


PPPL-4155

PPPL-4155

**An Exact Magnetic Moment Invariant  
of Charged Particle Gyromotion**

Hong Qin and Ronald C. Davidson

March 2006



# **Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory**

## **Report Disclaimers**

---

### **Full Legal Disclaimer**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

### **Trademark Disclaimer**

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

## **PPPL Report Availability**

---

### **Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory**

This report is posted on the U.S. Department of Energy's Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Publications and Reports web site in Fiscal Year 2006.

The home page for PPPL Reports and Publications is:

[http://www.pppl.gov/pub\\_report/](http://www.pppl.gov/pub_report/)

### **Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI):**

Available electronically at: <http://www.osti.gov/bridge>.

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors, in paper from:

U.S. Department of Energy  
Office of Scientific and Technical Information  
P.O. Box 62  
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062

Telephone: (865) 576-8401

Fax: (865) 576-5728

E-mail: [reports@adonis.osti.gov](mailto:reports@adonis.osti.gov)

# An Exact Magnetic Moment Invariant of Charged Particle Gyromotion

Hong Qin and Ronald C. Davidson

*Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08543*

For the motion of a charged particle in a uniform, time-dependent axial magnetic field  $B(t)\mathbf{e}_z$ , it is shown that there is an exact magnetic moment invariant of the particle dynamics  $M$ , to which the adiabatic magnetic moment invariant  $\mu = mv_\perp^2/2B$  is asymptotic when the time-scale of the magnetic field variation is much slower than the gyro-period. The connection between the exact invariant  $M$  and the adiabatic invariant  $\mu$  enables us to characterize in detail the robustness of the adiabatic magnetic moment invariant  $\mu$ .

PACS numbers: 52.20.Dq, 52.30.Gz, 45.50.-j

The magnetic moment of a charged particle gyrating in a magnetic field  $B$  is defined as  $\mu \equiv mv_\perp^2/2B$ , where  $m$  is the particle mass and  $v_\perp$  is the perpendicular particle speed. As an adiabatic invariant of the particle motion in a magnetic field with slow variations in space and time [1], it is an important concept in plasma physics. Even though it is a classical result in plasma physics [1, 2], there is renewed interest in further development [3, 4]. In this paper, we demonstrate that there is an exact magnetic moment invariant  $M$  of a charged particle's gyromotion in a uniform, time-dependent magnetic field  $B(t)\mathbf{e}_z$ . We also prove that when the time-scale of the magnetic field variation is much slower than the gyro-period,  $|(\partial B/\partial t)(1/B)| \ll \omega_c \equiv |qB/mc|$ , the magnetic moment  $\mu$ , as an adiabatic invariant, is asymptotic to the exact invariant  $M$ . Furthermore, the connection between the exact invariant  $M$  and the adiabatic invariant  $\mu$  enable us to characterize in detail the robustness of the invariance of the magnetic moment  $\mu$ , which is an important theoretical underpinning for the magnetic confinement of fusion plasmas.

For present purpose, we consider the nonrelativistic motion of a charged particle with mass  $m$  and charge  $q$  in the uniform, time-dependent magnetic field  $\mathbf{B} = B(t)\mathbf{e}_z$  inside a long, tightly-wound solenoid aligned in the  $\mathbf{e}_z$  direction. In this geometry, the vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$  that generates  $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$  is

$$\mathbf{A} = A_\theta(r, t)\mathbf{e}_\theta = \frac{1}{2}B(t)r\mathbf{e}_\theta, \quad (1)$$

where  $r$  is the radial distance from the axis of the solenoid, and  $\mathbf{e}_\theta$  is a unit vector in the  $\theta$ -direction in the cylindrical polar coordinates  $(r, \theta, z)$ . The corresponding electric field determined from  $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -c^{-1}\partial\mathbf{B}/\partial t$  is

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial\mathbf{A}}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2c}\dot{B}(t)r\mathbf{e}_\theta, \quad (2)$$

where super-dot ( $\dot{\phantom{x}}$ ) denotes  $d/dt$ . In this field configuration, the  $z$ -motion of the particle is decoupled from the transverse motion and is described trivially by  $\ddot{z} = 0$ , corresponding to constant axial velocity with  $\dot{z} = v_z = \text{const}$ . On the other hand, the Lagrangian of the trans-

verse particle motion is

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \left(\frac{q}{c}\mathbf{A} + m\mathbf{v}_\perp\right) \cdot \mathbf{v}_\perp - \frac{1}{2}m\mathbf{v}_\perp^2 \\ &= \frac{q}{c}A_\theta r\dot{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}m\left(\dot{r}^2 + r^2\dot{\theta}^2\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The transverse canonical momenta associated with Eq. (3) are

$$P_\theta \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = \frac{qA_\theta}{c}r + mr^2\dot{\theta}, \quad (4)$$

$$P_r \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{r}} = m\dot{r}. \quad (5)$$

Because  $\partial L/\partial\theta = 0$ ,  $P_\theta$  is an invariant of the motion. This is of course an elementary result corresponding to the conservation of canonical angular momentum. However, there is another invariant that is associated with the radial dynamics, which is much less transparent. The equation for the dynamics of  $r(t)$  is readily shown to be

$$\ddot{r} + \Omega^2(t)r = \frac{P_\theta^2}{m^2r^3}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\Omega \equiv qB(t)/2mc$  is one-half of the instantaneous gyro-frequency (also called the Larmor frequency). To construct the expected invariant, we make use of the following result [5].

**Theorem 1.** *For an arbitrary function  $\kappa(t)$  and  $y_1, y_2$  satisfying*

$$\ddot{y}_1 + \kappa y_1 = \frac{c_1}{y_1^3}, \quad (7)$$

$$\ddot{y}_2 + \kappa y_2 = \frac{c_2}{y_2^3}, \quad (8)$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are real constants, the quantity

$$I = c_1 \left(\frac{y_2}{y_1}\right)^2 + c_2 \left(\frac{y_1}{y_2}\right)^2 + (y_2\dot{y}_1 - \dot{y}_2y_1)^2 \quad (9)$$

is an invariant with  $I = \text{const}$ . (independent of  $t$ ).

*Proof.* Calculating  $\dot{I} = dI/dt$  from Eqs. (7)-(8) readily

gives

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{I} &= 2(y_1 \dot{y}_2 - \dot{y}_1 y_2) \left[ \frac{c_1 y_2}{y_1^3} + \frac{c_2 y_1}{y_2^3} + (y_1 \ddot{y}_2 - \ddot{y}_1 y_2) \right] \\ &= 2(y_1 \dot{y}_2 - \dot{y}_1 y_2) [y_2 (\ddot{y}_1 + \kappa y_1) \\ &\quad - y_1 (\ddot{y}_2 + \kappa y_2) + (y_1 \ddot{y}_2 - \ddot{y}_1 y_2)] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Even though it is straightforward to prove the theorem directly, the form of the invariant is difficult to guess from elementary considerations. The invariant  $I$  in the form of Eq. (9) was first obtained by analyzing the symmetry properties of Eqs. (7) and (8) [5]. Symplectic structure and symmetry properties of the general non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems were studied by Struckmeier and Riedel [6, 7]. A constructive proof of Theorem 1 using elementary methods can be given through the following transformation [8]. Letting  $y_1(t) = y_2(t)z(t)$ , we rewrite Eq. (7) as

$$\ddot{y}_2 z + 2\dot{y}_2 \dot{z} + y_2 \ddot{z} + \kappa y_2 z = \frac{c_1}{y_2^3 z^3}. \quad (10)$$

If we choose  $y_2(t)$  to satisfy Eq. (8), then Eq. (10) becomes

$$\frac{c_2 z}{y_2^3} - \frac{c_1}{y_2^3 z^3} + 2\dot{y}_2 \dot{z} + y_2 \ddot{z} = 0,$$

which can be integrated once when multiplied by  $y_2^3 \dot{z}$  to give

$$c_2 z^2 + c_1 \frac{1}{z^2} + y_2^4 \dot{z}^2 = \text{const}. \quad (11)$$

Equation (11) is identical to Eq. (9). What is demonstrated by the above constructive proof is that there is a close connection (or a symmetry) between the solutions of Eqs. (7) and (8).

There are two ways to interpret the invariant  $I$ . First, it can be viewed as an invariant for a two-particle system despite the fact that the dynamics of the two particles is decoupled. Struckmeier and Riedel derived an exact invariant for 3D Hamiltonian systems of  $N$  particles confined within a general velocity-dependent potential [9, 10]. Another interpretation is that it is an invariant of the single-particle dynamics  $y_1(t)$ , constructed using a pre-calculated auxiliary function  $y_2(t)$ . At first glance, one may suspect the fact that  $I$  in the form of Eq. (9) is a valid invariant for the dynamics of  $y_1(t)$  described by Eq. (7) because  $I$  depends on the solution of  $y_2(t)$ . However, it is readily demonstrated that  $I$  is indeed a valid invariant for  $y_1(t)$  by the fact that  $y_2(t)$  is completely independent of Eq. (7). It is only necessary to pick a set of arbitrary initial conditions and  $c_2$  to solve for  $y_2(t)$  once, and this particular function can then be used to construct invariants for all of the particle dynamics described by Eq. (7) with different initial conditions and constant  $c_1$ . The functionality of  $y_2(t)$  occurring in

$I$  is similar to a special function defined by an ordinary differential equation, such as the Bessel function. It is of course common for an invariant to depend on one or several special functions and their derivatives. Furthermore, the invariant  $I$  can be viewed as a generalized Courant-Snyder invariant [11–13], which is a basic result regarding charged particle dynamics in a transverse focusing lattice in particle accelerators. The Courant-Snyder invariant was rediscovered by Lewis [14], and is sometimes referred as the Lewis invariant by different authors.

From Theorem 1, the transverse motion of the charged particle has an exact invariant  $I_\alpha$  given by

$$I_\alpha = \frac{P_\theta^2}{m^2} \left( \frac{w_\alpha^2}{r^2} \right) + \alpha \left( \frac{r^2}{w_\alpha^2} \right) + (\dot{r} w_\alpha - \dot{w}_\alpha r)^2, \quad (12)$$

where  $\alpha$  is an arbitrary real constant and  $w_\alpha(t)$  is any function of time satisfying

$$\ddot{w}_\alpha + \Omega^2(t) w_\alpha = \frac{\alpha}{w_\alpha^3}. \quad (13)$$

The invariant  $I_\alpha$  in Eq. (12) will be recognized as a linear combination of the constants of the motion,  $A_x^2$  and  $A_y^2$ , used in accelerator physics [12, 13], where  $A_x$  and  $A_y$  are the constant amplitude scale factors for the transverse particle orbits in a time-varying magnetic field  $B(t)\mathbf{e}_z$ , and  $\pi A_x^2$  and  $\pi A_y^2$  are the corresponding (conserved) phase-space areas.

For present purpose, we assume  $qB(t) > 0$ . Of course, any function of  $P_\theta$  and  $I_\alpha$  is also an invariant of the single-particle motion. Of particular interest is the invariant  $M$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} M &\equiv \frac{q}{4c} \left( I_1 - \frac{2P_\theta}{m} \right) \\ &= \frac{q w_1^2}{4c} \left\{ \left( \dot{r} - r \frac{\dot{w}_1}{w_1} \right)^2 + r^2 \left[ \left( \frac{qB}{2mc} + \dot{\theta} \right) - \frac{1}{w_1^2} \right]^2 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where  $I_1$  is equal to  $I_\alpha$  in Eq. (12) with  $\alpha = 1$ , and use has been made of Eq. (4). In the subsequent analysis, we refer to  $M$  as the exact magnetic moment invariant. [For the case of  $qB(t) < 0$ , the definition of  $M$  is identical to Eq. (14) with  $I_1$  replaced by  $-I_1$ , and the  $\Omega$  in Eqs. (17)–(21) is replaced by  $-\Omega$ .]

When the magnetic field variation is slow compared with the gyro-period, i.e.,

$$B = B(\varepsilon t), \quad (15)$$

where  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ , the magnetic moment  $\mu = m v_\perp^2 / 2B(t) = m \left( \dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2 \right) / 2B(t)$  is a well-known adiabatic invariant. We now prove that  $\mu$  is asymptotic to the exact invariant  $M$  for small  $\varepsilon$ . Let  $\Omega = \Omega(\varepsilon t)$  and  $T = \varepsilon t$ . Then

Eq. (13) for  $\alpha = 1$  becomes

$$\varepsilon^2 \frac{d^2 w_1}{dT^2} + \Omega^2(T) w_1 = \frac{1}{w_1^3}. \quad (16)$$

Expressing  $w_1 = u_0 + u_1 \varepsilon + u_2 \varepsilon^2 + \dots$ , it is straightforward to show from Eq. (16) that

$$u_0 = \frac{1}{\Omega^{1/2}}, \quad (17)$$

$$u_1 = 0, \quad (18)$$

$$u_2 = -\frac{3}{4\Omega^2} \frac{d^2}{dT^2} \left( \Omega^{1/2} \right), \quad (19)$$

...

where  $\Omega(T) \equiv qB(T)/2mc > 0$  is assumed. Therefore,

$$w_1 = \frac{1}{\Omega^{1/2}} + O(\varepsilon^2), \quad (20)$$

$$\dot{w}_1 = O(\varepsilon). \quad (21)$$

Substituting  $w_1$  and  $\dot{w}_1$  into Eq. (14), we readily obtain

$$M = \frac{q}{4c\Omega} \left[ \dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2 \right] + O(\varepsilon) = \mu + O(\varepsilon), \quad (22)$$

which shows the relationship between the adiabatic invariant  $\mu$  and the exact invariant  $M$  for  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ . Equation (22) also enables us to establish two very important properties of the adiabatic invariant  $\mu$ . These two properties allow us to quantify the exact meaning of the adjective ‘‘adiabatic’’. The first property of  $\mu$  pertains to the change of  $\mu$  at any time  $t$  relative to its value at  $t = 0$ . From Eq. (22),

$$\mu(t) = M + O(\varepsilon), \quad (23)$$

$$\mu(0) = M + O(\varepsilon). \quad (24)$$

Because  $M$  is an exact invariant, it follows that

$$\Delta\mu(t) \equiv \mu(t) - \mu(0) = O(\varepsilon) \quad (25)$$

for all  $t$ . In other words, the change of  $\mu$  is always small for all  $t$ . This is a powerful statement for two reasons. First, from the definition of  $\mu$  and Eq. (6),

$$\frac{d\mu}{dt} = -\frac{\dot{B}}{B} \left( \frac{mv_{\perp}^2}{2} + \frac{P_{\theta}\Omega}{2} - \frac{mr^2\Omega^2}{4} \right) = O(\varepsilon). \quad (26)$$

In general, we would expect  $\Delta\mu \sim O(\varepsilon^{1-n})$  for  $t \sim O(\varepsilon^{-n})$ , if  $\mu$  did not have the extra dynamical properties describe above. Second, in order to qualify to be called an adiabatic invariant, it is only required that the change of the quantity be  $O(\varepsilon)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq O(1/\varepsilon)$  [15]. What we have proved is a stronger result that  $\Delta\mu = O(\varepsilon)$  for all  $t$ .

The second important property of  $\mu$  concerns the dif-

ference between the final state and the initial state when  $\Omega$  evolves from an initial constant value to a final constant value. This property can be stated as follows. If

$$\Omega > \Omega_0 > 0, \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \Omega(T) = \Omega_+, \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow -\infty} \Omega(T) = \Omega_-, \quad (27)$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{T \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{d^i \Omega}{dT^i} \text{ exists for } i \geq 1, \quad (28)$$

then for any integer  $n$ ,

$$\mu(+\infty) - \mu(-\infty) = o(\varepsilon^n). \quad (29)$$

This type of characterization of an adiabatic invariant was first adopted by Kulsrud [16]. To prove Eq. (29) under the conditions in Eqs. (27) and (28), we carry out a perturbative analysis of Eq. (16) to order  $n$  for any integer  $n$ . Let  $w_1 = \sum_n u_n \varepsilon^n$  and  $S = \sum_n S_n \varepsilon^n = 1/w_1^3$ . Obviously,  $S_0 = 1/w_0^3$  and  $S_1 = 0$ . For  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$u_n \Omega^2 = S_n - \ddot{u}_{n-2}, \quad (30)$$

$$S_n = -\frac{3S_0}{u_0} u_n - \frac{1}{u_0^3} \sum_{i,j,k=0}^{n-1} S_{n-1-(i+j+k)} u_i u_j u_k,$$

where  $S_l = 0$  for  $l < 0$ . From this iteration relation and the fact that  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are homogeneous polynomial in terms of  $\dot{\Omega}$  and  $\ddot{\Omega}$ , we can deduce that  $u_n$  is a homogeneous polynomial in terms of  $d^i \Omega / dT^i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Furthermore, because  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \pm\infty} d^i \Omega / dT^i$  exists for  $i \geq 0$ , it follows that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{d^i \Omega}{dT^i} = 0, \quad (i \geq 1). \quad (31)$$

There exists a  $T_n$  such that when  $T > T_n$  and  $T < -T_n$ ,

$$\left| \frac{d^i \Omega}{dT^i} \right| < \varepsilon^{n+1}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, n). \quad (32)$$

Therefore, for  $T > T_n$  and  $T < -T_n$ , we obtain

$$w_1 = \frac{1}{\Omega^{1/2}} + o(\varepsilon^n), \quad (33)$$

$$w_1' = o(\varepsilon^n), \quad (34)$$

and for  $t > T_n/\varepsilon$  and  $t < -T_n/\varepsilon$ ,

$$M = \mu(t) + o(\varepsilon^n). \quad (35)$$

In Eq. (34),  $w_1' = dw_1/dT$ . Consequently,

$$\mu(t) - \mu(-t) = o(\varepsilon^n) \quad (36)$$

for  $t > T_n/\varepsilon$ , and we have proved the result stated in Eq. (29).

As a final point, it should also be emphasized that the existence of the exact invariants  $I_1$  and  $P_{\theta}$  represents

powerful constraint conditions that can be used to determine exact expressions for the transverse orbits  $r(t)$  for general initial conditions at  $t = 0$ . To illustrate this point, we denote the particular solution to Eq. (13) for  $\alpha = 1$  by  $w_1(t)$ . For a prescribed functional form for  $\Omega(t)$ , and specified initial conditions  $w_1|_{t=0}$  and  $dw_1/dt|_{t=0}$ , the solution for  $w_1(t)$  can be determined numerically from Eq. (13). We now introduce the stretched time variable  $\tau(t)$  and the scaled radial coordinate  $R(\tau)$  defined by

$$\tau = \int_0^t \frac{dt}{w_1^2(t)}, \quad R = \frac{r}{w_1}. \quad (37)$$

For  $\alpha = 1$ , Eq. (9) can then be expressed as

$$\left(\frac{d}{d\tau}R^2\right)^2 + 4\left(R^2 - \frac{1}{2}I_1\right)^2 = \left(I_1^2 - \frac{4P_\theta^2}{m^2}\right). \quad (38)$$

From Eqs. (37) and (38),  $R^2(\tau) - I_1/2$  exhibits simple harmonic motion proportional to  $\cos(2\tau)$  and  $\sin(2\tau)$ , and the exact solution for  $r^2(t)$  can be expressed as

$$r^2(t) = w_1^2(t) \left[ \frac{1}{2}I_1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( I_1^2 - \frac{4P_\theta^2}{m^2} \right)^{1/2} \times \cos \left( 2 \int_0^t \frac{dt}{w_1^2(t)} + \phi_0 \right) \right], \quad (39)$$

where  $\phi_0$  is a constant phase factor.

In conclusion, for the case of a uniform, time-dependent magnetic field  $B(t)\mathbf{e}_z$ , we have demonstrated that there is an exact invariant  $I_\alpha$  associated with the transverse particle dynamics. An exact magnetic moment invariant  $M$  was constructed, to which the adiabatic invariant  $\mu = mv_\perp^2/2B$  is asymptotic when the time-scale of the gyromotion is fast in comparison with the time-scale for variation in  $B(t)$ . The relation between the exact invariant  $M$  and the adiabatic invariant  $\mu$  has enabled us to quantify several important properties regarding the robustness of the adiabatic invariant  $\mu$ . Besides its importance to the theory of magnetic confinement, there are other interesting applications of the theory developed here. One example is the concept of sub-harmonic heating and cooling. It is well known that charged particles in a magnetic field can be heated or cooled by ramping up or ramping down the magnetic field. However, this magnetic pumping effect offers a limited heating or cooling capability, because the field cannot be ramped up or down indefinitely. It is ideal if particles can be heated or cooled in a periodically-varying magnetic field. But, the approximate invariance of the magnetic moment indicates that to leading order, a particle's kinetic energy is conserved in one full cycle of the magnetic field. The exact magnetic moment invariant  $M$  can be used to calculate the next-order kinetic energy variation, which can be increasingly significant with increasing pumping frequency. Such a magnetic heat-

ing or cooling technique may prove valuable in plasma physics and accelerator physics applications. The case considered here does not include spatial inhomogeneities in the magnetic field. The general case with space-time variations in  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, t)$  will be the subject of a subsequent investigation. Here, we make the following conjecture: under the most general conditions for the magnetic moment  $\mu = mv_\perp^2/2B(\mathbf{x}, t)$  to be an adiabatic invariant, for most particles there exist exact invariants of the transverse particle dynamics, to which the magnetic moment is asymptotic. Such invariants correspond to the invariant tori of the Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser (KAM) theorem when the deviation from an integrable system is small enough. The KAM theorem guarantees the existence of these surfaces by proving the convergence of the perturbation series for the tori. The methods adopted in this paper are a direct construction of the invariant tori using independent special functions determined from several differential equations describing the symmetry properties of the perturbed system.

This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract AC02-76CH03073. We thank Drs. R. Kulsrud, N. Fisch, G. Hammet, and E. Startsev and Mr. S. Yi for constructive discussions and comments.

- 
- [1] H. Alfvén, *Cosmical Electrodynamics* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1950), 1st ed.
  - [2] M. Kruskal, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **3**, 806 (1962).
  - [3] I. Y. Dodin and N. J. Fisch, *Physical Review E* **6401**, 016405 (2001).
  - [4] H. Weitzner and C. Chang, *Physics of Plasmas* **12**, 012106 (2004).
  - [5] H. Qin and R. C. Davidson, in *Proceedings of the 2005 Particle Accelerator Conference* (IEEE, New York, 2005).
  - [6] J. Struckmeier and C. Riedel, *Physical Review E*. **66**, 066605 (2002).
  - [7] Struckmeier, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **38**, 1257 (2005).
  - [8] This proof was suggested by an anonymous referee.
  - [9] J. Struckmeier and C. Riedel, *Physical Review Letters* **85**, 3830 (2000).
  - [10] J. Struckmeier and C. Riedel, *Physical Review E*. **64**, 026503 (2001).
  - [11] E. Courant and H. Snyder, *Annals of Physics* **3**, 1 (1958).
  - [12] R. C. Davidson and H. Qin, *Physical Review Special Topics - Accelerators and Beams* **8**, 064201 (2005).
  - [13] R. C. Davidson and H. Qin, *Physics of Intense Charged Particle Beams in High Energy Accelerators* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2001).
  - [14] J. Lewis, H.R., *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **9**, 1976 (1968).
  - [15] V. I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989), pp. 240–241, 2nd ed.
  - [16] R. Kulsrud, *Physical Review* **106**, 205 (1957).

## External Distribution

Plasma Research Laboratory, Australian National University, Australia  
Professor I.R. Jones, Flinders University, Australia  
Professor João Canalle, Instituto de Fisica DEQ/IF - UERJ, Brazil  
Mr. Gerson O. Ludwig, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas, Brazil  
Dr. P.H. Sakanaka, Instituto Fisica, Brazil  
The Librarian, Culham Science Center, England  
Mrs. S.A. Hutchinson, JET Library, England  
Professor M.N. Bussac, Ecole Polytechnique, France  
Librarian, Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Germany  
Jolan Moldvai, Reports Library, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Central Research  
Institute for Physics, Hungary  
Dr. P. Kaw, Institute for Plasma Research, India  
Ms. P.J. Pathak, Librarian, Institute for Plasma Research, India  
Dr. Pandji Triadyaksa, Fakultas MIPA Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia  
Professor Sami Cuperman, Plasma Physics Group, Tel Aviv University, Israel  
Ms. Clelia De Palo, Associazione EURATOM-ENEA, Italy  
Dr. G. Grosso, Istituto di Fisica del Plasma, Italy  
Librarian, Naka Fusion Research Establishment, JAERI, Japan  
Library, Laboratory for Complex Energy Processes, Institute for Advanced Study,  
Kyoto University, Japan  
Research Information Center, National Institute for Fusion Science, Japan  
Professor Toshitaka Idehara, Director, Research Center for Development of Far-Infrared Region,  
Fukui University, Japan  
Dr. O. Mitarai, Kyushu Tokai University, Japan  
Mr. Adefila Olumide, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria  
Dr. Jiangang Li, Institute of Plasma Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, People's Republic of China  
Professor Yuping Huo, School of Physical Science and Technology, People's Republic of China  
Library, Academia Sinica, Institute of Plasma Physics, People's Republic of China  
Librarian, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, People's Republic of China  
Dr. S. Mirnov, TRINITI, Troitsk, Russian Federation, Russia  
Dr. V.S. Strelkov, Kurchatov Institute, Russian Federation, Russia  
Kazi Firoz, UPJS, Kosice, Slovakia  
Professor Peter Lukac, Katedra Fyziky Plazmy MFF UK, Mlynska dolina F-2, Komenskeho Univerzita,  
SK-842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia  
Dr. G.S. Lee, Korea Basic Science Institute, South Korea  
Dr. Rasulkhozha S. Sharafiddinov, Theoretical Physics Division, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Uzbekistan  
Institute for Plasma Research, University of Maryland, USA  
Librarian, Fusion Energy Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA  
Librarian, Institute of Fusion Studies, University of Texas, USA  
Librarian, Magnetic Fusion Program, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, USA  
Library, General Atomics, USA  
Plasma Physics Group, Fusion Energy Research Program, University of California at San Diego, USA  
Plasma Physics Library, Columbia University, USA  
Alkesh Punjabi, Center for Fusion Research and Training, Hampton University, USA  
Dr. W.M. Stacey, Fusion Research Center, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA  
Director, Research Division, OFES, Washington, D.C. 20585-1290

The Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory is operated  
by Princeton University under contract  
with the U.S. Department of Energy.

Information Services  
Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory  
P.O. Box 451  
Princeton, NJ 08543

Phone: 609-243-2750  
Fax: 609-243-2751  
e-mail: [pppl\\_info@pppl.gov](mailto:pppl_info@pppl.gov)  
Internet Address: <http://www.pppl.gov>