


**Performance of the
Solid-state Neutral Particle Analyzer Array
on the National Spherical Torus Experiment**

D. Liu, W.W. Heidbrink, D.S. Darrow, A.L. Roquemore,
S.S. Medley, and K. Shinohara

June 2006



Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

Report Disclaimers

Full Legal Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Trademark Disclaimer

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

PPPL Report Availability

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

This report is posted on the U.S. Department of Energy's Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Publications and Reports web site in Fiscal Year 2006.

The home page for PPPL Reports and Publications is:

http://www.pppl.gov/pub_report/

Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI):

Available electronically at: <http://www.osti.gov/bridge>.

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors, in paper from:

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062

Telephone: (865) 576-8401

Fax: (865) 576-5728

E-mail: reports@adonis.osti.gov

Performance of the solid-state neutral particle analyzer array on the National Spherical Torus Experiment

D. Liu, W. W. Heidbrink

University of California at Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

D. S. Darrow, A. L. Roquemore, S. S. Medley

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey, 08543, USA

K. Shinohara

Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Naka, Ibaraki, 311-0193, Japan

ABSTRACT

The Solid State Neutral Particle Analyzer (SSNPA) array on the National Spherical Torus Experiment (NSTX) consists of four chords with tangency radii 60, 90, 100 and 120 cm that view across the three co-injection neutral beam lines. Each chord utilizes a silicon photodiode that is coupled to fast digitizers to measure the energy distribution of charge exchange fast neutral particles (30~100 keV). By the end of the NSTX 2005 experimental campaign, the electromagnetic noise in the SSNPA was reduced by half and reasonable signals were obtained with good electromagnetic shielding, fast digitization of raw signals, software-based pulse height analysis and pulse shape discrimination. Energy resolution of ~10 keV and time resolution of 2 ms have been achieved. Temporal evolutions of energetic neutral flux obtained with the SSNPA are in good agreement with those obtained with the E||B type Neutral Particle Analyzer (NPA). With these improvements, the SSNPA can be used to study magnetohydrodynamic instabilities and fast ion redistribution. Example data from plasma discharges will be presented along with the noise reduction techniques and post-shot pulse height analysis (PHA) methods.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Neutral Particle Analyzer (NPA) is a well-established diagnostic used to measure the energy distribution of charge exchange neutral particles escaping from plasmas to deduce the fast ion energy and spatial distribution inside the plasmas. Such information is important for studying fast ion confinement and transport mechanisms both in quiescent plasmas and in the presence of magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) activity. The conventional "E-parallel-to-B" (E||B) type NPA[1] uses a stripping cell to reionize the neutrals and electric and magnetic fields to deflect the particles onto a microchannel plate detector. But the significant size and cost of this diagnostic make it difficult to build a multichannel system. Recently, compact semiconductor detectors[2] (natural diamond detectors and silicon diodes) have been proposed as an alternative way of measuring the energy of charge exchange neutrals and have been tested on several magnetic fusion experiments[3-8]. When a neutral particle impacts a semiconductor detector, it produces a pulse of electron-hole pairs that is converted to a voltage pulse by a charge sensitive preamplifier and amplified by a second stage amplifier. The final pulse height is linearly related to the incident particle energy. In this way, the energy spectrum of the escaping neutral particles can be obtained through analog circuits or digital pulse height analysis. Since the silicon diode has higher carrier collection efficiency and lower cost than diamond, a prototype of the Solid State Neutral Particle Analyzer (SSNPA) array[9] which utilizes silicon photodiode detectors was installed on the National Spherical Torus Experiment (NSTX) in 2004. Several layers of electromagnetic (EM) shielding were provided for the detectors and flight tubes, but the SSNPA array still picked up large EM noise. Also, the second stage amplifier has a single-ended input that requires a very quiet ground, which is not the case for NSTX. Due to the above reasons, the SSNPA energy spectrum is contaminated making it difficult to get useful or detailed information from the measured energy spectrum. In this article, noise reduction techniques for the SSNPA and a software-based pulse height analysis method are described. Initial measurement results of fast neutral particle profiles on NSTX are shown in Section IV.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

A. Electromagnetic shielding

The SSNPA array on NSTX consists of four chords with tangency radii 60, 90, 100 and 120 cm viewing across the three co-injection neutral beam lines. The layout of the SSNPA and a diagram of original electronic circuits are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 of Ref. 9. The electronics before the second stage amplifier, including the detector, the preamplifier and a long signal cable are most sensitive to EM pickup since they are very close to strong magnetic fields from the toroidal and poloidal coils (10 cm from the toroidal field coils and 50 cm from the poloidal field coils) and any EM pickup will be amplified by the second stage amplifier. Several improvements were made in the NSTX 2005 experimental campaign: (1) low capacitance and low dark current AXUVHS5 detectors[10] were carefully selected since they will finally determine the energy resolution and low-energy limit of the measurable energy range; (2) the position of the preamplifiers was rearranged so that each preamplifier was attached to the detector directly without any cable so no additional capacitance was added to the preamplifier input side; (3) preamplifiers and connectors were shielded in a copper box that was grounded to the instrument rack; (4) the cables between the preamplifier and the amplifier were shortened from 10 to 7 meters and the coaxial signal cable was replaced by a shielded twisted-pair cable; (5) the cables for one chord that consist of one bundle were gently twisted and covered with a grounded braid and magnetic shielding foil (METGLAS 2605CO)[11]; (6) the four bundles were put in an aluminum conduit which was also grounded to the instrument rack and a wide bandwidth transformer was inserted in series with the signal cable to eliminate possible ground loops. With these improvements, the detector, preamplifier and cables have good EM shielding that significantly reduced the noise pickup in plasma discharges. The block diagram of the revised SSNPA setup is shown in Fig. 1. A charge sensitive preamplifier (CANBERRA 2003BT) with a sensitivity of 20 mV/MeV, a fast shaping amplifier (ORTEC 471) with shaping time $0.5 \mu\text{s}$ and two digitizer boards (that will be described below) are used in the current SSNPA array design.

B. Fast digitization of raw signals

In the NSTX 2005 experimental campaign, the conventional analog pulse height analysis module of the SSNPA was replaced by a digital pulse height and shape analysis system. The output signal of the second stage amplifier was directly connected to a PCI digitizer board (EXACQ CH3160)[12] with an onboard memory of 64 MB. The digitizer board provides either one input channel with a sampling rate at 40 MHz, two input channels at 20 MHz or four input channels at 10 MHz. Input signals up to ± 5 V can be fed and the energy resolution is 12-bit. Standard TTL signals are used for triggering. Currently two digitizer boards are used at a 20 MHz sample rate for two channels on each board. Data is sampled continuously after a start trigger until either a preset time arrives or the onboard memory is full. Afterwards, the data are transferred to a local programmable computer. Dedicated Labview and IDL programs were developed for data acquisition and analysis, respectively. This novel digital approach provides several important features: accurate pulse height measurement, pulse shape discrimination, post experiment data re-processing, pulse pile-up identification and treatment and possible high count rate operation. In addition, this digitization method is also very useful in resolving noise sources. Through this digitization method, it was found that the EM noise has a fixed frequency related to the coil system used for resistive wall mode control[13].

III. SOFTWARE-BASED PULSE HEIGHT ANALYSIS

The software-based pulse height analysis consists of several stages: (a) filtering to remove low frequency noise and smooth the raw data; (b) rejection of pulses below a preset threshold; (c) peak location for pairs of adjacent overlapping pulses that exceed the threshold and (d) determination of the baseline, peak position, peak value and pulse width for individual pulses. The pulse height equals the peak value minus the baseline and each pulse is normalized to its pulse height and compared with the model pulse shape. If the reduced chi-squared is smaller than a specified value which means the measured pulse shape is very similar pulse model, that pulse is accepted as a true signal pulse. Otherwise, the pulse is rejected as EM noise. In contrast to a conventional analog PHA, this digitization method, in principle, also enables disentangling of

two overlapping pulses and determination of the pulse height for both pulses[14]. If any pulse width is larger than a certain maximum value, it is considered a possible pulse pile-up event. In such cases, the first signal is fitted up to the start of the second one. The analytical function obtained from the fit is subtracted, thus isolating the second pulse, which can then be separately analyzed. But this reconstruction still requires a minimum fit interval between two pulses in order to obtain stable results for the first pulse. An example of this digitization method is shown in Fig. 2 with (a) the raw signals with true peaks marked with a plus and noise marked with a diamond; (b) pulse shape comparison of the true signals (solid blue curve) with the pulse model (bold red curve); and (c) pulse shape comparison of noise (solid blue curves) with the pulse model (bold red curve). With this digitization method, pulse height is accurately measured and obvious noise pulses due to EM pickup are rejected. However, the ideal arrangement for the digitization method is to sample the raw signals after the preamplifiers[15]. Unfortunately, the available preamplifiers do not have sufficient gain (the output signals are only the order of a millivolt).

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS ON NSTX AND DISCUSSION

During calibration of the motional Stark effect diagnostic, neutral beams (NB) are injected into the NSTX vessel without a target plasma in what is referred to as gas-filled-torus shots. In these shots, some of the NB-injected particles are ionized by collisions with the residual gas and captured on the toroidal magnetic field lines. The electron density is very low, hence the slowing-down time is long and particle loss by charge exchange is significant. Therefore, the spectra obtained on a sightline close to the tangency radius of the injected NB should be the same as the injected neutral energy spectra. This can be used for energy calibration[6] or validity checking of the SSNPA performance. The solid black curve in Fig. 3 shows a typical measured energy spectrum obtained on Chord 1 of the SSNPA in the gas-filled-torus shot 118186 in which NB source A was injected at 90 keV. Full and half energy components of the injected NB (Deuterium) are clearly seen and an energy resolution of 10 keV is achieved.

From the measured energy spectrum, a high-energy tail above the injected beam energy is also observed. Since there is no plasma in gas-filled-torus shots and hence no neutron/gamma

radiation, the high-energy tail can only be caused by un-entangled pulse pile-up. A Monte-Carlo code[16] can be used to simulate pulse pile-up trains if the true count rate and spectrum are available. In our case, the true count rate can be obtained by numerical inversion from the paralyzable model[17] and the measured energy spectrum can be used as the initial estimate of the true spectrum. With iteration, the true energy spectrum can be inversely derived from the uncorrected experimental spectra. The dashed red curve in the Fig. 3 shows the derived true energy spectrum. It is clearly seen that pile-up will generate the high-energy tail, but this does not significantly distort the spectral shape below the injection energy. Examination of a number of discharges indicates that the fraction of pulses above the injection energy increases monotonically with count rate. Eight reproducible gas-filled-torus shots were chosen to estimate the coefficient between the pulse counts above the beam injected energy and the total neutral flux incident on the detector. Also, several shots with the closed SSNPA valve were used to eliminate the neutral flux onto the detectors and to estimate the neutron/gamma contribution to the SSNPA signals. As shown in Fig. 4, the empirical linear combination (red curve in Fig. 4b) of pulse pile-up (solid blue curve in Fig.4c) and neutron radiation (dashed green curve in Fig.4c) are in good agreement with the experimental pulse count evolution above the injected beam energy (solid black curve in Fig. 4a) which suggests that the high energy tail is due to the combined effects of pulse pile-up and background signal from neutron/gamma radiation.

Fig. 5 shows the temporal evolution of plasma current, injected NB power, neutron yield, 80 keV neutral flux measured by the E||B type NPA (with tangency radius 63.5 cm) and Chord 1 of the SSNPA (with tangency radius 60 cm). The NB (source A) blips are injected at a full energy of 90 keV with 10 ms on and 20 ms off. The signals of SSNPA Chord 1 are clearly synchronized to the modulation of the NB and the temporal evolution is similar to that obtained with the E||B NPA. During the NB off period, the slowing down of the beam ions is observed.

The maximum count rate of the present system is around 200 kcps, which is mainly limited by the second stage amplifier. An ultra-fast amplifier will increase the time resolution and improve the counting statistics. Cooling the detector and preamplifier can reduce detector leak current and increase SSNPA energy resolution but this is left for future work

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge Tom Holoman and Doug LaBrie for their helpful technical assistance. This work was supported at PPPL by DOE contract DE-AC02-76CH03073.

REFERENCES

- ¹ S.S. Medley and A. L. Roquemore, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **69**, 2651(1998)
- ² A.V. Krasilnikov, V.N. Amosov, and Yu. A. Kaschuck, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **45**, 385 (1998)
- ³ A.V. Krasilnikov *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **70**, 1107(1999)
- ⁴ M. Isobe, M.Sasao *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **72**, 611 (2001)
- ⁵ T. Yamamoto *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **72**, 615 (2001)
- ⁶ M. Osakabe *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **72**, 788 (2001)
- ⁷ A. G. Alekseyev *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **74**, 1905 (2003)
- ⁸ J. F. Lyon *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **74**, 1873 (2003)
- ⁹ K. Shinohara *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **75**, 3640(2004)
- ¹⁰ <http://www.ird-inc.com/axuvhigh.html>
- ¹¹ http://www.metglas.com/jp/products/page5_1_2_1.htm
- ¹² http://www.exacqdaq.com/ch3160_specs.html
- ¹³ S. A. Sabbagh *et al.*, Nucl. Fusion **46**, 635 (2006)
- ¹⁴ S. Marrone *et al.*, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A **490**,299(2002)
- ¹⁵ P.R.Goncharov *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **75**, 3613(2004)
- ¹⁶ R. P. Gardner *et al.*, “A Monte Carlo code for simulation of pulse pile-up spectral distortion in pulse-height measurement”, 53rd Denver X-ray Conference, 2004
- ¹⁷ G.F.Knoll, *Radiation Detection and measurement*, 2nd ed. (Wiley, New York, 1979)




Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the SSNPA electronics




Figure 2. (a) Raw data for chord 1 of the SSNPA with true signals marked with plus and noise marked with diamond; (b) pulse shape comparison of the true signals (solid blue curves) with the pulse model (bold red curve); and (c) pulse shape comparison of noise (solid blue curves) with the pulse model (bold red curve)




Figure 3. Measured energy spectrum (solid black curve) for chord 1 of the SSNPA in a gas-filled-torus shot and true energy spectrum (dashed red curve) inversely derived from Monte-Carlo simulation.





Figure 4. (a) Temporal evolution of counts above the injected beam energy; (b) the empirical linear combination of pulse pile-up and neutron/gamma radiation; and (c) contribution of pulse pile-up (dashed green curve) and neutron/gamma radiation (solid blue curve)



11

Figure 5. Temporal evolution of plasma current I_p , NB power, neutron yield, counts in chord 1 of the SSNPA (with tangency radius 60cm), and the E||B NPA signal (with tangency radius 63.5cm).

External Distribution

Plasma Research Laboratory, Australian National University, Australia
Professor I.R. Jones, Flinders University, Australia
Professor João Canalle, Instituto de Fisica DEQ/IF - UERJ, Brazil
Mr. Gerson O. Ludwig, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas, Brazil
Dr. P.H. Sakanaka, Instituto Fisica, Brazil
The Librarian, Culham Science Center, England
Mrs. S.A. Hutchinson, JET Library, England
Professor M.N. Bussac, Ecole Polytechnique, France
Librarian, Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Germany
Jolan Moldvai, Reports Library, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Central Research
Institute for Physics, Hungary
Dr. P. Kaw, Institute for Plasma Research, India
Ms. P.J. Pathak, Librarian, Institute for Plasma Research, India
Dr. Pandji Triadyaksa, Fakultas MIPA Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia
Professor Sami Cuperman, Plasma Physics Group, Tel Aviv University, Israel
Ms. Clelia De Palo, Associazione EURATOM-ENEA, Italy
Dr. G. Grosso, Istituto di Fisica del Plasma, Italy
Librarian, Naka Fusion Research Establishment, JAERI, Japan
Library, Laboratory for Complex Energy Processes, Institute for Advanced Study,
Kyoto University, Japan
Research Information Center, National Institute for Fusion Science, Japan
Professor Toshitaka Idehara, Director, Research Center for Development of Far-Infrared Region,
Fukui University, Japan
Dr. O. Mitarai, Kyushu Tokai University, Japan
Mr. Adefila Olumide, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria
Dr. Jiangang Li, Institute of Plasma Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, People's Republic of China
Professor Yuping Huo, School of Physical Science and Technology, People's Republic of China
Library, Academia Sinica, Institute of Plasma Physics, People's Republic of China
Librarian, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, People's Republic of China
Dr. S. Mirnov, TRINITI, Troitsk, Russian Federation, Russia
Dr. V.S. Strelkov, Kurchatov Institute, Russian Federation, Russia
Kazi Firoz, UPJS, Kosice, Slovakia
Professor Peter Lukac, Katedra Fyziky Plazmy MFF UK, Mlynska dolina F-2, Komenskeho Univerzita,
SK-842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia
Dr. G.S. Lee, Korea Basic Science Institute, South Korea
Dr. Rasulkhozha S. Sharafiddinov, Theoretical Physics Division, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Uzbekistan
Institute for Plasma Research, University of Maryland, USA
Librarian, Fusion Energy Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA
Librarian, Institute of Fusion Studies, University of Texas, USA
Librarian, Magnetic Fusion Program, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, USA
Library, General Atomics, USA
Plasma Physics Group, Fusion Energy Research Program, University of California at San Diego, USA
Plasma Physics Library, Columbia University, USA
Alkesh Punjabi, Center for Fusion Research and Training, Hampton University, USA
Dr. W.M. Stacey, Fusion Research Center, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Director, Research Division, OFES, Washington, D.C. 20585-1290

The Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory is operated
by Princeton University under contract
with the U.S. Department of Energy.

Information Services
Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
P.O. Box 451
Princeton, NJ 08543

Phone: 609-243-2750
Fax: 609-243-2751
e-mail: pppl_info@pppl.gov
Internet Address: <http://www.pppl.gov>