


**Fast Gas Injection as a Diagnostic Technique
for Particle Confinement Time Measurements**

T. Gray, R. Kaita, R. Majeski,
J. Spaleta, and J. Timberlake

June 2006



Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

Report Disclaimers

Full Legal Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Trademark Disclaimer

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

PPPL Report Availability

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

This report is posted on the U.S. Department of Energy's Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Publications and Reports web site in Fiscal Year 2006.

The home page for PPPL Reports and Publications is:

http://www.pppl.gov/pub_report/

Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI):

Available electronically at: <http://www.osti.gov/bridge>.

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors, in paper from:

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062

Telephone: (865) 576-8401

Fax: (865) 576-5728

E-mail: reports@adonis.osti.gov

Fast Gas Injection as a Diagnostic Technique for Particle Confinement Time Measurements

T. Gray, R. Kaita, R. Majeski, J. Spaleta, J. Timberlake

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

(Dated: May 15, 2006)

Abstract

The determination of the effective particle confinement time (τ_p^*), i.e., the particle confinement time normalized to recycling coefficient, is difficult when its value is long compared to the discharge duration in magnetically-confined plasmas. Recent experiments on the Current Drive Experiment Upgrade (CDX-U) spherical torus have successfully achieved a significant reduction in recycling with large-area liquid lithium plasma-facing surfaces. The low recycling walls result in an increase in particle pumping and make it possible to measure τ_p^* in short duration plasmas. Measurements of τ_p^* are made using a supersonic gas injector which is closely coupled to plasma. A fast gas pulse is emitted from the supersonic gas injector, after which the density decay is measured using a microwave interferometer. The design of the supersonic gas injector and its configuration on CDX-U will be presented. The results of this technique will be shown as applied to the study of the effects of a liquid lithium toroidal limiter and evaporative lithium coatings on overall plasma density and τ_p^* .

INTRODUCTION

Finding a first wall suitable for fusion reactors is a significant challenge. Liquid metals are an attractive option since they provide high heat handling as well as resistance to erosion, neutron activation, and radiation damage due to their constantly renewed natures. Lithium is particularly suited for this since it is low-Z and is required for the tritium cycle. Lithium has also been shown to pump and retain deuterium up to a 1:1 ratio in Li:D [1]. This ability to pump deuterium provides for low recycling of hydrogen and deuterium, which opens up a new operational regime for fusion devices.

The Current Drive Experiment-Upgrade (CDX-U) has been investigating the effects of liquid lithium limiters and plasma-facing components (PFC) on discharge performance. Significant improvements have been achieved, including a substantial reduction in wall recycling.

DESCRIPTION OF CDX-U AND LITHIUM SOURCES

CDX-U is a small spherical tokamak with a major radius of 34 cm and a minor radius of 22 cm. The toroidal field on the magnetic axis is 2.1 kG and the plasma current is ≤ 80 kA. Central electron temperatures are ~ 100 eV and central electron densities are $\sim 6 \times 10^{19}$ m⁻³. Discharges last on the order of 25 ms. In the past few years, research on CDX-U has been focused on liquid lithium limiters and PFC coatings and their affects on a plasma performance.

Prior experiments on CDX-U utilized a fully toroidal tray limiter filled with lithium located at the bottom of the vacuum vessel (see figure 1). The tray consisted of two 10 cm wide, 0.64 cm deep stainless steel halves that were centered at a radius of 34 cm. The two halves were electrically separated. Heaters attached to the underside of the tray provided temperature control up to 500°C. The lithium was liquefied and provided for a plasma-facing surface area of up to 2000 cm². Plasma discharges with the liquid lithium limiter showed a reduction in D_α and a significant increase in the amount of external deuterium gas required to maintain plasma density [2, 3].

Recent experiments focused on lithium evaporation to form lithium plasma facing surfaces. The first technique used a modified commercial 4 kV electron beam mounted on the

top of the vacuum vessel (see figure 1). The vertical and toroidal field coils were used to guide the electron beam to several positions on the lithium-filled toroidal tray. This system was used to both liquefy and evaporate the lithium in the tray. The temperature of the lithium was monitored by thermocouples installed in the tray. It varied from between $\sim 360^\circ\text{C}$ to $\sim 440^\circ\text{C}$. The electron beam was capable of generating a coating of $\sim 1000 \text{ \AA}$ at 85 cm between plasma discharges (every 5-6 minutes).

An additional source of evaporative lithium coatings was added at the end of the CDX-U run. A resistively heated oven evaporator was installed on the opposite side of the vessel from the e-beam (see figure 1). This oven evaporator provided center stack coatings during the final run of CDX-U. The oven evaporator was run continuously and was capable of deposition rates of $\sim 120 \text{ \AA}$ per minute at 85 cm.

Evaporative coatings were monitored with Inficon quartz crystal deposition monitors. Two monitors were placed at different toroidal locations to monitor the thickness of the evaporative lithium coatings.

Besides recycling from the wall, CDX-U has two forms of external fueling. The first is a standard gas puffer, based on a Veeco PV-10 piezoelectric valve. The conventional puffer is located on the top of the vessel at the wall. It has a throughput of 4.4×10^{21} D atoms per second, or 63 Torr-liters per second.

The second external fuel source is a supersonic gas injector (SGI). The SGI is also based on a PV-10 piezoelectric valve and is fitted with a Laval nozzle which enables the gas flow to reach supersonic speeds (see figure 2). The Laval nozzle of the SGI is a Mach 8 design for a large wind tunnel [4] scaled down to a .01 inch diameter throat. Offline characterization of the nozzle in conditions similar to operation show a Mach number of ~ 4 at the nozzle exit [5].

The SGI has a throughput of 2.2×10^{21} D atoms per second, or 31.5 Torr-liters per second. It is mounted on a movable vacuum bellows that allows the SGI to move radially. It is normally positioned within 1 cm of the last closed flux surface as defined by the limiter on the RF antenna. The SGI has a fast gas dynamic time and is responsive down to ~ 1 ms. Experimental measurements made during plasma operations point to a fueling efficiency of $\sim 25\%$. This is compared to $\sim 10\%$ of the conventional puffer.

MEASURING τ_p^*

In earlier experiments with liquid lithium on CDX-U it was found that the external gas puffers had to be run throughout the discharge to maintain the electron density (n_e) at levels comparable to discharges without lithium [2]. The liquid lithium surfaces were very effective at pumping the atomic deuterium working gas. The SGI was developed in response to this need as a means of more efficient external fueling. It also became apparent that it would be possible to obtain measurements of the effective particle confinement time τ_p^* .

If all external fueling is turned off, the average density \bar{n}_e will decay according to a simple exponential decay. The observed density decay can then be fit to the following form:

$$N_e = N_e(0) \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_p^*}\right). \quad (1)$$

τ_p^* is the density decay time of this exponential fit. It is intimately related to the recycling coefficient R and can also be expressed as

$$\tau_p^* = \frac{\tau_p}{1 - R}. \quad (2)$$

As $R \rightarrow 1$, $\tau_p^* \rightarrow \infty$ and as $R \rightarrow 0$, $\tau_p^* \rightarrow \tau_p$.

τ_p^* is usually much larger than τ_p since most tokamaks operate in a high recycling regime with $R \sim 1$. On CDX-U this is certainly the case; on shots with no lithium, it is impossible to measure τ_p^* since it is longer than the discharge time. However, when there is liquid lithium in the toroidal tray or there are fresh lithium PFC coatings, the particle pumping effect is large enough that τ_p^* can be measured.

The SGI was used to determine τ_p^* by injecting short pulses of deuterium gas fuel into the plasma. The fast gas dynamic time of the SGI enables it to have a rapid shutoff with relatively little “dribble,” making it ideal for the task. Before the peak in the plasma current, the gas feed was shut off. The decay of the line integrated density following the termination of gas was fitted to equation 1. An example of this can be seen in figure 3.

RESULTS FOR CDX-U PLASMAS

Following this method, values of τ_p^* were obtained for a variety of shots. As can be seen in figure 4, shots with liquid lithium had dramatically reduced values of τ_p^* . Consequently,

they were also capable of utilizing large amounts of external fueling. Larger values of τ_p^* were obtained when the lithium was solid and the time elapsed since the last heating and evaporation cycle was less than two days. It was not possible to measure τ_p^* for discharges in which the solid lithium had not been liquefied in the previous two days or when there was no lithium in the vessel at all.

On short duration discharges like those found on CDX-U, the effective particle confinement time τ_p^* can be difficult to measure since its value is long compared to the discharge duration. It can be reduced significantly by application of large amounts of liquid lithium to the PFC's. This reduction due to the low recycling lithium surfaces enables one to perform this measurement. A particle source that is closely coupled to the plasma, such as the SGI, is also necessary in making this measurement on a short duration plasma.

We acknowledge the efforts of J. Taylor of the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory. This work was supported by US DOE contract #DE-AC02-76CH-03073.

-
- [1] M. Baldwin, R. Doerner, S. Luckhardt, and R. Conn, *Nuclear Fusion* **42**, 1318 (2002).
 - [2] R. Kaita, R. Majeski, M. Boaz, P. Efthimion, G. Gettelfinger, T. Gray, D. Hoffman, S. Jardin, H. Kugel, and P. Marfuta, *Journal of Nuclear Materials* **337-339**, 872 (2005).
 - [3] R. Majeski, S. Jardin, R. Kaita, T. Gray, P. Marfuta, J. Spaleta, J. Timberlake, L. Zakharov, G. Antar, R. Doerner, et al., *Nuclear Fusion* **45**, 519 (2005).
 - [4] M. L. Baumgartner, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University (1997).
 - [5] V. A. Soukhanovskii, H. W. Kugel, R. Kaita, R. Majeski, and A. L. Roquemore, *Review of Scientific Instruments* **75**, 4320 (2004).




FIG. 1: Cutaway of CDX-U machine. A resistive oven lithium evaporator is located on one side of the vessel. An electron beam is mounted on the top of the other side. The e-beam is guided towards lithium in the toroidal tray limiter, where it either liquefies or evaporates the lithium. The conventional puffer is located on the top of the vessel near the e-beam, while the supersonic gas injector (SGI) is mounted radially at the midplane and is positioned near the last closed flux surface.




FIG. 2: Supersonic Gas Injector (SGI). The SGI is built around a Veeco PV-10 piezoelectric valve. It is mounted on the end of vacuum bellows which enables the nozzle to be positioned radially with respect to the plasma. The nozzle itself is based on a Mach 8 design and is constructed out of graphite, which allows it to be positioned in close proximity to the plasma.




FIG. 3: The top graph shows the line integrated density as measured by the microwave interferometer in gray and a fit of equation 1 to the density in black. The fitted value for τ_p^* for this particular shot is 3.54 ms. The bottom graph shows the integrated particle input from the external gas puffers. At $t = 222$ ms, the external gas feed was shut off and the density trace starts to drop.




FIG. 4: τ_p^* vs. external fueling. The number of D atoms injected is the amount added by the two external gas feeds. The shots represented by squares have relatively fresh cold clean lithium in the toroidal tray limiter. The x's represent discharges in which the lithium in the tray was liquified by means of the electron beam. The triangles represent discharges where the liquid lithium in the tray was heated by heaters mounted on the tray.

External Distribution

Plasma Research Laboratory, Australian National University, Australia
Professor I.R. Jones, Flinders University, Australia
Professor João Canalle, Instituto de Fisica DEQ/IF - UERJ, Brazil
Mr. Gerson O. Ludwig, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas, Brazil
Dr. P.H. Sakanaka, Instituto Fisica, Brazil
The Librarian, Culham Science Center, England
Mrs. S.A. Hutchinson, JET Library, England
Professor M.N. Bussac, Ecole Polytechnique, France
Librarian, Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Germany
Jolan Moldvai, Reports Library, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Central Research
Institute for Physics, Hungary
Dr. P. Kaw, Institute for Plasma Research, India
Ms. P.J. Pathak, Librarian, Institute for Plasma Research, India
Dr. Pandji Triadyaksa, Fakultas MIPA Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia
Professor Sami Cuperman, Plasma Physics Group, Tel Aviv University, Israel
Ms. Clelia De Palo, Associazione EURATOM-ENEA, Italy
Dr. G. Grosso, Istituto di Fisica del Plasma, Italy
Librarian, Naka Fusion Research Establishment, JAERI, Japan
Library, Laboratory for Complex Energy Processes, Institute for Advanced Study,
Kyoto University, Japan
Research Information Center, National Institute for Fusion Science, Japan
Professor Toshitaka Idehara, Director, Research Center for Development of Far-Infrared Region,
Fukui University, Japan
Dr. O. Mitarai, Kyushu Tokai University, Japan
Mr. Adefila Olumide, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria
Dr. Jiangang Li, Institute of Plasma Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, People's Republic of China
Professor Yuping Huo, School of Physical Science and Technology, People's Republic of China
Library, Academia Sinica, Institute of Plasma Physics, People's Republic of China
Librarian, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, People's Republic of China
Dr. S. Mirnov, TRINITI, Troitsk, Russian Federation, Russia
Dr. V.S. Strelkov, Kurchatov Institute, Russian Federation, Russia
Kazi Firoz, UPJS, Kosice, Slovakia
Professor Peter Lukac, Katedra Fyziky Plazmy MFF UK, Mlynska dolina F-2, Komenskeho Univerzita,
SK-842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia
Dr. G.S. Lee, Korea Basic Science Institute, South Korea
Dr. Rasulkhozha S. Sharafiddinov, Theoretical Physics Division, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Uzbekistan
Institute for Plasma Research, University of Maryland, USA
Librarian, Fusion Energy Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA
Librarian, Institute of Fusion Studies, University of Texas, USA
Librarian, Magnetic Fusion Program, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, USA
Library, General Atomics, USA
Plasma Physics Group, Fusion Energy Research Program, University of California at San Diego, USA
Plasma Physics Library, Columbia University, USA
Alkesh Punjabi, Center for Fusion Research and Training, Hampton University, USA
Dr. W.M. Stacey, Fusion Research Center, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Director, Research Division, OFES, Washington, D.C. 20585-1290

The Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory is operated
by Princeton University under contract
with the U.S. Department of Energy.

Information Services
Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
P.O. Box 451
Princeton, NJ 08543

Phone: 609-243-2750
Fax: 609-243-2751
e-mail: pppl_info@pppl.gov
Internet Address: <http://www.pppl.gov>