration date are all checked to ensure chemical quality for calibration. Expired chemicals are removed from service and processed through our lab wide Hazardous Waste ID tag program.

7.4 External QA/QC

PPPL's external audits can be completed by a variety of different sources. Local, state and federal entities such as US DOE or NJDEP may request an on-site audit or inspection at any time. As reviewed in Chapter 3, PPPL's EMS requires ISO Registrar Audits for Registration and Surveillance Audits [UL14].

There was one external audit performed for Environmental QA/QC in CY 2014:

- ISO 14001 EMS Audit with UL-DQS

✵

Chapter

8

REFERENCES

10CFR835 Title 10, Code of Federal Register, Part 835, *Occupational Radiation Protection, Appendix D – Surface Radioactivity Values.*

Am98 Amy S. Greene Environmental Consultants, Inc., 1998, *Baseline Ecological Evaluation Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Plainsboro Township, Middlesex County, New Jersey*.

Be87b Bentz, L. K., and Bender, D. S., 1987, *Socioeconomic Information, Plainsboro Area, New Jersey: Supplementary Documentation for an Environmental Assessment for the CIT at PPPL*, EGG-EP-7752, INEL, Idaho Falls, Idaho.

Cu15 Cummings, C., 2015, *PPPL Audits*, personal communications.

DOE01 DOE Order 435.1, 2001, *Radioactive Waste Management*.

DOE11a DOE Order 436.1, 2011, *Department Sustainability*.

DOE11b DOE Order 458.1, 2011, *Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment*.

DOE11c DOE Order 231.1B, *Environment, Safety, and Health Reporting.*

Dy93 Dynamac Corporation, August 1993, *CERCLA Inventory Report*, prepared for Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory.

En87 Envirosphere Company, 1987, *Ecological Survey of Compact Ignition Tokamak Site and Surroundings at Princeton University's Forrestal Campus*, Envirosphere Company, Division of Ebasco, Report to INEL for the CIT.

EO08 Executive Order 13423, 2008, *Strengthening Federal Environmental, Energy and Transportation Management.*

EO09 Executive Order 13514, 2009, *Federal Leadership in Environmental, Energy and Economic Performance.*

EPA83 Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, Environmental Monitoring and Support, March 1983, *Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Waste*, EPA 600-U-79-020.

EPA99 Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, June 1999, *Methods and Guidance for Analysis of Water*, EPA 821-C-99-004.

Fin15a Finley, V.L., 2014, *2014 Total Flow Discharged from DSN001, Basin Outfall,* personal communication.

Fin15b Finley, V.L., 2015, *2014 PPPL Boiler Emission Calculations for Criteria Air Pollutants,* personal communication.

Fin15c Finley, v. L., 2015, *1990-2014 Permit Compliance Summary,* personal communication.

Gr77 Grossman, J. W., 1977, *Archaeological and Historical Survey of the Proposed Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor*, Rutgers University.

HLA 97 Harding Lawson Associates, March 28, 1997, *Remedial Investigation/Remedial Action Report Phase I and II, Princeton University Plasma Physics Laboratory, James Forrestal Campus, Plainsboro, New Jersey*.

HLA98 Harding Lawson Associates, September 25, 1998, Remedial Investigation/Remedial Action Report Addendum, Phase 3 Activities, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, James Forrestal Campus, Plainsboro, New Jersey, 17 volumes.

Jo74 Jordan, C. F., Stewart, M., and Kline, J., 1974, *Tritium Movement in Soils: The Importance of Exchange and High Initial Dispersion*, Health Physics 27: 37-43.

Kin15a King, M., 2015, *2014 Solid Waste Data*, worksheet.

Kin15b King, M., 2015, *2014 PPPL Fertilizer, Pesticide, and Herbicide Report*, personal communication.

Kin15c King, M. 2015, *2014 ARD Electronics Collected for Recycling (UNICOR)*.

Lev15a Levine, J., 2015, *2014 Safety Statistics*, personal communication.

Lev15b Levine, J., 2015, *2014 Tritium Environmental Data and D site Stack Tritium Release Data*, personal communication.

Lew87 Lewis, J. C. and Spitz, F. J., 1987, *Hydrogeology, Ground-Water Quality, and The Possible Effects of a Hypothetical Radioactive-Water Spill, Plainsboro Township, New Jersey*, U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 87-4092, West Trenton, NJ.

Mor15 Morrison, K., 2015, *2014 Water Data for Potable and Non-Potable Sources and Sanitary Wastes,* personal communication.

Mu77 Murphy, C. E., Jr., Watts, J. R., and Corey, J. C., 1977, *Environmental Tritium Transport from Atmospheric Release of Molecular Tritium*, Health Physics 33:325-331.

Mu82 Murphy, C. E., Jr., Sweet, C. W., and Fallon, R. D., 1982, *Tritium Transport Around Nuclear Facilities*, Nuclear Safety 23:667-685.

Mu90 Murphy, C. E., Jr., 1990, *The Transport, Dispersion, and Cycling of Tritium in the Environment*, Savannah River Site Report, WSRC-RP-90-462, UC702, 70 pp.

NCRP09 National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements,2009, *Ionizing Radiation Exposure of the Population of the United States*.

Nem15 Nemeth, J. 2015, *2014 Boiler Stack Efficiency Test Results* and *Subpart JJJJJJ form submittal*.

NJB97 NJ Breeding Bird Atlas Report, 1997, *A New Jersey Breeding Bird Atlas Data Base Inquiry for Plainsboro Township, Middlesex County, New Jersey,* Cape May Bird Observatory (Letter), January 13, 1998.

NJDEP84 NJ Department of Environmental Protection, December 1984, *Bee Brook - Delineation of Floodway and Flood Hazard Area*.

NJDEP97 New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Natural Heritage Program, 1997, *A Natural Heritage Data Base Inquiry for Plainsboro Township, Middlesex County, New Jersey*, NJDEP Natural Heritage Program (Letter), NHP file No. 97-4007435.

NJDEP09 New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, 2009, NJPDES *Ground Water General Permit No. NJ0142051 (Lined Surface Impoundment)*

NJDEP13a New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, 2013, *Final Surface Water Permit, New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES), NJ0023922*.

NJDEP13b New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, 2013, NJPDES *Ground Water Remedial Monitoring Permit, RAP130001.*

NJWSA12 New Jersey Water Supply Authority, 2012, *Withdrawal Agreement of Water from the Delaware & Raritan Canal.*

PFC80 Princeton Forrestal Center, 1980, *Storm Water Management Plan Phase I*, prepared by Sasaki Associates, Inc.

PPPL95 Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, March 1995, *Proposed Site Treatment Plan [PSTP] for Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory [PPPL].*

PPPL99a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory. 1999, *Phase IV Remedial Investigation Report.*

PPPL99b Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory. 1999, *Remedial Action Selection Report.*

PPPL00 Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2000, *Remedial Action Work Plan.*

PPPL05 Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, August 2005, *Cultural Resource Management Plan*.

PPPL08a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, January 22, 2008, *Laboratory Mission*, O-001, TCR R-1-002, Rev. 4.

PPPL08b Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2008, *Letter of Interpretation (LOI) Wetlands Delineation.*

PPPL09 Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2009, *Freehold Soil Conservation District Permit No.2009-0343 (D-site rain garden and landscaping)*.

PPPL10a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2010, *Acute Toxicity Bio-monitoring Tests for DSN003 Report.*

PPPL10b Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2010, *PPPL Executable Plan (EO 13423).*

PPPL10c Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2010, *NJPDES Waste Characterization Report for DSN003.*

PPPL11 Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2011, *Spill Prevention Countermeasure and Control Plan (SPCC Plan).*

PPPL12a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2012, *Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan*.

PPPL12b Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2012, *Environmental Monitoring Plan, Rev. 6*.

PPPL12c Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2012, *Low-Level Radioactive Waste Program Basis Document.*

PPPL13a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2013, *EM-OP-46 Environmental Aspects and Impacts Evaluation, Rev. 3.*

PPPL13b Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2013, *Nuclear Materials Control and Accountability (MC&A) Plan, HP-PP-06. Rev.8*.

PPPL13c Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2013, *Environmental Management System Description, Revision 4.*

PPPL13d Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2013, *NJDEP Ground Water Remedial Monitoring Permit Program.*

PPPL13e Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2013, *2013 Remedial Action Progress Report and Ground Water Classification Exception Area Reclassification Report.*

PPPL13f Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2013, *NJPDES Surface Water Monitoring Permit Program with focus on the compliance limits.*

PPPL14a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2014, *Excavation Soil Erosion and Sediment Control, ES-MECH-013 Rev. 0*

PPPL14b Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2014, *Appendix A, Summary of Environmental Aspects and Impacts by Facility, Rev. 4.*

PPPL14c Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2014, *Appendix B, Summary of PPPL EMS Legal and Other Requirements, Rev. 4.*

PPPL14d Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2014, *Appendix C, Summary of PPPL EMS Objectives and Targets.*

PPPL14e Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, December 2014, *DOE Order 13514, PPPL Site Sustainable Plan.*

PPPL14f Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, December 2014, *PPPL 2014 Comprehensive Energy Data Report (CEDR).*

PPPL14g Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2014, *Chronic Toxicity Bio-monitoring Tests for DSN001 Report.*

PPPL14h Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2014, *NJPDES Waste Characterization Report for DSN001.*

PPPL14i Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2014, *Health Physics Procedures (Calibration, Dosimetry, Environmental, Field Operations, Laboratory, Material Control and Accountability, and Radiological Laboratory).*

PPPL15a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2015, *SARA Title III Annual Report for 2014.*

PPPL 15b Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, 2015, *NESHAPs Annual Report for Tritium Emissions 2014.*

Pue15a Pueyo, M., 2015, *2014 Hazardous, TSCA, and Medical Waste Data,* personal communication.

Pue15b Pueyo, M., 2015, *2014 Spill Release Number of Incidents,* personal communication.

PSAR78 *Preliminary Safety Analysis Report, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor*, 1978.

Rul15 Rule, K., 2015, *2014 Effective Dose Equivalent Calculations for PPPL Operations*, personal communication.

Sa80 Sasaki Associates, February 1980, *Princeton Forrestal Center, Storm Water Management Plan for Bee Brook Watershed*, prepared for Delaware & Raritan Canal Commission.

SE96 Smith Environmental Technologies, Corp., February 29, 1996, *Final Site-Wide Storm Water Management Plan, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, James Forrestal Campus, Plainsboro Township, Middlesex County, New Jersey*.

Sh00 Sheneman, R., May 2000, *Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory -- Remedial Action Work Plan*.

Sh01 Sheneman, R., August 2001, *Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Remedial Action Monitoring Report.*

Sh03 Sheneman, R., July 2003, *Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory - Remedial Action Monitoring Report*.

Sh06 Sheneman, R., July 2006, *Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory - Remedial Action Monitoring Report*.

Sh07 Sheneman, R., July 2007, *Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory - Remedial Action Monitoring Report*.

Sh08 Sheneman, R., July 2008, *Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory - Remedial Action Monitoring Report*.

Sh09 Sheneman, R., July 2009, *Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory - Remedial Action Monitoring Report*.

Sh10-13 Sheneman, R., 2010-2013, *Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory - Remedial Action Monitoring Report*.

Sla15 Slavin, W., 2015, *No continuous releases*, person communication.

SM12 American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, and Water Environment Federation, 2012 (22nd edition), *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*.

Str15 Strauss, D., 2015, *NEPA Statistics for 2014*, personal communication.

UL14 UL-DQS, Inc., 2014 « *Stage 3 Report ISO 14001:2004 Evaluation of PPPL's International Standard Organization (ISO) – Environmental Management System."*

US13 US Census Bureau Statistics, *2013 Census Data for the State of New Jersey,* http://www.census.gov/census2000/states/nj.html

Vo82 Volchok, H. L., and de Planque, G., 1982, *EML Procedures Manual HASL 300*, Department of Energy, Environmental Measurements Laboratory, 376 Hudson St., NY, NY 10014.

Chapter

9

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Engineering and Infrastructure Department:

Fran Cargill and Matt Lawson – transportation/vehicle fuel use

Margaret Kevin-King - fertilizer, herbicide, and pesticide data and municipal solid waste and recycling data

Kate Morrison – energy and water-utilization data

Jules Nemeth – boiler fuel use, run time, and test data

Information Services Division:

Elle Starkman - Photos of NSTX and photos from the "PPPL Hotline"

Kitta MacPherson – "PPPL Weekly" articles

Quality Assurance Division:

Connie Cummings – audit status

Environment, Safety & Health Department:

Jerry Levine - NEPA data and safety statistics

Industrial Safety Division:

Bill Slavin - SARA Title III and Toxic Release Inventory information

Health Physics Division:

George Ascione - radiological and calibration data

Patti Bruno - in-house radiochemical and water analyses

Environmental Services Division:

Mark Hughes – cover design, acronym list, introduction chapter

Leanna Meyer –non-radiological programs, groundwater and quality assurance chapters

Maria Pueyo – RCRA, TSCA, SPCC and radiological waste data

Keith Rule – radiological program chapter and dose calculations

Rob Sheneman - ground water data, environmental management system/ISO chapter

This work is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-09CH11466.

Appendix A

TABLES for 2014

Table 1. PPPL Radiological Design Objectives and Regulatory Limits[a]

CONDITION		PUBLIC	EXPOSURE[b]	OCCUPATIONAL	EXPOSURE
		REGULATORY LIMIT	DESIGN OBJECTIVE	REGULATORY LIMIT	DESIGN OBJECTIVE
ROUTINE OPERATION Dose equivalent to an individual from routine operations (rem per year, unless otherwise indicated)	NORMAL OPERATIONS	0.1 Total, 0.01[c] Airborne, 0.004 Drinking Water	0.01 Total	5	1
	ANTICIPATED EVENTS (1 > P ≥ 10^{-2})	0.5 Total (including normal operation)	0.05 per event		
ACCIDENTS Dose equivalent to an individual from an accidental release (rem per event)	UNLIKELY EVENTS 10^{-2} > P ≥ 10^{-4}	2.5	0.5	(e)	(e)
	EXTREMELY UNLIKELY EVENTS 10^{-4} > P ≥ 10^{-6}	25	5[d]	(e)	(e)
	INCREDIBLE EVENTS 10^{-6} > P	NA	NA	NA	NA

P = Probability of occurrence in a year.

(a) All operations must be planned to incorporate radiation safety guidelines, practices and procedures included in PPPL ESHD 5008, Section 10.

(b) Evaluated at PPPL site boundary.

(c) Compliance with this limit is to be determined by calculating the highest effective dose equivalent to any member of the public at any offsite point where there is a residence, school, business or office

(d) For design basis accidents (DBAs), i.e., postulated accidents or natural forces and resulting conditions for which the confinement structure, systems, components and equipment must meet their functional goals, the design objective is 0.5 rem.

(e) See PPPL ESHD-5008, Section 10, Chapter 10.1302 for emergency personnel exposure limits.

Table 2. Annual Precipitation Data for 2014

START DATE	WEEK	INCHES	CUM. INCHES	MONTHLY TOTAL	
7-Jan-14	1	1.2900	1.2900		
14-Jan-14	2	1.1300	2.4200		
21-Jan-14	3	0.6700	3.0900		
28-Jan-14	4	0.1800	3.2700	**3.2700**	**JANUARY**
4-Feb-14	5	1.0900	4.36		
11-Feb-14	6	1.4400	5.8000		
18-Feb-14	7	1.2000	7.0000		
25-Feb-14	8	0.6300	7.6300	**4.3600**	**FEBRUARY**
4-Mar-14	9	0.0800	7.7100		
11-Mar-14	10	0.0100	7.7200		
18-Mar-14	11	0.1600	7.8800		
25-Mar-14	12	0.7700	8.6500		
1-Apr-14	13	2.3400	10.9900	**3.2800**	**MARCH**
8-Apr-14	14	0.4700	11.4600		
15-Apr-14	15	0.9300	12.3900		
22-Apr-14	16	0.0000	12.3900		
29-Apr-14	17	0.7700	13.1600	**2.1700**	**APRIL**
6-May-14	18	4.3600	17.5200		
13-May-14	19	0.3600	17.8800		
20-May-14	20	0.6000	18.4800		
27-May-14	21	1.8500	20.3300		
3-Jun-14	22	0.0000	20.3300	**7.1700**	**MAY**
10-Jun-14	23	0.7600	21.0900		
17-Jun-14	24	0.2000	21.2900		
24-Jun-14	25	0.2500	21.5400		
1-Jul-14	26	1.0300	22.5700	**2.2400**	**JUNE**
8-Jul-14	27	2.4800	25.0500		
15-Jul-14	28	2.3300	27.3800		
22-Jul-14	29	0.0100	27.3900		
29-Jul-14	30	0.6100	28.0000		
5-Aug-14	31	0.7700	28.7700	**6.2000**	**JULY**
12-Aug-14	32	0.4100	29.1800		
19-Aug-14	33	0.8800	30.0600		
26-Aug-14	34	1.1700	31.2300		
2-Sep-14	35	0.2300	31.4600	**2.6900**	**AUGUST**
9-Sep-14	36	0.3300	31.7900		
16-Sep-14	37	0.3800	32.1700		
23-Sep-14	38	0.0000	32.1700		
30-Sep-14	38	0.3400	32.5100	**1.0500**	**SEPTEMBER**
7-Oct-14	39	0.3600	32.8700		
14-Oct-14	40	0.7900	33.6600		
21-Oct-14	41	1.0400	34.7000		
28-Oct-14	42	0.9200	35.6200		
4-Nov-14	44	0.8200	36.4400	**3.9300**	**OCTOBER**
11-Nov-14	45	0.4000	36.8400		
18-Nov-14	46	1.4700	38.3100		
25-Nov-14	47	0.4700	38.7800		
2-Dec-14	48	1.3400	40.1200	**3.6800**	**NOVEMBER**
9-Dec-14	49	2.7500	42.8700		
16-Dec-14	50	0.0800	42.9500		
23-Dec-14	51	0.1200	43.0700		
30-Dec-14	52	0.9200	43.9900		
6-Jan-15	53	1.0700	**45.0600**	**4.9400**	**DECEMBER**

Table 3. D–Site Tritium Stack Releases in Curies in 2014

Week Ending	HTO (Ci)	HT (Ci)	Weekly Total (Ci)	Annual Total (Ci)
1/8/14	0.03070	0.15800	0.18870	0.1887
1/15/14	0.02430	0.00085	0.02515	0.21385
1/22/14	0.00000	0.12600	0.12600	0.33985
1/29/14	0.00000	0.12600	0.12600	0.46585
2/6/14	0.02780	0.13200	0.15980	0.62565
2/12/14	0.03480	0.00197	0.03677	0.66242
2/19/14	0.03430	0.17800	0.21230	0.87472
2/26/14	0.02310	0.00100	0.02410	0.89882
3/5/14	0.03330	0.18000	0.21330	1.11212
3/12/14	0.02820	0.00110	0.02930	1.14142
3/19/14	0.03470	0.17900	0.21370	1.35512
3/26/14	0.04010	0.00188	0.04198	1.3971
4/2/14	0.04100	0.00856	0.04956	1.44666
4/9/14	0.05330	0.24300	0.29630	1.74296
4/16/14	0.03690	0.00118	0.03808	1.78104
4/23/14	0.04230	0.09520	0.13750	1.91854
4/30/14	0.04570	0.00154	0.04724	1.96578
5/7/14	0.05500	0.08540	0.14040	2.10618
5/14/14	0.05160	0.00372	0.05532	2.1615
5/21/14	0.04370	0.07260	0.11630	2.2778
5/28/14	0.04000	0.00377	0.04377	2.32157
6/4/14	0.05100	0.00026	0.05126	2.37283
6/11/14	0.04950	0.05460	0.10410	2.47693
6/18/14	0.05500	0.00295	0.05795	2.53488
6/25/14	0.05540	0.09830	0.15370	2.68858
7/2/14	0.00179	0.05950	0.06129	2.74987
7/9/14	0.05870	0.09730	0.15600	2.90587
7/16/14	0.00194	0.06250	0.06444	2.97031
7/23/14	0.05730	0.15500	0.21230	3.18261
8/6/14	0.05880	0.00342	0.06222	3.24483
8/13/14	0.04540	0.00219	0.04759	3.29242
8/20/14	0.04240	0.11100	0.15340	3.44582
8/27/14	0.04800	0.00285	0.05085	3.49667
9/3/14	0.04890	0.10300	0.15190	3.64857
9/10/14	0.06630	0.00157	0.06787	3.71644
9/17/14	0.07390	0.00348	0.07738	3.79382
9/24/14	0.06200	0.00090	0.06290	3.85672
10/1/14	0.07340	0.00344	0.07684	3.93356
10/8/14	0.04370	0.00028	0.04398	3.97754
10/15/14	0.04570	0.00224	0.04794	4.02548
10/22/14	0.03720	0.00037	0.03757	4.06305
10/29/14	0.03350	0.00236	0.03586	4.09891
11/5/14	0.03740	0.00076	0.03816	4.13707
11/12/14	0.03050	0.00420	0.03470	4.17177
11/19/14	0.03020	0.00058	0.03078	4.20255
11/26/14	0.02980	0.00126	0.03106	4.23361
12/3/14	0.03090	0.00078	0.03168	4.26529
12/10/14	0.03300	0.00114	0.03414	4.29943
12/17/14	0.23300	0.00766	0.24066	4.54009
Total	**2.15543**	**2.38466**	**4.54001**	**4.54001**

Table 4. Ground Water Tritium Concentrations for 2014 (in picoCuries/Liter)

Quarter	Well TW-1	Well TW-5	Well TW-8
1	*	*	*
2	*	*	*
3	*	*	*
4	*	*	*

Month	D-Site MG Sump	D-Site Airshaft Sump
January	*	*
February	*	*
March	*	*
April	*	*
May	*	*
June	*	184.7
July	*	*
August	*	*
September	*	216.2
October	*	99.1
November	*	*
December	*	*

TW wells are sampled quarterly and sumps are taken monthly

***All sample dates not listed or shown without a number, are below LLD**

Table 5. Surface Water Tritium Concentrations for 2014 (in picoCuries/liter)

Month	Bee Brook (B1)	Bee Brook (B2)	Basin (DSN001)	Basin Dup (DSN004)	D&R Canal (C1)	D&R Canal (DSN003)	E1	M1	P1	P2
January			*		*	*				
February	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
March			*		*	*				
April			*		*	*				
May	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
June			*		*	*				
July			*		*	*				
August	*	*	*	157.7	*	*	*	*	*	*
September			*		*	*				
October			*		*	*				
November	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
December			*		*	*				

Sample locations B1, B2, DSN004, E1, M1, P1, and P2 are taken quarterly

Sample locations DSN001, DSN003, and C1 are taken monthly

*** All sample dates not listed or shown without a number, were below the LLD**

Table 6. Rain Water Tritium Concentrations (in picoCuries/liter) Collected On-Site in 2014

250 feet from Stack	R1E (East)	R1W (West)	R1S (South)	R1N (North)	R1ND (Duplicate)
January	*	*	NS	*	151.4
April	*	NS	NS	*	*
May	*	*	NS	*	*
June	*	*	*	*	*

500 feet from Stack	R2E (East)	R2W (West)	R2S (South)	R2N (North)
January	NS	*	*	*
April	NS	*	*	*
May	*	*	*	*
June	*	*	*	*

Rain water samples are taken monthly. No samples collected February or March 2014 due to weather.
PPPL Rainwater sampling discontinued sampling July 2014.
** All sample dates not listed or shown without a number, were below the LLD*
NS= No sample taken for date

Table 7. Annual Range of Tritium Concentration at PPPL in Precipitation from 1985 to 2014

Year	Tritium Range picoCuries/Liter	Precipitation In Inches	Difference from Middlesex County Avg. Precipitation of 46.5 inches/yr
1985	40 to 160		
1986	40 to 140		
1987	26 to 144		
1988	34 to 105		
1989	7 to 90	55.4	+8.8
1990	14 to 94	50.3	+3.8
1991	10 to 154	45.1	-1.5
1992	10 to 838	41.9	-4.6
1993	25 to 145	42.7	-3.8
1994	32 to 1,130	51.3	+4.8
1995	<19 to 2,561	35.6	-10.9
1996	<100 to 21,140	61.0	+14.5
1997	131 to 61,660	42.0	-4.5
1998	<108 to 26,450	42.9	-3.6
1999	<58 to 7,817	47.3(38.7 w/out Floyd)	+0.8(-7.8)
2000	<31 to 3,617	38.7	-7.8
2001	153 to 14,830	32.8	-13.7
2002	24 to 3,921	47.9	+1.4
2003	9 to 1,126	54.7	+8.2
2004	27 to 427	40.5	-6.0
2005	<37 to 623	48.4	+1.9
2006	9 to 3,600	48.1	+1.6
2007	<93 to 1,440	49.1	+2.6
2008	<103 to 1,212	48.2	+1.7
2009	< Bkg to 375	47.1	+1.6
2010	<105 to 469	40.8	-5.7
2011	<109 to 269	65.1	+18.6
2012	3 to 182	38.9	-7.6
2013	<Bkg to 1331	43.25	-3.25
2014	<Bkg to 216	45.06	-1.44

Table 8. Liquid Effluent Collection Tank Release Data for 2014

Release Date	Gallons Released	Tritium Sample LLD (pCi/L)	Tritium Sample Activity (pCi/L)	Total Tank Activity (Ci)
4/19/2014	12,000	297	514,000	0.0233
6/12/2014	12,600	442	48,500	0.00231
7/8/2014	12,450	85.5	47,100	0.00222
7/23/2014	10,950	417	15,300	0.000636
8/12/2014	12,450	1320	6,870	0.000354
8/20/2014	11,250	333	6,390	0.000272
9/4/2014	12,500	331	5,650	0.000267
10/2/2014	12,750	373	6,800	0.000328
11/21/2014	12,000	499	8,700	0.000395
Total	**135,250**			**0.030082**

Table 9. Total Fuel Consumption by Fuel Type from 2000 to 2014

Year	*Natural Gas (mmcf)*	*Fuel Oil # 2 or Fuel Oil # 4 (kgals.)*
2000	0.387	42.6
2001	0.367	43
2002	0.331	33.8
2003	0.290	61.9
2004*	0.373	62.3
2005	0.427	32.7
2006	0.319	3.8
2007	0.248	49.6
2008	0.271	41
Permit limit	**0.886**	**227**
2009	0.275	33.6
2010	0.267	17.5
2011	0.230	8.0
2012	0.201	4.8
2013	0.262	5.0
2014	0.267	18.5
Permit limit	**2.176**	**251**

* Note: No. 2 Fuel oil consumption first began December 2004.
No. 4 Fuel oil no longer burned after December 2004.
mmcf = millions of cubic feet
kgals. = thousands of gallons

Table 10. Surface Water Analysis for Bee Brook, B1, in 2014

Location B1 = Bee Brook upstream of PPPL basin discharge

B1							
Parameters	**Units**		**February**	**May**		**August**	**Nov.**
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L		30.00	22.00		22.00	56.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	<	0.021	0.033	J	0.028	0.111
Total Organic Carbon, TOC	mg/L		9.920				
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L		3.00	3.00		7.00	4.00
Field Parameters							
pH	SU		6.43	6.88		6.87	7.09
Oxidation-Reduction Potential, ORP	mV		22.300	6.100		7.300	-10.000
Temperature	o C		-1.100	16.600		22.300	12.000
Dissolved Oxygen, DO	mg/L		11.90	9.48		6.44	6.57

Table 11. Surface Water Analysis for Bee Brook, B2, in 2014

Location B2 = Bee Brook downstream of PPPL basin discharge

B2						
Parameters	**Units**		**February**	**May**	**August**	**Nov.**
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L		29.00	22.00	11.00	25.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	<	0.021	0.033	0.048	0.099
Total Organic Carbon, TOC	mg/L		8.540			
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L		4.00	4.00	4.00	2.00
Field Parameters						
pH	SU		6.77	7.45	7.67	7.43
Oxidation-Reduction Potential, ORP	mV		4.8	-	-36.3	-28.8
Temperature	o C		0.0	16.9	21.9	12.3
Dissolved Oxygen, DO	mg/L		12.43	10.31	7.62	9.78

Table 12. Surface Water Analysis for Delaware & Raritan Canal, C1, in 2014

Location C1 = Delaware & Raritan Canal State Park at Mapleton Avenue, Plainsboro midway on pedestrian bridge

C1							
Parameters	**Units**	**January**	**Feb.**	**March**	**April**	**May**	**June**
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L	10.00	12.00	14.00	21.00	20.00	19.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	0.08	0.038	< 0.021	< 0.021	0.073	< 0.010
Total Organic Carbon, TOC	mg/L		4.300				
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L	4.00	5.00	2.00	5.00	12.00	14.00
Field Parameters							
pH	SU	6.85	7.15	7.31	7.32	6.82	7.34
Oxidation-Reduction Potential, ORP	mV	1.30	-15.5	-21.0	-10.7	9.3	-
Temperature	o C	1.10	1.6	2.3	11.9	16.4	22.3
Dissolved Oxygen, DO	mg/L	-	11.69	-	9.30	7.16	6.97

C1							
Parameters	**Units**	**July**	**Aug.**	**Sept.**	**Oct.**	**Nov.**	**Dec.**
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L	17.00	10.00	11.00	14.00	11.00	10.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	0.04	< 0.021	< 0.069	0.069	0.076	< 0.021
Total Organic Carbon, TOC	mg/L						
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L	6.00	4.00	6.00	2.00	2.00	< 2.00
Field Parameters							
pH	SU	7.50	7.38	7.38	7.51	7.59	7.13
Oxidation-Reduction Potential, ORP	mV	-28.60	-20.9	-19.8	-30.5	-37.7	-9.1
Temperature	o C	29.20	28.5	24.9	18.1	13.5	5.3
Dissolved Oxygen, DO	mg/L	7.27	6.68	5.95	7.62	9.18	11.07

Table 13. Surface Water Analysis for Elizabethtown Water, E1, in 2014

Location E1 = Elizabethtown Water (potable) collected at Main Gate Security Booth

E1					
Parameters	**Units**	**February**	**May**	**August**	**Nov.**
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L	J 7.00	< 4.70	J 8.00	14.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	0.379	0.318	0.554	0.677
Total Organic Carbon, TOC	mg/L	1.830			
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L	2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00
Field Parameters					
pH	SU	7.04	6.93	7.03	7.07
Oxidation-Reduction Potential, ORP	mV	-9.8	3.4	-1.3	-9.2
Temperature	o C	6.9	14.8	23.9	18.5
Dissolved Oxygen, DO	mg/L	-	-	7.65	-

Table 14. Surface Water Analysis for Millstone River, M1, in 2013

Location M1 = Millstone River at Delaware & Raritan Canal State Park at Mapleton Road

M1						
Parameters	**Units**	**February**	**May**		**August**	**Nov.**
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L	13.00	32.00		15.00	24.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	0.108	0.078	<	0.021	0.081
Total Organic Carbon, TOC	mg/L	3.870				
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L	17.00	9.00		4.00	4.00
Field Parameters						
pH	SU	7.15	6.84		6.96	7.13
Oxidation-Reduction Potential, ORP	mV	-15.5	8.4		-	-
Temperature	o C	0.7	16.4		29.1	13.5
Dissolved Oxygen, DO	mg/L	12.86	8.27		7.07	9.93

Table 15. Surface Water Analysis for Cranbury Brook (Plainsboro), P1, in 2014

Location P1 = Cranbury Brook at George Davison Road, Plainsboro mid-span on bridge southbound

P1						
Parameters	**Units**	**February**	**May**		**August**	**Nov.**
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L	12.00	30.00		15.00	21.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	0.033	0.073	<	0.021	0.062
Total Organic Carbon, TOC	mg/L	4.430				
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L	9.00	13.00		3.00	3.00
Field Parameters						
pH	SU	6.51	6.59		6.65	6.81
Oxidation-Reduction Potential, ORP	mV	18.3	22.0		-	-
Temperature	o C	0.8	20.7		27.6	13.4
Dissolved Oxygen, DO	mg/L	11.16	8.04		5.68	-

Table 16. Surface Water Analysis for Devil's Brook (Plainsboro), P2, in 2014

Location P2 = Devil's Brook at Schalks Road overpass, adjacent to Amtrak railroad tracks

P2							
Parameters	**Units**		**February**	**May**		**August**	**Nov.**
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L		24.00	45.00		20.00	36.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	J	0.028	0.033	<	0.021	0.039
Total Organic Carbon, TOC	mg/L		7.870				
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L		8.00	6.00		3.00	2.00
Field Parameters							
pH	SU		6.39	6.62		6.54	6.98
Oxidation-Reduction Potential, ORP	mV		24.7	20.6		25.7	-4.2
Temperature	o C		1.2	17.7		24.9	12.9
Dissolved Oxygen, DO	mg/L		10.65	8.98		2.32	8.49

Table 17. DSN001 – Retention Basin Outfall Surface Water Analysis (NJPDES NJ0023922) in 2014

DSN001														
Parameters	**Units**	**Permit Limit**		**January**		**Feb.**		**March**		**April**		**May**		**June**
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L	50.0	J	7.00		12.00		14.00		18.00		15.00		20.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L			0.062		0.063		0.063		0.038		0.063		0.073
Tetrachloroethylene, PCE	ug/L	0.703	J	0.36	J	0.45	J	0.27	J	0.63	J	0.44	J	0.35
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon, TPHC	mg/L	15 Max 10 Avg	<	1.890	<	1.890	<	1.890	<	1.890	<	1.890	<	1.890
Total Organic Carbon, TOC	mg/L					2.220								
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L	50.0		2.00		3.00		4.00		5.00		3.00		6.00
Field Parameters														
Chlorine Produced Oxidants, CPO	mg/L	0.1		0.02 0.04		0.03 0.02		0.02 0.05		0.06		0.03		0.070
pH	SU	>6; <9		7.55		7.32		7.91		7.26		7.57		7.37
Oxidation-Reduction Potential, ORP	mV			-36.5		-19.7		-54.0		-10.2		-32.3		-21.4
Temperature (Max)	o C	30		6.0		7.1		10.7		13.0		17.1		20.5
Dissolved Oxygen, DO	mg/L			11.81		10.33		11.96		10.11		11.13		7.91

DSN001														
Parameters	**Units**	**Permit Limit**		**July**		**August**		**Sept.**		**Oct.**		**Nov.**		**Dec.**
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L	50.0		16.00	J	7.00		10.00		13.00		17.00	J	6.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L			0.03		0.10		0.059		0.07		0.13	J	0.02
Tetrachloroethylene, PCE	ug/L	0.703	J	0.26	J	0.27	J	0.27	J J	0.25 0.23	J	0.26	J	0.35
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon, TPHC	mg/L	15 Max 10 Avg	<	1.89	<	1.89	<	1.89	<	1.89	<	1.89	<	1.89
Total Organic Carbon, TOC	mg/L													
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L	50.0		9.00		4.00		7.00		5.00		2.00		2.00
Field Parameters														
Chlorine Produced Oxidants, CPO	mg/L	0.1		0.07		0.060		0.080		0.08		0.05		0.03
pH	SU	>6; <9		8.45		7.78		8.14		8.27		7.72		7.37
Oxidation-Reduction Potential, ORP	mV			-85.6		-38.9		-54.4		-73.1		-		-14.9
Temperature (Max)	o C	30		21.9		21.9		22.0		16.2		14.1		12.1
Dissolved Oxygen, DO	mg/L			9.93		7.99		8.12		9.73		10.32		9.79

Table 18. D&R Canal Pump House – DSN003

Monthly Surface Water Analysis (NJPDES NJ0023922) in 2014

DSN003								
Parameters	**Units**	**Permit Limit**	**January**	**Feb.**	**March**	**April**	**May**	**June**
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L	50	16.00	10.00	19.00	18.00	33.00	19.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L		0.17	0.23	0.04	0.06	0.10	0.12
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon, TPHC	mg/L	15 Max 10 Avg	< 1.89	< 1.89	< 1.89	< 1.89	< 1.89	< 1.89
Total Organic Carbon, TOC	mg/L			2.82				
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L		8.00	6.00	< 2.00	4.00	9.00	20.00
Field Parameters								
Chlorine Produced Oxidants, CPO	mg/L	0.1	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.020	0.07
pH	SU	>6;<9	6.69	7.17	7.14	7.13	6.67	7.31
Oxidation-Reduction Potential, ORP	mV		-	-16.6	-12	-	17.7	-19.1
Temperature (Max)	o C	30 Max	3.32	4.30	3.30	10.70	15.30	22.40
Dissolved Oxygen, DO	mg/L		9.07	9.45	9.49	7.32	5.33	5.69

DSN003								
Parameters	**Units**	**Permit Limit**	**July**	**Aug.**	**Sept.**	**Oct.**	**Nov.**	**Dec.**
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L	50	11.00	13.00	J 7.00	J 7.00	13.00	11.00
Phosphorus, total	mg/L		0.06	0.03	0.11	< 0.02	0.10	0.07
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon, TPHC	mg/L	15 Max 10 Avg	< 1.89	< 1.89	< 1.89	< 1.89	< 1.89	< 1.89
Total Organic Carbon, TOC	mg/L							
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L		9.00	7.00	11.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Field Parameters								
Chlorine Produced Oxidants, CPO	mg/L	0.1	0.06	0.020	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00
pH	SU	>6;<9	7.38	7.43	7.38	7.46	7.26	7.08
Oxidation-Reduction Potential, ORP	mV		-21.10	-23.6	-21.0	-27.4	-19.5	-
Temperature (Max)	o C	30 Max	27.90	29.80	25.20	18.30	15.90	11.50
Dissolved Oxygen, DO	mg/L		5.48	5.32	5.79	6.11	5.41	7.13

Blank indicates no measurement *NA = not applicable* *NL = no limit*

Table 19. Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results – March 2014
Target Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)

Well Number	MW-3S	MW-5I	MW-5S	MW-9S	MW-13S	MW-17	MW-18	MW-19S	MW-25S	D-MG Sump	MW-26S*	TB-1	TB-2	TB-3	NJ Std
Target Volatile Organic Compounds (ug/L)															
Tetrachloroethylene	<0.130	<0.130	0.210 J	0.290 J	**21.5**	**10.9**	0.190 J	**54.4**	<0.130	**23.1**	**21.2**	<0.13	<0.130	<0.13	1
Trichloroethylene	**2.22**	<0.190	<0.190	<0.190	**11.2**	0.800 J	<0.190	**2.53**	<0.330	**2.45**	**11.1**	<0.33	<0.190	<0.19	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	4.24	<0.210	<0.210	<0.210	12	0.420 J	<0.210	2.77	NA	1.56	11.4	<0.16	<0.210	<0.21	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.250	<0.200	<0.200	<0.25	<0.200	<0.20	30
1,1-Dichloroethylene	<0.210	<0.210	<0.210	<0.210	0.370 J	<0.210	<0.210	<0.210	<0.310	0.480 J	<0.210	<0.31	<0.210	<0.21	2
Chloroform	<0.150	<0.150	<0.150	<0.150	0.450 J	<0.150	0.230 J	<0.150	<0.180	0.220 J	0.450 J	<0.18	<0.150	<0.15	6
Vinyl Chloride	<0.230	<0.230	<0.230	<0.230	0.280 J	<0.230	<0.230	<0.230	<0.230	<0.230	0.280 J	<0.23	<0.230	<0.23	2
Tentatively Identified Compounds (ug/L)															
Unknown	75.1 J B	26.0 J B	27.7 J B	ND	61.0 J B	50.7 J B	51.1 J B	82.9 J B	ND	64.0 J B	38.3 J B	4.05 J	15.9 J B	14.2 J B	--
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-Trifluoroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	48.2	0.620 J	ND	ND	ND	0.510 J	48.7	ND	ND	ND	--
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-Trifluoroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	--

NOTES: B - Contaminant also detected in blank
J - Estimated, concentration listed greater than the MDL but lower than the lowest standard.
N - Indicates presumptive evidence of the compound's presence.
NA - Not Analyzed
Ground water quality standards as published in N.J.A.C. 7:9-6.9.
-- Compound-specific Ground Water Quality Standard not published.
* MW-26 is duplicate sample from well MW-13S.

Table 20. Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results –June 2014
Target Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC), Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA)

Well No.		MW-3S	MW-5I	MW-5S	MW-9S	MW-13S	MW-17	MW-18	MW-19S	MW-25S	D-MG Sump	MW-26S*	TB-1	TB-2	NJ GW Standard
Target Volatile Organic Compounds (ug/L)															
Tetrachloroethylene		0.340 J	<0.130	1.07	30.6	24.7	8.27	0.210 J	30.6	0.680 J	27.5	22.5	<0.130	<0.130	1
Trichloroethylene		<0.190	2.02	<0.190	8.07	7.96	0.720 J	<0.190	0.990 J	0.470 J	3.46	7.26	<0.190	<0.190	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene		0.290 J	4.49	<0.210	2.39	12.2	0.360 J	<0.210	0.650 J	1.57	2.06	11.2	<0.210	<0.210	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.250	<0.200	30
1,1-Dichloroethylene		<0.210	<0.210	<0.210	0.330 J	0.570 J	<0.210	<0.210	<0.210	<0.310	0.520 J	0.490 J	<0.210	<0.210	2
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-Trifluoroetha		1.01	<0.210	<0.210	10.5	71.4	0.380 J	<0.210	<0.210	<0.210	0.450 J	66.9	<0.210	<0.210	--
Chloroform		<0.150	<0.150	<0.150	1.26	0.440 J	<0.150	0.260 J	<0.150	<0.180	0.220 J	0.420 J	11.9	8.27	6
Vinyl Chloride		<0.230	<0.230	<0.230	<0.230	0.740 J	<0.230	<0.230	<0.230	<0.230	<0.230	0.700 J	<0.230	<0.230	2
Tentatively Identified Compounds (ug/L)															
Unknown		98.4 J B	49.7 J B	30.3 J B	54.4 J B	89.6 J B	74.6 J B	71.4 J B	68.5 J B	80.3 J B	63.2 J B	87.0 J B	33.1 J B	15.2 J B	--
Natural Attenuation Indicators															
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	0.58	0.59	8.4	1.8	**1.54**	**0.63**	0.59	**5.25**	3.38	-	-	-	-	--
pH	Std. Units	**5.48**	7.21	5.78	5.85	**5.61**	6.46	5.34	**4.71**	6.19	-	-	-	-	--
Redox Potential	mVe	178	87	290	260	174	229	134	365	94	-	-	-	-	--

NOTES: B - Contaminant also detected in blank
J - Estimated, concentration listed greater than the MDL but lower than the lowest standard.
N - Indicates presumptive evidence of the compound's presence.
NA - Not Analyzed
Ground water quality standards as published in N.J.A.C. 7:9-6.9.
-- Compound-specific Ground Water Quality Standard not published.
* MW-26 is duplicate sample from well MW-13S.

Table 21 Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results –September 2014

Target Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC), Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA)

Well No.		MW-3S	MW-5I	MW-5S	MW-9S	MW-12S	MW-13S	MW-13I	MW-17	MW-18	MW-19S	MW-19I	MW-22S	NJ Ground
Target Volatile Organic Compounds (ug/L)														
Tetrachloroethylene		0.180 J	<0.140	0.880 J	18.3	<0.140	16.6	20.2	31.6	0.720 J	60.6	<0.140	<0.140	1
Trichloroethylene		0.210 J	1.58	<0.140	27.7	<0.140	6.88	0.440 J	1.57	0.590 J	2.23	<0.140	<0.140	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene		ND	ND	ND	8.92 JN	ND	12.9 JN	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	70
t-1,2-Dichloroethylene		<0.390	<0.390	<0.390	<0.390	<0.390	<0.390	<0.390 J	<0.390	<0.390	<0.390	<0.390	<0.390	100
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		<0.170	<0.170	<0.170	<0.170	<0.170	<0.170	0.810 J	<0.170	<0.170	<0.170	<0.170	<0.170	30
1,1-Dichloroethylene		<0.350	<0.350	<0.350	<0.350	<0.350	<0.350	0.520 J	<0.350	<0.350	<0.350	<0.350	<0.350	2
Chloroform		<0.140	<0.140	<0.140	0.360 J	<0.140	0.300 J	1.12	0.820 J	<0.140	<0.140	<0.140	0.260 J	6
Vinyl Chloride		<0.290	<0.290	<0.290	<0.290	<0.290	1.02	<0.290	<0.290	<0.290	<0.290	<0.290	<0.290	2
Tentatively Identified Compounds (ug/L)														
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-Trifluoroetha		ND	ND	ND	3.63 JN	ND	30.8 JN	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	--
1,1,2-Trifluoroethane		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	--
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-Trifluoroethane		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	3.38 JN	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	--
Natural Attenuation Indicators														
Chloride	mg/L	17.6	308	503	20.7	69	108	17.3	22.7	12.9	6.23	185	83.9	250
Manganese	mg/L	0.766	0.572	1.82	0.123	0.00540 B	4.31	0.0247	0.0321	0.674	0.0223	0.0089	0.0194	0.05
Alkalinity	mg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	--
Nitrate as N	mg/L	0.132 J	<0.0814	2.38	<0.0814	2.7	<0.0814	0.252 J	0.445 J	<0.0814	0.236 J	1.36	0.781	10
Nitrite	mg/L	<0.00650	<0.00650	<0.00650	<0.00650	<0.00650	<0.00650	<0.00650	<0.00650	<0.00650	0.041	<0.00650	<0.00650	1
Sulfide	mg/L	<0.00650	<0.00650	0.167 J	<0.00650	<0.00650	<0.00650	<0.00650	<0.00650	<0.00650	0.0280 J	<0.00650	<0.00650	--
Sulfate	mg/L	27.7	8.28	14.4	27.1	11.2	17.1	20.3	18.8	24.9	29.9	6.57	20.8	250
Total Organic Carbon	mg/L	15.4	1.03	2.07	1.85	1.28	2.37	1.51	1.68	1.64	2.96	1.19	1.46	--
Ferrous Iron	mg/L	0.55	0.22	0.21	0.61	0.52	16.4	0.25	0.23	0.39	0.45	0.2	<0.2	
Dissolved Methane	ug/L	41.5	17.1	0.44	0.65	<0.030	7.8	<0.030	1	2.9	<0.030	<0.030	<0.030	
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	0.36	0.57	-	4.47	5.33	1.41	1.59	9.65	10.6	1.48	16.03	8.61	--
pH	Std. Units	6.75	9.29	-	7.18	7.48	8.45	6.61	6.51	6	5.89	5.72	5.94	--
Redox Potential	mVe	160	-30	-	87	130	38	122	145	180	291	247	276	--

Table 21 cont. Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results –September 2014

Target Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC), Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA)

Well No.		MW-23	MW-24	MW-25S	MW-26S*	DSN001	Basin Outflow	)-MG Sum	ITE AIR SH	TB-19/15	TB-2 9/16	TB-39/17	TB-49/18	TB-59/19	TB10/7	NJ GW Std
Target Volatile Organic Compounds (ug/L)																
Tetrachloroethylene		<0.140	<0.140	0.690 J	17.6	0.250 J	0.290 J	29	1.3	<0.140	<0.140	<0.140	<0.140	-	<0.140	1
Trichloroethylene		<0.140	<0.140	0.840 J	7.31	**	<0.140	3.75	0.310 J	**	<0.140	<0.140	<0.140	-	<0.140	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene		ND	ND	ND	13.6 JN	**	ND	ND	ND	**	ND	ND	ND	-	ND	70
t-1,2-Dichloroethylene		<0.390	<0.390	<0.390	<0.390	**	<0.390	<0.390	<0.390	**	<0.390	<0.390	<0.390	-	<0.390	100
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		<0.170	<0.170	<0.170	<0.170	**	<0.170	<0.170	<0.170	**	<0.170	<0.170	<0.170	-	<0.170	30
1,1-Dichloroethylene		<0.350	<0.350	<0.350	<0.350	**	<0.350	0.570 J	<0.350	**	<0.350	<0.350	<0.350	-	<0.350	2
Chloroform		<0.140	0.480 J	<0.140	0.280 J	**	<0.140	<0.140	0.250 J	**	<0.140	<0.140	<0.140	-	<0.140	6
Vinyl Chloride		<0.290	<0.290	<0.290	1.07	**	<0.290	<0.290	<0.290	**	<0.290	<0.290	<0.290	-	<0.290	2
Tentatively Identified Compounds (ug/L)																
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-Trifluoroetha		ND	ND	ND	32.2 JN	**	ND	ND	ND	**	ND	ND	ND	-	ND	--
1,1,2-Trifluoroethane		ND	ND	ND	ND	**	ND	ND	ND	**	ND	ND	ND	-	ND	--
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2-Trifluoroethane		ND	ND	ND	3.51 JN	**	ND	ND	ND	**	ND	ND	ND	-	ND	--
Natural Attenuation Indicators																
Chloride	mg/L	6.82	8.65	81	108	118	-	216	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	250
Manganese	mg/L	0.0204	0.0131	5.48	4.34	0.0568	-	3.47	0.631	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.05
Alkalinity	mg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	--
Nitrate as N	mg/L	0.128 J	0.144 J	<0.0814	<0.0814	0.774	-	0.856	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	10
Nitrite	mg/L	0.00700 J	<0.00650	<0.00650	<0.00650	0.0240 J	-	0.056	<0.00650	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Sulfide	mg/L	<0.00650	<0.00650	<0.00650	<0.00650	<0.00650	-	0.293 J	<0.00650	-	-	-	-	-	-	--
Sulfate	mg/L	51.4	15.4	29	17.1	17.2	-	16.7	18.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	250
Total Organic Carbon	mg/L	2.16	1.37	4.39	2.35	2.95	-	9.33	1.43	-	-	-	-	-	-	--
Ferrous Iron	mg/L	0.25	0.2	1.6	13.8	0.21	-	0.25	0.21	-	-	-	-	-	-	--
Dissolved Methane	ug/L	<0.030	<0.030	4	12.6	<0.030	-	0.82	<0.030	<0.030	<0.030	0.13	<0.030	<0.030	-	--
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	-	-	2.78	-	-	-	-	-	-					-	--
pH	Std. Units	-	-	8.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	--
Redox Potential	mVe	-	-	-8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	--

Table 22. Summary of Ground Water Sampling Results –December 2014

Target Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)

Well No.		MW-3S	MW-5I	MW-5S	MW-9S	MW-13S	MW-17	MW-18	MW-19S	MW-25S	D-MG Sump	MW-26S*	TB-1	TB-2	TB-3	NJ Ground
Target Volatile Organic Compounds (ug/L)																
Tetrachloroethylene		<0.130	<0.130	1.07	8.02	20.1	22.1	0.330 J	103	0.240 J	28	18.4	<0.130	<0.130	<0.130	1
Trichloroethylene		<0.190	2.58	<0.190	1.25	11.8	2.23	0.210 J	5.06	0.260 J	3.05	11.1	<0.190	<0.190	<0.190	1
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene		<0.210	5.06	<0.210	0.330 J	14.1	0.540 J	<0.210	4.12	0.590 J	2.06	12.9	<0.210	<0.210	<0.210	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	<0.200	30
1,1-Dichloroethylene		<0.210	<0.210	<0.210	<0.210	0.350 J	<0.210	<0.210	<0.210	<0.210	0.440 J	<0.210	<0.210	<0.210	<0.210	2
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-Trifluoroetha		<0.210	<0.210	<0.210	1.61	51	0.900 J	<0.210	<0.210	<0.210	0.530 J	50.1	<0.210	<0.210	<0.210	--
Chloroform		<0.150	<0.150	<0.150	0.480 J	0.350 J	0.510 J	<0.150	<0.150	0.260 J	<0.150	<0.150	<0.150	<0.150	<0.150	6
Vinyl Chloride		<0.230	<0.230	<0.230	<0.230	1.21	<0.230	<0.230	<0.230	<0.230	<0.230	1.14	<0.230	<0.230	<0.230	2
Tentatively Identified Compounds (ug/L)																
Unknown		25.9 J B	20.7 J B	19.7 J B	14.1 J B	36.0 J B	16.3 J B	16.0 J B	41.0 JB	13.6 JB	26.3 J B	19.7 J B	ND	ND	ND	--
Natural Attenuation Indicators																
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	1.74	1.41	-	2.72	3.24	1.92	1.96	2.31	4.38	-	-	-	-	-	--
pH	Std. Units	6.2	7.07	-	5.81	5.54	5.81	5.72	4.55	6.42	-	-	-	-	-	--
Redox Potential	mVe	177	-80	-	285	113	239	220	406	159	-	-	-	-	-	--

NOTES: B - Contaminant also detected in blank
J - Estimated, concentration listed greater than the MDL but lower than the lowest standard.
N - Indicates presumptive evidence of the compound's presence.
NA - Not Analyzed
Ground water quality standards as published in N.J.A.C. 7:9-6.9.
-- Compound-specific Ground Water Quality Standard not published.
* MW-26 is duplicate sample from well MW-13S.

Table 23. Summary of Groundwater Sampling Results – D-Site MG Sump, 2014

D Site MG													
Parameters	**Units**	**January**	**Feb.**	**March**	**April**	**May**	**June**	**July**	**August**	**Sept.**	**Oct.**	**Nov.**	**Dec.**
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L		J 7.00			13.00				J 6.00		232.00	
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	5.90	0.123	8.690	3.130	2.650	0.051	0.03	< 0.011	1.180	1.990	2.560	0.806
Total Organic Carbon, TOC	mg/L		1.140										
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L		56.00			127.00				770.00		30000.00	

Blank indicates no measurement *NA = not applicable* *NL = no limi*

Table 24. Summary of Groundwater Sampling Results – D-Site Airshaft Sump, 2014

D Site Airshaft													
Parameters	**Units**	**January**	**Feb.**	**March**	**April**	**May**	**June**	**July**	**August**	**Sept.**	**Oct.**	**Nov.**	**Dec.**
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD	mg/L		J 6.00			18.00			J 6.00			J 7.00	
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	0.07	< 0.021	< 0.021	< 0.021	0.058	0.026	< 0.01	< 0.021	< 0.021	< 0.021	0.037	< 0.021
Total Organic Carbon, TOC	mg/L		1.160										
Total Suspended Solids, TSS	mg/L		< 2.00			2.00			< 2.00			10.00	

Blank indicates no measurement *NA = not applicable* *NL = no limit*

Table 25. Quality Assurance Data for Radiological and Non-Radiological Samples for 2014

Laboratory, Program, and Parameter	Reported Value	Actual Value	Acceptance Range	Acceptable Not acceptable
ERA *(picoCuries/Liter)*				
May 2014 RAD 97				
Barium-133	82.86	87.9	74.0-96.7	Acceptable
Cesium-134	43.76	44.3	35.5-48.7	Acceptable
Cesium-137	89.99	89.1	80.2-101	Acceptable
Cobalt-60	66.53	64.2	57.8-73.1	Acceptable
Zinc-65	254.06	235	212-275	Acceptable
Tritium	8704	8770	7510-9650	Acceptable
November 2014 RAD 99				
Barium-133	50.28	49.1	40.3-54.5	Acceptable
Cesium-134	90.00	89.8	73.7-98.8	Acceptable
Cesium-137	103.8	98.8	88.9-111	Acceptable
Cobalt-60	97.86	92.1	82.9-104	Acceptable
Zinc-65	353.2	310	279-362	Acceptable
Tritium	6819.82	6880	5940-7570	Acceptable
May 2014 WP-0214				
pH (S.U.)	7.98	8.02	7.78-8.18	Acceptable
Total residual chlorine (mg/L)	1.19	1.21	0.882-1.42	Acceptable

Table 26. Waste Characterization Report (WCR) Surface Water Sampling June 4, 2014

No limits exceeded, parameters listed above non-detect

Laboratory Parameter	Reported Value (ug/L)
DSN001	
Silver	0.543
Chromium, Total Recoverable	0.593
Mercury	0.02
Endosulfan Sulfate	0.00223
Phenol, Single	0.44
Tetrachloroethylene	0.35
DSN003	
Barium	44.8
Copper	4.5
Chromium, Total Recoverable	0.59
Nickel	1.3
Zinc	10.9

Figure 1. PCE Distribution for Shallow Groundwater Wells Annual Sampling Event- September 2014



Figure 2. Potentiometric Surface Contours Shallow Groundwater Wells Annual Sampling Event- September 2014



Appendix

B

REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

Italics indicate Report in hard copy; notice of Report availability *via* Web; and [#] copies, if more than one.

Domestic External Distribution

Argonne National Laboratory
Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Congress (*Sen. C. Booker, Sen. R. Menendez, Rep. R. Frelinghuysen, Rep. L Greenstein*)
Congressional Information Service
DOE Office of Science Integrated Support Center (ISC) Chicago Office (CH)
DOE Office of Environmental Protection, Sustainability Support, Corporate Safety and Analysis, AU-20, *A. Lawrence*, Deputy Assistant Secretary [1]
DOE Office of Environment, Health, Safety and Security (*R. Natoli)* [1]
DOE Office of Fusion Energy Research [1]
DOE Office of Science [1]
EPA/Region II
DOE Princeton Site Office *(F. Crescenzo, T. Estes*) [3]
Fermilab
Forrestal Development Center
General Atomics
Hanford National Laboratory
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Los Alamos National Laboratory
Idaho National Laboratory
Middlesex County Health Department
National Nevada Test Site
NJDEP, Central Bureau of Water Compliance and Enforcement (G. Pritchard)
NJDEP, Bureau of Permitting (M. Carasia Auriti, H. Genievich)
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Plainsboro Public Library
Plainsboro Township Environmental Advisory Committee (*E. Mosley*)
Sandia National Laboratory
Savannah River National Laboratory
Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

PPPL/Princeton University Distribution

G. Ascione	V. L. Finley	M. Hughes	K. Morrison	R. S. Sheneman
N. Atnafu	N. J. Fisch	J. Jackson-Devoe	W. Myers	D. Shoe
W. Blanchard	K. A. Fischer	B. Jedic	G. H. Neilson	W. Slavin
C. Cane	C. Gentile	D. W. Johnson	M. Ono	D. Stevenson
A. Cohen	P. Gangemi	M. Kevin-King	R. Ortego	T. Stevenson
J. De Looper	R. J. Goldston	J. Lacenere	D. Parente	M. Zarnstorff
M. Donahue	J. Graham	J. D. Levine	PPPL Library	S. Zelick
C. Eisgruber	R. J. Hawryluk	J. Menard	S. Prager	S. J. Zweben
K. Ferraro	J. C. Hosea	L. Meyer	K. Rule	

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
Office of Reports and Publications

Managed by
Princeton University

under contract with the
U.S. Department of Energy
(DE-AC02-09CH11466)

P.O. Box 451, Princeton, NJ 08543
Phone: 609-243-2245
Fax: 609-243-2751

E-mail: publications@pppl.gov

Website: http://www.pppl.gov