PPPL-4267

PPPL-4267

Stabilization of the Vertical Mode in Tokamaks by Localized Nonaxisymmetric Fields

A. Reiman

October 2007





Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-76CH03073.

Full Legal Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Trademark Disclaimer

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

PPPL Report Availability

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory:

http://www.pppl.gov/techreports.cfm

Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI):

http://www.osti.gov/bridge

Related Links:

U.S. Department of Energy

Office of Scientific and Technical Information

Fusion Links

Stabilization of the Vertical Mode in Tokamaks by Localized Nonaxisymmetric Fields

A. Reiman

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ 08543

Vertical instability of a tokamak plasma can be controlled by nonaxisymmetric magnetic fields localized near the plasma edge at the bottom and top of the torus. The required magnetic fields can be produced by a relatively simple set of parallelogram-shaped coils.

The tokamak has evolved from an axisymmetric device with circular cross-section to an axisymmetric device with strongly shaped cross-section. It is natural to ask whether it would be advantageous to add some nonaxisymmetric shaping. There are already some experimental studies in that direction showing that edge localized modes (ELMs) can be stabilized using non-axisymmetric fields.[1] This was also the line of reasoning, in part, that motivated the physics design study for the US National Compact Stellarator Experiment (NCSX) (Ref. [2] and references therein), presently under construction. In this paper we show that vertical instability of the tokamak plasma, which imposes an important constraint on tokamak design, can be controlled by nonaxisymmetric magnetic fields localized near the plasma edge at the bottom and top of the torus. The required magnetic fields can be produced by a relatively simple set of parallelogram-shaped coils.

As we will discuss below, the free energy driving the vertical instability in tokamaks increases with increasing vertical elongation of the plasma cross-section. On the other hand, empirically derived global confinement scaling laws for tokamaks find that confinement improves with increasing vertical elongation. Similarly, the Troyon scaling law for plasma stability predicts an increase in the β limit for ballooning and kink modes with increasing elongation. There is evidence that the benefits of increasing elongation diminish and perhaps disappear altogether at sufficiently high elongation[3], but the elongation at which this occurs is well above that at which the largest present day tokamaks can routinely operate. Contemporary tokamaks typically operate in a regime where a conducting wall surrounding the plasma stabilizes the vertical mode, with the mode remaining unstable on the resistive time scale of the wall. Feedback stabilization is used to suppress the resistive mode. Disruptions due to vertical instability (called "vertical displacement events" or "VDEs") are caused by accidental crossing of the ideal instability threshold during a shot, failure of the feedback control system, etc. Disruptions initiated by other instabilities often culminate in a vertical mode. (See Ref. [4] and references therein).

There have been a number of calculations of the stabilization of current-driven ideal MHD modes by stellarator fields ([5,6] and references therein). The results have usually been expressed in terms of the rotational transform ($= \iota = 1/q$, where *q* is the safety factor) of the stellarator vacuum field. The nonaxisymmetric fields discussed in this paper are not stellarator fields, in the sense that they do not have closed vacuum flux surfaces (they do not produce closed flux surfaces in the absence of a plasma current), and they do not generate vacuum rotational transform.

Three-dimensional magnetic fields provide control over field line properties not available in axisymmetric configurations such as tokamaks. In an axisymmetric configuration, field lines cannot circle the magnetic axis in the absence of a net toroidal plasma current. The generation of vacuum flux surfaces and rotational transform requires global threedimensional magnetic fields, which employ the control provided by nonaxisymmetry along the entire field line trajectory. In this paper, we take advantage of the control provided by three-dimensional fields to target the physics of the vertical instability more directly, providing stabilization by a localized three-dimensional magnetic field. Stellarator fields are generally produced either by helical coils that wind around the plasma, or by modular coils, which have the appearance of three-dimensionally deformed toroidal field coils. The coils that we describe here for generating our localized threedimensional field are simpler, and they do not link the plasma. They could potentially be installed on existing tokamaks.

The physical mechanism driving the vertical instability in a tokamak can be understood by treating the plasma as a large aspect-ratio, current-carrying conductor in a vertical magnetic field. (See Ref. [7] and references therein.) Adopting the conventional cylindrical coordinates (\mathbf{R} , ϕ , z), ϕ is the toroidal angle, and \mathbf{R} is the radial coordinate. If the conductor is displaced slightly in the vertical direction, the sign of the resulting force is determined by the sign of $\partial B_R / \partial z$. The quadrupole component of the externally generated field exerts a pressure that controls the ellipticity through the magnitude and sign of $\partial B_z / \partial R$. For an axisymmetric quadrupole field the sign of $\partial B_R / \partial z$ is determined by the sign of $\partial B_z / \partial R$, so that a field that increases the vertical elongation produces a destabilizing change in $\partial B_R / \partial z$.

Allowing the magnetic field to be nonaxisymmetric decouples $\partial B_R / \partial z$ from $\partial B_z / \partial R$. To stabilize the vertical mode, we add a nonaxisymmetric field whose appropriately averaged value of $\partial B_R / \partial z$ in the plasma is stabilizing.

Fu has analytically calculated the stabilization of the vertical mode by a stellarator field for a large aspect-ratio, low β , elliptically shaped plasma using the stellarator expansion[5]. The analytical stability criterion has been found to agree well with numerical calculations[6]. The analytical calculation assumed a uniform current density, and it assumed a nonaxisymmetric vacuum field that generates a spatially uniform rotational transform. The stability criterion was expressed in terms of the fraction of vacuum rotational transform, $f \equiv t_{vac}/t$, needed for stabilization.

The calculation described in this paper differs from that of Ref. [5] in using a localized nonaxisymmetric magnetic field that does not produce vacuum rotational transform. As in Ref [5] (and as in much of the analytical work on tokamak vertical instabilities (Ref. [7] and references therein)) we assume a large aspect ratio plasma that is well approximated by a cylindrical plasma with periodic boundary conditions at z=0 and $z = L = 2\pi R_0$, we take $\beta = 0$, and we assume a uniform equilibrium current density in the

plasma. In the following, when we use the term "nonaxisymmetry" in the context of the large aspect ratio limit it should be taken to mean "z-dependent". We pursue the analytical calculation with these simplifying assumptions for the purpose of demonstrating the physics of the stabilization, and to obtain an estimate of the required magnitude of the nonaxisymmetric field for stabilization.

To construct coils, we first consider surface currents on two ribbons defined by $y = \pm y_c$, $w/2 \le x \le w/2$, where we take the *y* axis to be in the vertical direction, the *x* axis in the horizontal direction, and the *z* axis to be parallel to the cylindrical plasma. Letting **K** denote the surface current, we can write **K** in terms of a current potential, $\mathbf{K} = \nabla \times (u\hat{y})$. We will focus primarily on the surface current on the upper ribbon and the field produced by that current. The surface current on the lower ribbon and the associated field will follow by imposing stellarator symmetry. This symmetry property, which is generally satisfied by stellarators, dictates that $u_{-}(x,z) = u_{+}(x,-z)$, where the "+" and "-" subscripts denote the current potentials on the upper and lower ribbon respectively. We will suppress the "+" subscript in the following when we are focusing on the upper ribbon. We will be interested in relatively localized fields, whose magnitude dies off rapidly as a function of distance from the coil, so that the nonlinear effects of the magnetic fields produced by the two sets of coils will be important in nonoverlapping regions, allowing us to calculate the nonlinear effects due to the two sets of coils separately.

In the interior of the upper ribbon we take $u(x,z) = u(x - \alpha z)$, where α is a constant. Let N be the number of periods in the toroidal direction. That is, u is periodic in z with periodicity length $2\pi R_0 / N$, $N \ge 1$. Fourier decomposing with respect to z, and taking u to be even in z, we get $u(x,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n \cos[n(k_x x + k_z z)]$ where $k_z = N / R_0$, $k_x = -k_z / \alpha$. If $u_0 \ne 0$, the delta function current on each edge has a nonzero axisymmetric component. which may be canceled by nearby axisymmetric poloidal field coils if desired.

The vacuum field produced by the surface current can be expressed in terms of a scalar potential, $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \chi$, $\nabla^2 \chi = 0$. For $y < y_c$, and w/2 - |x| sufficiently large relative to $|y_c - y|$, the nonaxisymmetric part of the scalar potential is

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_n \exp(nky) \cos[n(k_x x + k_z z)], \qquad (1)$$

where k_x and k_z are as defined above, $k = (k_x^2 + k_z^2)^{1/2}$, and $\chi_n = \mu_0 u_n \exp(-nky_c)/2$. We will make the simplifying assumption that w is sufficiently large that we can use this expression throughout the region of interest in the plasma.

For values of *k* that are of interest, at most a few of the low order Fourier modes in Eq. (1) have a significant effect on the field in the plasma. The magnitudes of the higher harmonics decrease rapidly as a function of distance from the coils. A particularly simple set of coils can be obtained if *u* is taken to have the form of a square wave, $u(x, z) = (\pi/4)u_1 \{ sgn[cos(k_x x + k_z z)] - 1 \}$, where sgn(s) is 1 for s>0, -1 for s<0. This gives a set of filamentary coils in the shape of parallelograms. Fig. 1 is a view from above. For the plasma equilibrium, we use the stellarator expansion.[10] Let \mathbf{B}_c be the field produced by the nonaxisymmetric coils, \mathbf{B}_p the field produced by the plasma current and axisymmetric poloidal field coils in the absence of \mathbf{B}_c , and $B_t \hat{z}$ the field produced by the toroidal field coils. The stellarator expansion assumes $B_t \gg B_c \gg B_p$. To zeroth order, the magnetic field line trajectories are straight lines in the z direction. To first order, each field line sees a sinusoidally varying B_{cx} and B_{cy} along its path, causing the field lines to spiral helically about the unperturbed orbit. The order B_c^2 effect arises from the fact that the helical field line trajectories see a larger \mathbf{B}_c perturbation when they are at larger values of y. This produces a net drift of the field lines in the $\pm \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ direction. The method of averaging handles this secular contribution by averaging the second order effects over a period and constructing an effective axisymmetric field that includes these effects[11]. We will denote this averaged field by $\overline{\mathbf{B}}_c$. The validity of this treatment requires that Δx , the drift of the field line over one period, satisfy $k_x \Delta x \ll 1$. This gives an additional condition for the validity of the stellarator expansion: $(k_x/k_z)(B_c/B) \ll 1$.

To simplify the stability analysis, we take $k(y_c - a) >> 1$, where *a* is the minor radius of the plasma, so that only the lowest harmonic of Eq. (1) needs to be retained in the plasma. The averaged nonaxisymmetric field is then calculated to be $\overline{\mathbf{B}}_c = \nabla \psi_c \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}$, with $\psi_c = -\exp(2ky)\chi_1^2 k_x k/(2k_z B_t)$.

Taking $B_t > 0$, the averaged nonaxisymmetric field is in the $\mp \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ direction, depending on the sign of k_x / k_z , and its magnitude increases with increasing y. From the discussion of the physics of the vertical instability, above, we expect that this will be stabilizing for the vertical mode if $j_z k_x / k_z > 0$, where j_z is the z component of the current density. To provide a more rigorous and quantitative evaluation of the vertical stability, we use the energy principle[12] in the form $\delta W = \delta W_p + \delta W_y$, where

 $\delta W_p = (1/2) \int_p \left(|Q|^2 / \mu_0 - \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{Q} \right) d^3 x \text{ is an integral over the plasma volume,}$ $\delta W_V = (1/2) \int_V \left[(B_V^{(1)})^2 / \mu_0 \right] d^3 x \text{ is an integral over the vacuum region, } \mathbf{Q} \equiv \nabla \times (\boldsymbol{\xi} \times \mathbf{B}),$ $\boldsymbol{\xi} \text{ is the plasma displacement, and } \mathbf{B}_V^{(1)} \text{ is the field perturbation in the vacuum region. In evaluating } \delta W_V, \text{ we take the boundary condition at infinity, with no stabilization due to conductors outside the plasma.}$

It follows from the work of Johnson and Greene [13] that, under the assumptions of the stellarator approximation, the equilibrium field **B** can be replaced by $\mathbf{B}_p + \mathbf{B}_c$ in the above expression for δW_p . The vacuum field perturbation in δW_v can be determined from the averaged perturbed plasma boundary, which is determined by $\mathbf{B}_p + \mathbf{B}_c$ and ξ .

We will assume that the boundary of the plasma cross-section is approximately circular, with a small elliptical perturbation of the boundary shape and a small perturbation of the boundary shape due to the nonaxisymmetric field. It is convenient to use both cylindrical coordinates (r, θ, z) and Cartesian coordinates (x, y, z) in the stability calculation. The algebra is simplified somewhat by taking $\theta = 0$ to lie along the y axis, so that $y = r \cos(\theta)$, $x = -r \sin(\theta)$.

Stability is determined by the sign of δW , with the equilibrium unstable if $\delta W < 0$. For the zeroth order equilibrium, a cylindrical plasma with circular cross-section, we Fourier transform ξ with respect to *z* and θ . The cross terms in δW between the ξ_{nm} with different *m* and/or *n* vanish, and δW can be evaluated independently for each ξ_{nm} , where *m* is the poloidal mode number and *n* is the toroidal mode number. For an equilibrium with uniform current density, $\delta W > 0$ for n = 0, m > 1. For n = 0, m = 1, the $\xi_{0,1}(r)$ which minimizes δW corresponds to a rigid shift of the plasma, and gives $\delta W = 0$.

Introducing a small nonaxisymmetric field, as described above, plus a small axisymmetric elliptical distortion of the plasma boundary, the averaged equilibrium field is $\mathbf{B}_p + \mathbf{B}_c = \nabla \psi \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} + B_t \hat{\mathbf{z}}$, where $\psi = \psi_0 \{ r^2 [1 - 2\varepsilon_e \cos(2\theta)] + \varepsilon_c a^2 \exp[2k(y-a)] \}$, ψ_0 is a constant, and $\psi_0 \varepsilon_c = -\exp(2ka)\chi_1^2 k_x k / (2B_t a^2 k_z)$.

For $\varepsilon_c = 0$, the equilibrium is vertically unstable when $\varepsilon_e > 0$, corresponding to vertical elongation of the plasma.[7] To calculate the stability for $\varepsilon_c \neq 0$ we evaluate δW_p and δW_v . The averaged equilibrium field that goes into these expressions is axisymmetric, so we can Fourier transfer ξ as a function of $\phi = z/R_0$ and analyze the stability independently for each ξ_n . We are interested in n = 0.

It can be expected that the displacement ξ that minimizes δW will depend on ε_c . For $\varepsilon_c = 0$, $\varepsilon_e = 0$, restricting consideration to n = 0 displacements, the rigid displacement gives a local (and global) minimum of δW . It follows that if we add an $O(\varepsilon)$ perturbation to $\xi = \xi_0 \hat{y}$, the resulting change in δW is $O(\varepsilon^2)$. On the other hand, we will see that an $O(\varepsilon)$ perturbation of ψ produces an $O(\varepsilon)$ change in δW . It follows that we can evaluate the $O(\varepsilon)$ change in δW using $\xi = \xi_0 \hat{y}$. This result is closely related to the well known property of the variational formulation of eigenvalue equations that an $O(\varepsilon)$ error in the eigenfunction gives an $O(\varepsilon^2)$ error in the eigenvalue.

The perturbed field in the plasma produced by the displacement $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \xi_0 \hat{\mathbf{y}}$ is $\mathbf{B}_p^{(1)} = \mathbf{Q} = \nabla \times (\psi_p^{(1)} \hat{\mathbf{z}})$, where $\psi_p^{(1)} = -\xi_0 \partial \psi / \partial y$. The continuity of $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ at the plasmavacuum interface (where $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is the unit normal to the interface) is equivalent to requiring $\psi_V^{(1)} = \psi_p^{(1)}$ at the interface, where $\mathbf{B}_V^{(1)} = \nabla \times (\psi_V^{(1)} \hat{\mathbf{z}})$. In the vacuum region, $\nabla^2 \psi_V^{(1)} = 0$, so that $\psi_{V}^{(1)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \psi_{Vm}^{(1)} (r/a)^{-m} \cos(m\theta)$. To lowest order, matching $\psi_{V}^{(1)} = \psi_{p}^{(1)}$ at the plasma boundary gives $\psi_{V}^{(1)} = -2\xi_{0}\psi_{0}(a^{2}/r)\cos\theta$. The $m \neq 1$ terms in $\psi_{V}^{(1)}$ are O(ϵ). It is straightforward to verify that the contributions of the $m \neq 1$ terms to δW_{V} are O(ϵ^{2}). It follows that we need only concern ourselves with the m = 1 term in $\psi_{V}^{(1)}$. Having calculated the perturbed field in the plasma and in the vacuum region, we can evaluate δW . We find

$$\delta W = 4V_p (\xi_0 \psi_0)^2 \left\{ \varepsilon_c \exp(-2ka) [2kaI_1(2ka) - I_0(2ka) - 2I_2(2ka)] - \varepsilon_e \right\},$$

where I_j is the modified Bessel function of the *j*th kind. For large ka, $I_j(2ka) \approx \exp(2ka) / (4\pi ka)^{1/2}$, giving $\delta W \approx 4V_p (\xi_0 \psi_0)^2 [\varepsilon_c (ka / \pi)^{1/2} - \varepsilon_e]$.

Expressing the stability condition in terms of the maximum value of B_c/B in the plasma = $\max_p(B_c/B)$, we get

$$\max_{p} (B_{c} / B)^{2} > (a / R_{0})(k_{z} / k_{x})(\pi ka)^{1/2} \varepsilon_{e} / q$$

for stability. With the condition on the validity of the stellarator expansion that $(k_x/k_z)(B_c/B) \ll 1$, we get $\max_p (B_c/B) \gg (a/R_0)(\pi ka)^{1/2} \varepsilon_e/q$. For $R_0/a \approx 3$ and $q \approx 3$ we need $\max_p (B_c/B) \ge 0.1$ to see a substantial stabilization effect.

When adding nonaxisymmetric fields to an axisymmetric device, loss of flux surfaces and of good particle drift trajectories are concerns. By taking *ka* large, we localize the effect to the plasma edge region. Experiments indicate that some loss of flux surfaces and of confinement near the edge is helpful for suppressing edge localized modes (ELMs)[1]. It is not known at this time what the optimal magnitude of the nonaxisymmetric perturbation is for this purpose. It is also of note that for a tokamak with a double-null divertor, localizing the perturbation near the x-points tends to minimize its effect on the Melnikov integral, reducing the width of the stochastic region produced near the separatrix.[14]

It is instructive to consider the addition of nonaxisymmetric parallelogram-shaped coils on the sides of the plasma rather than on the top and bottom. ψ_c is then a function of x rather than of y, and $\boldsymbol{\xi} \times \mathbf{B}_c = \xi_0 \hat{\mathbf{y}} \times \mathbf{B}_c$ vanishes, so the coils have no effect on the vertical mode.

Next consider a configuration that has sets of parallelogram-shaped coils both on the sides of the plasma and on the top and bottom. We again assume that *ka* is sufficiently large that the nonlinear effects of the magnetic fields produced by the different sets of coils are significant in nonoverlapping regions. The field produced by the coils on the sides does not affect the vertical stability. Constructing the side coils so that their filaments along the edges of the winding surface overlap filaments of the bottom and top coils, and adding also an axisymmetric filamentary current (which can be canceled by a nearby poloidal field coil), we can construct a set of nonaxisymmetric coils which is equivalent to a helical stellarator winding. Removing the overlapping filaments whose

currents cancel, what remains is a set of conventional stellarator windings, wrapped on a prism with rectangular cross-section, which produce vacuum surfaces and a vacuum rotational transform. Their effect on the vertical mode is the same as that of the simpler sets of parallelogram-shaped coils lying on the top and bottom.

By combining the top and bottom coils with the parallelogram-shaped coils on the outer (right) side only, and adding the axisymmetric filamentary current on the upper right edge, we can construct a set of Furth-Hartman coils[8] whose vertical stability properties are the same as those for the top and bottom coils alone.

Finally, we can conjecture about the nonlinear behavior of the vertical instability in the presence of the nonaxisymmetric field. The δW analysis calculates the response to an infinitesimal perturbation, and it depends on $\partial \overline{B}_{cx} / \partial y$. A finite vertical excursion of the plasma sees an exponential increase in \overline{B}_{cx} , and this suggests that the nonaxisymmetric field can prevent large vertical excursions of the plasma even for equilibria that are linearly unstable to the vertical mode. This also suggests that, although the linear stabilization described here can be obtained with only a single set of nonaxisymmetric coils either at the top or bottom of the plasma, it is likely desirable to have both sets of coils for suppression of finite vertical excursions.

In conclusion, the analysis of this paper finds that the addition of a relatively simple set of parallelogram-shaped nonaxisymmetric coils can improve the stability of tokamaks to vertical modes, providing stable equilibria with more highly elongated cross-sections and potentially leading to devices with improved performance in terms of beta limits and/or confinement. Furth-Hartman coils are calculated to have essentially the same vertical stabilization effect as the simple parallelogram-shaped coils described here, so that the vertical stabilization demonstrated experimentally by Furth-Hartman coils [9] supports the feasibility of stabilizing vertical modes by the simpler coil set. The physical picture that we have presented for the stabilization suggests that the stability properties do not depend on the precise shape of the coils, so that the coil winding surface can be curved to conform to the local shape of the plasma, if desired, or curvature of the coils can be introduced to optimize relative to other considerations.

This work was supported by DOE contract DE-AC02-76CH03073.

References

- [1] T.E. Evans et al., Nature Phys. 2, 419 (2006).
- [2] A. Reiman et al., Phys. Plasmas 8, 2083 (2001).
- [3] F. Hofmann *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 2918 (1998); A. D. Turnbull *et al.*, Nucl. Fusion **28**, 1379 (1988).
- [4] R.Granetz et al, Nucl. Fusion **36**, 545 (1996).
- [5] G. Y. Fu, Phys. Plasmas, 7, 1079 (2000).
- [6] G. Y. Fu, et al., Fusion Sci. and Tech. 51, 218 (2007).
- [7] J.A. Wesson, Nucl. Fusion 18, 87 (1978).
- [8] H. Furth and C. Hartman, Phys. Fluids 11, 408 (1968).
- [9] H. Ikezi, et al., Phys. Fluids 22, 2009 (1979); A. Janos, Ph.D. thesis, M.I.T., (1980).
- [10] J. Greene and J. Johnson, Phys. Fluids 4, 875 (1961).
- [11] K. Miyamoto, MIT Press (1980).
- [12] I.Bernstein et al., Proc. R. Soc. London 244, 17 (1958).
- [13] J. Johnson and J. Greene, Phys. Fluids 4, 1417 (1961).
- [14] B. Chirikov, Phys. Reports 52, 265 (1979).

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. View from above showing parallelogram-shaped coils above a length of cylindrical plasma, with portions of the coils below the plasma also visible. The arrows indicate the direction of current flow in the coils.



FIG. 1

The Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory is operated by Princeton University under contract with the U.S. Department of Energy.

> Information Services Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory P.O. Box 451 Princeton, NJ 08543

Phone: 609-243-2750 Fax: 609-243-2751 e-mail: pppl_info@pppl.gov Internet Address: http://www.pppl.gov