Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

PPPL- 5099

PPPL-5099

Modeling the Reduction of Gross Lithium Erosion Observed under High-flux Deuterium Bombardment

T. Abrams, M.A. Jaworski, R. Kaita, J.H. Nichols, D.P. Stotler, G. De Temmerman, M.A. van den Berg, H.J. van der Meiden, T.W. Morgan

December 2014



Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-09CH11466.

Full Legal Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Trademark Disclaimer

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

PPPL Report Availability

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory:

http://www.pppl.gov/techreports.cfm

Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI):

http://www.osti.gov/scitech/

Related Links:

U.S. Department of Energy

Office of Scientific and Technical Information

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Journal of Nuclear Materials xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



Journal of Nuclear Materials



Modeling the reduction of gross lithium erosion observed under high-flux deuterium bombardment

T. Abrams^{a,*,1}, M.A. Jaworski^a, R. Kaita^a, J.H. Nichols^a, D.P. Stotler^a, G. De Temmerman^b, M.A. van den Berg^b, H.J. van der Meiden^b, T.W. Morgan^b

^a Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ 08543, USA

^b FOM Institute DIFFER – Dutch Institute for Fundamental Energy Research, Trilateral Euregio Cluster, Associate EURATOM-FOM, BL-3430 BE Nieuwegein, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Available online xxxx ABSTRACT

Both thin (<1 μ m) and thick (~500 μ m) lithium films under high-flux deuterium and neon plasma bombardment were studied in the linear plasma device Magnum-PSI at ion fluxes >10²⁴ m⁻² s⁻¹ and surface temperatures <700 °C. During Ne plasma exposures, Li erosion rates inferred from measurements of Li–I radiation exceed Langmuir Law evaporation, but no previous results exist to benchmark the binary collision approximation (BCA) and thermal sputtering measurements. Measured Li erosion rates during D plasma bombardment were compared to the adatom-evaporation model of thermal sputtering with an additional reduction term to account for the relative D/Li composition of the Li film. This model captures the qualitative evolution of the Li erosion yield but still overestimates the measured erosion by a factor of 5–10. This suggests that additional refinements to the mixed-material model are needed.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Liquid lithium is an attractive plasma facing component (PFC) for a DEMO reactor because it improves confinement [1,2] and protects the underlying substrate from high particle fluxes [3,4]. However Li evaporation and the strong enhancement of the Li sputter yield at elevated temperatures observed on low-flux experiments [5,6] implies that the maximum Li temperature permitted on such devices may be unacceptably low. Recently thin (<1 µm) Li films on TZM molybdenum substrates were studied in the Magnum-PSI linear plasma device [7] during ion fluxes $\Gamma_i \leq 2 \times 10^{24} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, electron temperatures $T_e < 5 \text{ eV}$, electron densities $n_e > 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$, and Li surface temperatures are expected to experience $\Gamma_{D+} > 10^{23} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $10^{24} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively [9,10]. Measured temperature-dependent Li erosion yields were significantly reduced relative to those observed on a low-flux device [6] and were lower even than the predictions of Langmuir Law evaporation alone.

It was proposed in [8] that the observed discrepancy in erosion rates was due to deuterium retention in the Li layer during Magnum-PSI experiments. In this paper, a model is developed to predict how fast a Li layer will saturate with D and what level

¹ Presenting author.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2014.11.056 0022-3115/© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. suppression of Li erosion is expected as a function of the D/Li concentration. To test this model, D plasma exposures were conducted on thick (~500 µm) Li films that were expected take 5–10 s to approach a 1:1 D/Li concentration ratio under fluxes >10²⁴ m⁻² s⁻¹. In addition, Li erosion behavior was measured via neon plasma bombardment to verify that Li erosion rates were at least greater than Langmuir Law evaporation for deuterium-free Li coatings.

2. Theory

At elevated temperatures the erosion rate of a Li PFC is enhanced over simple collisional sputtering by evaporation and temperature-dependent (thermal) sputtering [5]. A model for thermal Li sputtering involving the evaporation of surface adatoms has been proposed [11,12]. This model predicts the following relation for thermal Li sputtering:

$$Y_{\text{thermal}}(T_{\text{Li}}) = \frac{Y_{\text{ad}}}{1 + A \exp\left(\frac{E_{\text{eff}}}{k_B T_{\text{Li}}}\right)} \tag{1}$$

where k_B is Boltzmann's constant and Y_{ad} is a temperature-independent adatom yield. *A* and E_{eff} are constants associated with the competing processes of adatom evaporation and recombination. Eq. (1) is applied to measured Li thermal sputtering yields [6] using Y_{ad} , A, and E_{eff} as fitting parameters. The resulting fit values are $Y_{ad} = 2.9$, $A = 9.6 \times 10^{-6}$, and $E_{eff} = 0.70$ eV.



^{*} Corresponding author at: PPPL, P.O. Box 451, Princeton, NJ 08543-0451, USA. *E-mail address:* tabrams@pppl.gov (T. Abrams).

Magnum-PSI experiments are needed to measure Li erosion rates at higher temperatures and fluences than PISCES-B can obtain. Li films exposed to high D fluences will retain implanted D in up to a 1:1 ratio [13]. TRIM [14] simulations on a 1:1 Li:D mixture predicts $Y_{coll,LiD} \approx 0.1 Y_{coll,Li}$ for 20 eV D \rightarrow Li sputtering. While the BCA assumption begins to break down around 30 eV [15], reasonable agreement between theory and experiment at lower energies has been found in many cases [16]. Assuming the sputter yield is reduced in proportion to the ratio β of D/Li atoms on the target surface, we estimate $Y(\beta) = Y(1 - \beta) + 0.1Y\beta = Y(1-0.9\beta)$. It is assumed that the sputter contribution from reflected ions re-depositing on the surface is negligible. The Li vapor pressure p_{Li} of Li/D mixtures as a function of β has also been well-characterized [17]. The predicted Li erosive flux for a mixed-material Li/D layer is written as:

$$\Gamma_{\rm Li}(T_{\rm Li},\beta,\Gamma_{\rm D+}) = \Gamma_{\rm D+}[Y_{\rm coll} + Y_{\rm thermal}(T_{\rm Li})](1 - 0.9\beta) + \frac{p(T_{\rm Li},\beta)}{\sqrt{2\pi m_{\rm Li}k_B T_{\rm Li}}}$$
(2)

where m_{Li} is the Li atomic mass (6.941 amu) and the third term of Eq. (2) represents the Langmuir law formulation [18] of the evaporation rate.

The time-dependent value of β on a Li surface is estimated with 1-D diffusion simulations that incorporate the measured diffusion coefficient $\alpha(T_{\rm Li})$ for hydrogen in liquid Li [19]. It was assumed that α and the deuterium recycling coefficient *R* are reduced by $1 - \beta$ and $\alpha = 0$ for solid Li. The predicted time evolution of β (averaged over the first 50 nm of the surface) for a typical Magnum-PSI discharge is shown in Fig. 1 for several Li thicknesses. The surface remains fully saturated with D (not shown) until the Li melting point (180 °C) is reached, when β drops to near zero before gradually increasing at a rate governed by the thickness of the Li layer, the D flux, and the Li temperature.

3. Experimental apparatus and procedure

The adatom-evaporation mixed-material Li erosion model was tested in the linear plasma device Magnum-PSI [7]. Details of the experimental apparatus and procedure for exposing thin-film (<1 μ m) Li samples 25 mm in diameter to D ion fluxes $\Gamma_{D^+} \leq 2 \times 10^{24} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at electron densities $n_e \leq 8 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$ and temperatures $T_e \leq 3 \text{ eV}$ are described in [8]. The experimental procedure is similar for the neon plasma exposures described herein. Neon was used instead of helium in order to prevent fuzz formation on the



Fig. 1. The predicted time evolution of β in a typical Magnum-PSI discharge for several different Li thicknesses based on a 1D diffusion model. The inferred ion flux Γ_{D+} and the Li temperature T_{Li} are also provided.

underlying Mo substrate. Copper magnetic coils of peak current I_B of 14 kA provide an axial magnetic field of 0.25 T at the target. A new sample geometry was developed for exposing macroscopically thick (\leq 500 µm) Li layers to the plasma. 64 mm diameter TZM molybdenum samples were machined with a 25 mm diameter, 500 µm deep well in the center. A small Li ingot was placed in the well and the TZM Mo sample was heated on a hot plate inside an Ar glove box to a temperature of ~400 °C. After the Li metal liquefied and completely filled the well, any excess was removed with a straight-edge. A thin stainless steel (SS) cover was placed over the well and samples were placed in aluminized polyester heat-seal bags to minimize air contamination.

When mounting the Li-wetted samples on the Magnum-PSI target holder, the SS shim stock cover was removed immediately before sealing up and pumping down the vacuum vessel. The lithium was exposed to atmosphere for about 20-30 s during this process. After installation on the target holder the Li samples appeared black in color (Fig. 2a), indicating formation of oxide layers on the surface. The Li layers were exposed to several Ar plasma discharges to sputter away impurities from the surface, during which the Li reached a temperature of \sim 350 °C. After these pulses the surface had transformed to the highly reflective state (Fig. 2b) characteristic of pure lithium. In addition, measurements from optical emission spectroscopy (OES) showed little to no evidence of neutral oxygen (O-I) emission (777 nm) or hydroxide (OH) emission (308–310 nm) in the plasma by the end of the Ar discharges. This implies that minimal oxygen or hydrogen impurities were present in the Li film immediately prior to D plasma bombardment.



Fig. 2. Images of the Li surface (a) before and (b) after Ar plasma discharge cleaning.



Fig. 3. Inferred atomic Li yields vs. Li temperature for 20 eV and 40 eV neon plasma bombardment of a 1 μ m Li layer. Fits to the data using Eq. (2) are shown with solid lines. The predicted Li evaporation rate for the 20 eV case is shown with a dashed line

Please cite this article in press as: T. Abrams et al., J. Nucl. Mater. (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnucmat.2014.11.056



Fig. 4. Inferred atomic Li yields for 20 eV D plasma bombardment of Li. Predictions using the adatom-evaporation mixed-material model as well as the pure Li thermal spike model described in [8] are overlaid.

4. Results

The procedure for obtaining measurements of the D ion flux and Li temperature, as well as the analysis methods used to infer Li erosion rates from a Phantom camera with a Li–I (670.8 nm) filter, have been previously described [8]. The temperature-dependent Li yields under 20 eV and 40 eV Ne ion bombardment are shown in Fig. 3, along with the predicted Li evaporation rate from the Langmuir Law. Li erosion rates are equal to or higher than Langmuir Law evaporation. Since no previous measurements exist for Ne \rightarrow Li sputtering, Eq. (2) is applied to this data as an empirical fit function. The values of the fitting parameters are $Y_{coll} = 8.3 \times 10^{-4}$, $Y_{ad} = 0.010$, $A = 8.2 \times 10^{-6}$, and $E_{eff} = 0.81$ eV for the 20 eV case and $Y_{coll} = 0.019$, $Y_{ad} = 4.2 \times 10^6$, A = 57, and $E_{eff} = 0.88$ eV for the 40 eV case. It should be noted that measurements on low-flux devices give similar thermal sputtering behavior independent of ion energy [6,11], which was not observed here.

During the Ar cleaning process on thick Li layers, significant macroscopic melt motion of the liquid Li was observed, which altered the Li thickness from its initial value of 500 µm. Thus $\beta(t)$ was calculated for Li thicknesses ranging from 50 to 500 µm (see Fig. 1) to quantify the level of uncertainty introduced in $\beta(t)$. Any mass transport that occurs via melt motion during the D plasma discharge itself was not accounted for. The time-dependent D ion flux Γ_{D+} for this discharge is shown in Fig. 1. These values were obtained by measuring n_e and T_e profiles with 1 Hz frequency via Thomson scattering [20] and linearly interpolating between each time point. Prior to the first measurement point at t = 1 s, n_e and T_e were assumed proportional to the magnet current I_B . After the last measurement point at t = 6 s, n_e and T_e were assumed constant.

The measured temperature-dependent erosion yield $\Gamma_{\rm Li}/\Gamma_{\rm D+}$ for 20 eV D bombardment of the Li layer is shown in Fig. 4. Measured yields are higher than in [8], which is consistent with calculations that a thick Li coating will saturate with D more slowly, and thus less reduction of the sputter yield via preferential sputtering is expected. The predicted Li yields based on the mixed-material adatom-evaporation model (Eq. (2)) are overlaid. Also overlaid are the predictions of the pure Li thermal-spike model described in [8]. Since collisional sputtering and evaporation provide negligible contributions to the erosion rate between 300 °C and 650 °C, this is effectively a plot of the thermal sputtering term only. The error band on the predicted Li yields stems from uncertainty in the D/Li concentration β at the surface. The error range on β by the end of the discharge is 0.45–0.99. The modeling efforts in Sec-

tion 2 qualitatively capture the measured temperature dependence of $Y_{\rm Li}$, but an absolute factor of 5–10 discrepancy is observed. These results suggest that additional refinements to the modeling of the Li–D mixed-material surface are needed; possible refinements are discussed below.

5. Discussion and Summary

Previous results showed that a discrepancy existed between the measured Li erosion rates in low-flux experiments on PISCES-B and high-flux exposures on Magnum-PSI, which were even lower than predictions from Langmuir Law evaporation alone. Measured values of Li erosion during 20 and 40 eV Ne ion bombardment remain higher than Langmuir Law evaporation, as expected. No data sets exist for Ne \rightarrow Li bombardment on low-flux experiments from which to benchmark the measured collisional and thermal sputtering yields on Magnum-PSI. But the fact that the measured Li erosion rates are equal to or higher than Langmuir Law evaporation, a well-established lower bound for the erosion rate of pure Li, suggests that there is not some inherent inaccuracy in the measurement technique.

It was hypothesized that the discrepancy between high-flux and low-flux devices was caused by significant D retention in Li layers exposed Magnum-PSI plasmas. Predictions of the rate of D accumulation in Li coatings were performed using a 1D diffusion model. The expected reduction of the $D \rightarrow Li$ sputtering yield (via preferential sputtering) was estimated as a function of the D/Li concentration. Measurements of the $D \rightarrow Li$ erosion rate on macroscopically thick Li coatings were performed on Magnum-PSI in the temperature range 200 °C < T_{Li} < 650 °C. A factor of 5–10 discrepancy was still observed between predictions and measurements of Li erosion after mixed-material effects and macroscopic melt motion were taken into account. This observed discrepancy suggests that the mixed-material model of Li erosion developed in this work may not be completely realistic. A more realistic model of preferential sputtering could be obtained utilizing a simulation code that incorporates dynamic material composition changes. such as TRIDYN [21]. In addition, the previous calculations assume that the D/Li ratio β cannot exceed unity. If a non-equilibrium situation exists such that the surface is temporarily "over-saturated" with D atoms, the effective Li yield would be further reduced, although such an over-saturation has never been observed in surface science experiments. Finally, this model does not include the effects of chemical formation of lithium deuteride (LiD), which could further reduce sputtering since the surface binding energy of LiD (2.26 eV) is higher than that of pure Li (1.67 eV). The discrepancy between predictions and measurements of Li erosion under high-flux D bombardment suggest that one or more of these effects must be incorporated to create a realistic model for mixed-material Li/D erosion.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by US DOE contract DE-AC02-09CH11466 and the US DOE Fusion Energy Sciences Fellowship. FOM authors are supported by the Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM), which is financially supported by the Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO).

References

- [1] H.W. Kugel et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 415 (2011) S400-S404.
- [2] G.Z. Zuo et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 415 (2011) S1062-S1066.
- [3] T. Abrams et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 438 (2013) S313–S316.
- [4] G. Mazzitelli et al., Fusion Eng. Des. 85 (2010) 896–901.
 [5] J.P. Allain et al., Phys. Rev. B 76 (2007) 205434.

4

ARTICLE IN PRESS

T. Abrams et al. / Journal of Nuclear Materials xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

- [6] R.P. Doerner et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 290-293 (2001) 166-172.
- [7] G. De Temmerman et al., Fusion Eng. Des. 88 (6–8) (2012) 483–487.
 [8] T. Abrams et al., Fusion Eng. Des. 12 (2014) 2857–2863.
- [9] M.A. Jaworski et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 438 (2013) S384-S387. [10] P. Norajitra et al., Fusion Eng. Des. 83 (2008) 893-902.
- [11] R.P. Doerner et al., J. Appl. Phys. 95 (2004) 4471.
 [12] R.P. Doerner et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 337–339 (2005) 877–881.
- [13] M.J. Baldwin et al., Fusion Eng. Des. 61-62 (2002) 231-236.
- [14] J.F. Ziegler et al., The Stopping Range of Ions in Matter, vols. 2-6, Pergamon Press, New York, 1977-1985.
- [15] W. Eckstein, Computer Simulations of Ion-Solid Interaction, Springer, Berlin, 1995.
- [16] R. Behrisch, W. Eckstein, Sputtering by Particle Bombardment, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [17] E.E. Shpil'rain et al., Thermophysical Properties of Lithium Hydride, Deuteride, and Tritide and of Their Solutions with Lithium, American Institute of Physics, New York, 1987.
- [18] I. Langmuir, Mon. Weather Rev. 45 (1917) 452.
- [19] H. Moriyama et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 191–194 (1992) 190–193.
- [20] H.J. van der Meiden et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 83 (2012) 123505. [21] W. Möller, W. Eckstein, Nuc. Instr. Meth. 2 (1984) 814–818.

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Office of Reports and Publications

> Managed by Princeton University

under contract with the U.S. Department of Energy (DE-AC02-09CH11466)

P.O. Box 451, Princeton, NJ 08543 Phone: 609-243-2245 Fax: 609-243-2751 E-mail: <u>publications@pppl.gov</u> Website: <u>http://www.pppl.gov</u>