Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

PPPL- 4824

PPPL- 4824

Dynamic Stabilization of the Ablative Rayleigh-Taylor Instability for Heavy Ion Fusion

Hong Qin, Ronald C. Davidson and B. Grant Logan

October, 2012

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-09CH11466.

Dynamic stabilization of the ablative Rayleigh-Taylor instability for heavy ion fusion☆

Hong Qin

Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08543, USA

Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

Ronald C. Davidson

Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08543, USA

B. Grant Logan

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Abstract

Dynamic stabilization of the ablative Rayleigh-Taylor instability of a heavy ion fusion target induced by a beam wobbling system is studied. Using a sharp-boundary model and Courant-Synder theory, it is shown, with an appropriately chosen modulation waveform, that the instability can be stabilized in certain parameter regimes. It is found that the stabilization effect has a strong dependence on the modulation frequency and the waveform. Modulation with frequency comparable to the instability growth rate is the most effective in terms of stabilizing the instability. A modulation with two frequency components can result in a reduction of the growth rate larger than the sum of that due to the two components when applied separately.

☆This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC02-09CH11466.

Keywords: Dynamics Stabilization, Heavy Ion Fusion, Wobbler

1. Introduction

In heavy ion fusion, the compression dynamics of the target is subject to the well-known Rayleigh-Taylor (RT) instability. To reduce the deleterious effects of the RT instability on target performance and increase the coupling efficiency, it is necessary to reduce the initial seed for instability growth by making the target illumination by ion beams as symmetric and smooth as possible. Recent heavy ion fusion target studies show [1], with the appropriate beam energy ramp and implementation of beam smoothing techniques, that it may be possible to achieve ignition with direct drive and an energy gain of 100 at less than 1 MJ driver energy. With the newly envisioned X-target and/or shock ignition methods [2], it may be possible that a potential energy gain of 1000 could be achieved. In laser-driven inertial fusion research, a sophisticated smoothing system using distributed phase-plate technology has been developed [3]. Recently, a similar technology using oscillating wobbler fields has been proposed for ion-beam-driven inertial fusion energy [1, 4–10] to achieve the desired uniform illumination over an annular region (see Fig. 1). The improvement of stability properties can be attributed to two factors. First, uniform illumination reduces the initial seeding amplitude of the RT instability [1, 11–13]. Second, at a given location on the target, the energy/momentum input is pulsating rapidly with time, which results in a dynamic stabilization effect on the instability .

The dynamical stabilization of the Rayleigh-Taylor instability was first studied by Wolf [14] and by Troyon [15]. For applications to inertial confine-



Figure 1: Schematic of wobbler system and solenoidal focusing lattice for heavy ion fusion.

ment fusion, the concept has been investigated by Boris [16] and Betti *et al.* [17]. In particular, Betti *et al.* [17] derived an ordinary differential equation for the interface oscillation associated with the ablative RT instability with time-dependent acceleration and ablation [see Eq. (1)]. For heavy ion fusion application, Kawata *et al.*[11, 18, 19] showed that time-dependent acceleration effectively reduces the growth of the RT instability. On the other hand, Piriz *et al.* [20] concluded that time-modulation of the acceleration is ineffective using a model of time-modulation consisting of a sequence of pulsed accelerations with the shape of δ-functions.

In this paper, we show that the time-modulated acceleration rendered by the wobbler system for heavy ion fusion drivers can significantly reduce the growth rate of the ablative Rayleigh-Taylor instability with an appropriate choice of the time-modulation waveform. As the theoretical model, we adopt a sharp-boundary model with an ablative front [17, 21], and start from the differential equation derived by Betti *et al.* [17]. The difficulty in correctly describing the dynamical behavior of the instability in this case is the time-dependence of the acceleration, the driving force of the instability. It turns out that Courant-Snyder theory [22] for a second-order ordinary differential equation with general time-dependent coefficient is an ideal theoretical tool to tackle this problem, even though the original Courant-Snyder theory was intended only for stable cases. Using this method, we find that the stabilization effect has a strong dependence on the modulation frequency. In particular, modulation with frequency comparable to the growth rate is most effective in terms of stabilizing the instability. It is also found that the reduction in growth rate has a complicated dependence on the modulation waveform. For example, a modulation with two frequency components can result in a reduction of the growth rate larger than the sum of the reductions due to the two components when applied separately. With a properly chosen modulation waveform, the instability can be completely stabilized in certain parameter regimes.

The basic idea of dynamic stabilization can be amply illustrated by the example of the inverted pendulum on a moving platform shown in Fig. 2. If the platform is fixed, the pendulum is obviously unstable. However when a time-dependent force $F(t)$ is applied, the platform will move accordingly, and with an appropriate choice of the functional form of $F(t)$ it is possible to stabilize the dynamics of the inverted pendulum. In general, the types of time-dependent force $F(t)$ can be divided into three categories: feedback controlled, pre-described, and random. For the first type, the driving force is generated dynamically according to the position of the pendulum. This is how an acrobat stabilizes an upside-down wine bottle on one finger. An acrobat can train his motor system and visual system into an excellent feed-



Figure 2: Inverted pendulum on a moving platform.

back control system for the upside-down wine bottle, but it is not possible to design a feedback control system for the RT instability in a heavy ion fusion target. This is because the timescale of the instability is several nanoseconds, which is too fast for any possible beam feedback control. The third type, random modulation, is probably the easiest to implement while also being the most ineffective with the same modulation amplitude. The wobbler system for heavy ion fusion fits into the second category. Needless to say the challenge is to find a systematic method to determine the optimal time-modulation waveform for the driving beam.

Note that in Refs. [11, 19], the stabilizing effects due to the second type of modulation is referred as “dynamic mitigation”. In this paper, we don’t adopt this terminology, and use the general phrase “dynamic stabilization” for the stabilizing effect due to any type of time-modulation.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we introduce the sharp-boundary model for the ablative Rayleigh-Taylor instability. The Courant-

Snyder theory for unstable solutions of second-order ordinary differential equations with time-dependent coefficients is described in Sec. 3, and the dynamic stabilization of the ablative RT instability with wobbling beams for heavy ion fusion applications is studied in Sec. 4.

2. Sharp-boundary model for the ablative Rayleigh-Taylor instability

In this section, we describe the sharp-boundary model for the ablative Rayleigh-Taylor instability and the corresponding governing differential equation that will be used in the study of the dynamic stabilization of the instability in Sec. 4. In this model, the heavy medium and the light medium are separated by a sharp-boundary interface (see Fig. 3). The density is constant on either side of the interface, but discontinuous across the interface, which is accelerated in the $\mathbf{e}_x$ direction with an acceleration $g(t)$ by the ablative force. In the frame moving with the interface, an object with mass m is subject to an inertial force $mg(t)$ in the $-\mathbf{e}_x$ direction. The density and ablative velocity in the moving frame in the two regions are denoted by (ρ_1, v_1) and (ρ_2, v_2), respectively. The values of $g(t)$, v_1 and v_2 are positive.

The ablative Rayleigh-Taylor instability can be characterized by the unstable perturbation of the interface, $\eta(y,t) \sim \eta(t)\exp(iky - i\omega t)$, between the heavy and light medium. It is assumed that $k > 0$ without loss of generality. In the limit of $A \equiv (\rho_2 - \rho_1)/(\rho_2 + \rho_1) \to 1$, the ordinary differential equation for $\eta(t)$ derived by Betti *et al.* [17] is

$$\frac{d^2\eta}{dt^2} + kv_A\frac{d\eta}{dt} - kAg\eta = 0\,, \tag{1}$$

where g is the acceleration, and $v_A \equiv v_2 > 0$ is the ablative velocity of the heavy medium. Both g and v_A are time-dependent, determined by the time-dependent energy deposition by the driver at the ablative front. In the present study, we treat $g(t)$ and $v_A(t)$ as prescribed functions. The first-order derivative term in Eq. (1) can be transformed away by the following transformation from η to ξ,

$$\eta = \xi \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t k v_A(t')dt'\right) . \tag{2}$$

In terms of ξ, the differential equation is

$$\frac{d^2\xi}{dt^2} - \left[kAg + \frac{1}{4}k^2 v_A^2 + \frac{k}{2}\frac{dv_A}{dt}\right]\xi = 0 . \tag{3}$$

From Eq. (2), it is evident that η is more stable than ξ due to the factor $\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t k v_A(t')dt'\right)$, which is the well-known effect of ablative stabilization. Once the ablative velocity $v_A(t)$ is prescribed, this stabilization effect is determined, and we only need to focus on the dynamics of ξ.

The coefficient of ξ in Eq. (3) can be viewed as a time-dependent drive for ξ. To separate the time-dependent part of the drive from the time-independent part, we write

$$g(t) = g_0 + \delta g(t), \quad v_A(t) = v_{A0} + \delta v_A(t). \tag{4}$$

Then, it can be shown that

$$kAg + \frac{1}{4}k^2 v_A^2 + \frac{k}{2}\frac{dv_A}{dt} = \gamma_0^2 + \delta\gamma^2 , \tag{5}$$

$$\gamma_0 \equiv \sqrt{kAg_0 + \frac{1}{4}k^2 v_{A0}^2} . \tag{6}$$

Here γ_0 is the growth rate when there is no time-modulation, and $\delta\gamma^2$ is the time-dependent part of the drive. If we normalize the time t by the $1/\gamma_0$,



Figure 3: Sharp-boundary model for the ablative Rayleigh-Taylor instability.

then by using the normalized time $s \equiv t\gamma_0$, Eq. (3) can be simplified to give

$$\frac{d^2\xi}{ds^2} - h(s)\xi = 0\,, \tag{7}$$

$$h(s) \equiv 1 + \delta h(s)\,, \quad \delta h(s) \equiv \delta\gamma^2/\gamma_0^2\,. \tag{8}$$

We assume here that $\delta h(s)$ has a prescribed functional form determined by the time variation of the beam energy. According to the study by Betti *et al.* [17] and Takabe *et al.* [23], the typical size of $\delta h(t)$ is in the range of $3.5 \le \delta h(t) \le 5.5$. We will use Eq. (7) to study the dynamic stabilization of the ablative RT instability with a time-dependent drive in the next two sections.

3. Courant-Snyder theory

Equation (7) is a second-order ordinary differential equation with a time-dependent coefficient. It describes a harmonic oscillator with time-dependent spring constant, which can be viewed as the second simplest physics problem and has many important applications [24, 25]. The well-studied Matthew's equation is a special case of Eq. (7). If $\delta h(s)$ is piece-wise constant or a series of δ-functions, then the solution of Eq. (7) can be constructed piece-wise [20]. However, it is not desirable to restrict to a specific class of functions, since our goal is to find the most optimal functional form of the modulation such that the dynamic stabilization effect is maximized.

It turns out that the Courant-Synder theory for a second-order ordinary differential equation with a time-dependent coefficient is an effective tool to tackle Eq. (7), even though the Courant-Synder theory [22] was first developed for stable charged particle dynamics in a focusing lattice. It applies to the unstable case studied here with only little modification. Here we list the main result of the Courant-Synder theory without a detailed derivation, which can be found in Refs. [22, 26].

The solution of Eq. (7) can be expressed as a linear map $M(s)$ of the initial conditions $(\xi_0, \dot{\xi}_0)$ at $s = s_0$ [22, 26], *i.e.*,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \dot{\xi} \end{pmatrix} = M(s) \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0 \\ \dot{\xi}_0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{9}$$

The linear map is given by

$$M(s) = \begin{pmatrix} w & 0 \\ \dot{w} & \dfrac{1}{w} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi & \sin\phi \\ -\sin\phi & \cos\phi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_0^{-1} & 0 \\ -\dot{w}_0 & w_0 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{10}$$

where $w(s)$ is a solution of the envelope equation

$$\frac{d^2w}{ds^2} - h(s)w = w^{-3} \tag{11}$$

with initial conditions $(w_0, \dot{w}_0)$ at $s = s_0$, and $\phi(s)$ is the phase advanced associated with $w(s)$,

$$\phi(s) = \int_{s_0}^{s} \frac{1}{w^2(s')} ds' . \tag{12}$$

In general, we can choose $h(s)$ to be a periodic function of $s \equiv \gamma_0 t$ with normalized period $S = \gamma_0 T$, where T is the unnormalized period. Then the one-period map $M(S)$ completely determines the dynamic behavior of ξ. The eigenvalues of $M(S)$ determines the eigenfrequencies of the dynamics of ξ. In particular, let μ denote the eigenvalue of $M(S)$ with the largest absolute value, then the growth rate of ξ is given by $\ln|\mu|$. Using the symmetry properties of the envelope equation [24] , it can be proven that the eigenvalues of $M(S)$ are independent of the choice of the initial time s_0 and initial conditions. Therefore, any one-period solution of Eq. (11) from $s = s_0$ to $s = s_0+S$ for any initial conditions $(w_0, \dot{w}_0)$ can be used to calculate the growth rate $\ln|\mu|$ of the ξ dynamics.

4. Dynamic stabilization of the ablative Rayleigh-Taylor instability

In this section, we apply the Courant-Snyder theory outlined in Sec. 3 to calculate the growth rate of the transformed interface displacement ξ for different choices of the modulation function $\delta h(s)$ with the form

$$h(s) = 1 + \delta h(s) = 1 + q\sin(2\pi s/S)\,, \tag{13}$$

where $s \equiv \gamma_0 t$ is the normalized time, q is the modulation amplitude, and $S \equiv \gamma_0 T$ is the normalized period. The modulation amplitude is selected to be in the range of $0 < q < 6$.

Shown in Fig. 4 is the growth rate plotted as a function of the modulation amplitude q for two different periodicities corresponding to $S = 1$ and $S = 2$, respectively. It is clear that larger modulation amplitude results in a larger reduction in growth rate, as expected. For a modulation with a period twice the un-modulated e-folding time, $S \equiv \gamma_0 T = 2$, the instability can be completely suppressed when the modulation amplitude q reaches 4.6. Comparing the two curves in Fig. 4, we note that a slower modulation generates a larger reduction of growth rate. This fact is further demonstrated in Fig. 5, where the growth rate is plotted as a function of the periodicity S for two different modulation amplitudes. For the case of $q = 6$, the instability can be stabilized when $S = 1.5$. We note that the slope of the curve near $S = 1.5$ is steep, indicating a sensitive functional dependence of the growth rate on the periodicity $S \equiv \gamma_0 T$. The complex functional dependence is further illustrated in Fig. 6, where two modulations with different amplitudes and periodicities are applied simultaneously. An interesting synergy is observed. For the first modulation with $(q, S) = (2, 1)$ there is almost no reduction in growth rate. For the second modulation with $(q, S) = (4, 2)$, the reduction is about 44%. However, when the two modulations are applied together with a relative phase α, *i.e.*, $h(s) = 1 + 2\sin(2\pi s) + 4\sin(\pi s + \alpha)$, the reduction reaches 67% provided the relative phase α is chosen correctly. This reduction in growth rate is much larger than the sum of the reductions due to the two modulations when applied separately. Furthermore, when the relative phase α between the two modulations is not selected correctly, the reduction can be even smaller than that when the second modulation is applied alone. These results imply that when a wobbler system for heavy ion fusion drivers is designed, it is necessary to carry out a thorough optimization of the modulation waveform, such that the dynamic stabilization effect can be maximized for a given modulation amplitude.



Figure 4: Growth rate plotted as a function of the modulation amplitude q for normalized period $S = 1$ and $S = 2$.



Figure 5: Plots of growth rate as a function of the periodicity $S \equiv \gamma_0 T$ for $q = 6$ and $q = 3$.



Figure 6: Plots showing the synergy between two modulations with different periodicities and amplitudes. The wavy curve is the growth rate as a function of the relative phase α for the modulation $h(s) = 1 + 2\sin(2\pi s) + 4\sin(\pi s + \alpha)$.

5. Conclusions and future work

To conclude, we have studied the dynamic stabilization of the ablative Rayleigh-Taylor instability induced by a beam wobbler system that can deliver a time-modulated energy deposition on the ablation front. Using a sharp-boundary model for the ablative Rayleigh-Taylor instability and Courant-Synder theory, we have shown, with an appropriately chosen modulation waveform, that the instability can be completely stabilized in certain parameter regimes. It is found that the stabilization effect has a strong dependence on the modulation frequency. Modulation with frequency comparable to the growth rate is the most effective in terms of stabilizing the instability. It is also found that the reduction of the growth rate has a complex dependence on the modulation waveform. For example, a modulation with two frequency components can result in a reduction of the growth rate larger than the sum of the reductions due to the two components when applied separately.

The sharp-boundary model reduces the collective dynamics to a second-order ordinary differential equation for the displacement of the interface with a time-dependent coefficient. Because it is a system with one degree of freedom, the analysis of the dynamics is greatly simplified. In principle, the dynamic stabilization mechanism should also be applicable when more degrees of freedom are allowed. Generalization of the analysis to higher dimensions [27–29] can include more physical effects, such as compression and heat conductivity, in the system, and thus increase the fidelity of the model. It is also possible to develop numerical simulation methods for the dynamic stabilization process in a more realistic geometry with smooth density gradient, which corresponds to a dynamic system with infinite degrees of freedom. Progress in these directions will be reported in the future.

[1] B. G. Logan, L. J. Perkins, J. J. Barnard, Physics of Plasmas 15 (2008) 072701.

[2] E. Henestroza, B. G. Logan, Physics of Plasmas 19 (2012) 072706.

[3] S. Skupsky, T. Kessler, S. Letzring, Y. Chuang, Journal of Applied Physics 73 (1993) 2678.

[4] N. A. Tahir, D. H. H. Hoffmann, A. Kozereva, A. Tauschwitz, A. Shutov, J. A. Maruhn, P. S. U. Neuner, J. Jacoby, M. Roth, R. Bock, H. Juranek, R. Redmer, Phys. Rev. E 63 (2000) 016402.

[5] B. Sharkov, Nucl. Instr. Methods Phys. Res. A577 (2007) 14.

[6] D. H. H. Hoffmann, 2009. Private communication.

[7] H. Qin, R. C. Davidson, in: Proceedings of the 2009 Particle Accelerator Conference, IEEE, New York, 2009, p. 4347.

[8] H. Qin, R. C. Davidson, B. G. Logan, Phy. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010) 254801.

[9] N. Tahir, T. Stohlker, A. Shutov, I. Lomonosov, V. Forotv, M. French, N. Nettelmann, R. Redmer, A. Piriz, C. Deutsch, Y. Zhao, H. Xu, G. Xio, P. Zhan, New Journal of Physics 12 (2010) 073022.

[10] H. Qin, R. C. Davidson, B. G. Logan, Laser and Particle Beams 29 (2011) 365.

[11] S. Kawata, T. Sato, T. Teramoto, E. Bandoh, Y. Masubichi, I. Takahashi, Laser and Particle Beams 11 (1993) 757.

[12] A. R. Piriz, N. A. Tahir, D. H. H. Hoffmann, M. Temporal, Physical Review E 67 (2003) 017501.

[13] A. R. Piriz, M. Temporal, J. J. L. Cela, N. A. Tahir, D. H. H. Hoffmann, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 45 (2003) 1733.

[14] G. Wolf, Physical Review Letters 24 (1970) 444.

[15] F. Troyon, Phys. Fluid 14 (1970) 2069.

[16] J. P. Boris, Comments Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion 3 (1977) 1.

[17] R. Betti, R. L. McCrory, C. P. Verdon, Physical Review Letters 71 (1993) 3131.

[18] S. Kawata, Y. Iizuka, Y. Kodera, A. I. Ogoyski, T. Kikuchi, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 606 (2009) 152.

[19] S. Kawata, Physiscs of Plasmas 19 (2012) 024503.

[20] A. R. Piriz, L. D. Lucchio, G. R. Prieto, Physics of Plasmas 18 (2011) 012702.

[21] A. R. Piriz, J. Sanz, L. Ibanez, Physics of Plasmas 4 (1997) 1117.

[22] E. Courant, H. Snyder, Annals of Physics 3 (1958) 1.

[23] H. Takabe, K. Mima, L. Montierth, R. L. Morse, Phys. Fluids 28 (1985) 3676.

[24] H. Qin, R. C. Davidson, Physical Review Special Topics - Accelerators and Beams 9 (2006) 054001.

[25] H. Qin, R. C. Davidson, Physical Review Letters 96 (2006) 085003.

[26] R. C. Davidson, H. Qin, Physics of Intense Charged Particle Beams in High Energy Accelerators, World Scientific, Singapore, p. 82.

[27] H. Qin, R. C. Davidson, Physical Review Special Topic - Accelerators and Beams 12 (2009) 064001.

[28] H. Qin, R. C. Davidson, Phys. Plasmas 16 (2009) 050705.

[29] H. Qin, M. Chung, R. C. Davidson, Physical Review Letters 103 (2009) 224802.

The Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory is operated
by Princeton University under contract
with the U.S. Department of Energy.

Information Services
Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
P.O. Box 451
Princeton, NJ 08543

Phone: 609-243-2245
Fax: 609-243-2751
e-mail: pppl_info@pppl.gov
Internet Address: http://www.pppl.gov