


PPPL-4084

PPPL-4084

Scaling of Kinetic Instability Induced Fast Ion Losses in NSTX

E.D. Fredrickson, D. Darrow, S. Medley, J. Menard, H. Park,
L. Roquemore, D. Stutman, K. Tritz, S. Kubota, and K.C. Lee

June 2005



PPPL Report Disclaimers

Full Legal Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Trademark Disclaimer

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

PPPL Report Availability

This report is posted on the U.S. Department of Energy's Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Publications and Reports web site in Fiscal Year 2005. The home page for PPPL Reports and Publications is: http://www.pppl.gov/pub_report/

Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI):

Available electronically at: <http://www.osti.gov/bridge>.

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors, in paper from:

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062
Telephone: (865) 576-8401
Fax: (865) 576-5728
E-mail: reports@adonis.osti.gov

National Technical Information Service (NTIS):

This report is available for sale to the general public from:

U.S. Department of Commerce
National Technical Information Service
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161
Telephone: (800) 553-6847
Fax: (703) 605-6900
Email: orders@ntis.fedworld.gov
Online ordering: <http://www.ntis.gov/ordering.htm>

Scaling of kinetic instability induced fast ion losses in NSTX

E.D. Fredrickson, D. Darrow, S. Medley, J. Menard, H. Park, L. Roquemore,
Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ
 D. Stutman, K. Tritz, *Johns Hopkins University, MD,*
 S. Kubota, *University of California, Los Angeles, CA.*
 K.C. Lee, *University of California, Davis, CA*

Introduction

During neutral beam injection (NBI) in the National Spherical Torus Experiment (NSTX), a wide variety of fast ion driven instabilities is excited by the large ratio of fast ion velocity to Alfvén velocity, together with the relatively high fast ion beta, β_f . The fast ion instabilities have frequencies ranging from a few kilohertz to the ion cyclotron frequency. The modes can be divided roughly into three categories, starting with Energetic Particle Modes (EPM) in the lowest frequency range (0 to 120 kHz), the Toroidal Alfvén Eigenmodes (TAE) in the intermediate frequency range (50 to 200 kHz) and the Compressional and Global Alfvén Eigenmodes (CAE and GAE, respectively) from ≈ 300 kHz up to the ion cyclotron frequency. Each of these categories of modes exhibits a wide range of behavior, including quasi-continuous oscillation, bursting, chirping and, except for the lower frequency range, turbulence. Some examples of the range of EPM and TAE activity can be seen in Figs. 1a – 1c.




Fig. 1 Spectrogram of a Mirnov coil for three shots showing ‘low’ frequency fast ion driven instabilities, including Toroidal Alfvén Eigenmodes and various forms of Energetic Particle (chirping) Modes.

Fast ion driven modes are of particular interest because of their potential to cause transport, or even substantial losses, of fast ions. In NSTX NBI heated plasmas we see transient neutron rate drops, correlated with bursts of fast ion driven instabilities, including modes identified as TAE and fishbone-like EPM [1]. The CAE and GAE may also affect fast ion confinement, but there is little direct evidence for enhanced transport of fast ions in the presence of these instabilities, and these modes will not be discussed further.

Fast ion loss events, together with TAE and EPM, are seen in nearly all regimes of NSTX NBI heated operation, up to the highest β , highest densities and at the highest plasma current, in contrast to the experience on MAST [2]. Fast-ion driven MHD activity in NSTX can be reduced by operation at very high densities with low beam power, or with reduced beam voltage (< 60 kV; typical voltage is 80 kV), suggesting that the absence of TAE at high β on MAST is due to its lower voltage beams. On NSTX, EPM and TAE tend to be absent where $\beta_f(0)/\beta_{tot}(0) < 0.3$ (Fig. 2, green points).

Energetic Particle Modes

Energetic particle modes exhibit bursting where the frequency chirps down strongly during each burst. The mode frequency can change rapidly because the frequency is determined by the fast-ion distribution function, which the mode itself can change on the mode growth timescale. On NSTX the EPM can have toroidal mode numbers from $n = 1$ up to at least $n = 5$. The EPM can be roughly divided into two groups, separated by the frequency range where they are found.

The high frequency EPM chirps start in the first shear-Alfvén gap, near the TAE frequency. These EPMs can have $n > 1$ and may be related to the rTAE [3], a TAE-like mode which can exhibit frequency chirping, or to the Infernal Fishbone [4]. The connection to the TAE can be seen in Figs. 1a-c where spectrograms of magnetic fluctuations are shown for three beam heated discharges. The energetic particle modes in the first shot (Fig. 1a) are discrete bursts with strong frequency chirping ($\Delta f \approx 50$ kHz). The bursts in the second example (Fig. 1b) are starting to overlap, and the frequency chirp weakens, but clearly starts at the TAE frequency. In the final example, the EPM appear as only intermittent chirps during quasi-continuous TAE activity. The




Fig. 3 Simulation of soft x-ray emissivity fluctuations for high frequency EPM. 2a) MSE/EFIT q-profile, 2b) trial eigenfunctions, 2c) simulated and measured soft x-ray chord integrated emissivity fluctuations, 2d) phase of simulated/measured fluctuations.

large Doppler shift makes mode identification based on frequency ambiguous.

These higher frequency EPMs, as well as TAE, tend to be suppressed during low frequency EPM activity (Fig. 1b). The presence of high frequency EPMs appears to be correlated with elevated $q(0)$, or shear reversal, although the number of shots with MSE measurement of the q-profile is limited at this time.

The fast chirping suggests a non-linear resonant interaction similar to that for the conventional-aspect-ratio tokamak fishbone. However, the precession-drift frequency, the resonant interaction responsible for the fishbone chirp, is too low on NSTX to explain the high frequency of these EPMs. The resonance believed responsible for the high frequency EPMs is with the fast ion bounce frequency [5]. The bounce-resonance drive can be stronger at low aspect ratio in part because the average bounce angle is high. Thus, the hope that high β could suppress EPM by reducing or reversing the precession drift [1] has not been realized.

The MSE measurement of the q-profile




Fig. 2. Existence plot for TAE/EPM activity. EPM/TAE (green) present for $n_{fast}(0)/n_{tot}(0) > 30\%$.

indicates $q \approx 2$ at the mode location, similar to expectations for cascade modes, however the rate of the frequency chirp, the direction and the general characteristics of the




Fig. 4 Simulation of soft x-ray emissivity fluctuations for low frequency EPM. 2a) MSE/EFIT q-profile, 2b) trial eigenfunctions, 2c) simulated and measured soft x-ray chord integrated emissivity fluctuations

amplitude is ≈ 2.5 cm and probably localized near the q_{\min} surface. However, since the x-ray profile is hollow, sensitivity to mode structure is low in the core.

The low frequency EPM are similar to the fishbone modes on conventional tokamaks. They are present when $q(0) \approx 1$ (as calculated by EFIT), and have a kink-like structure. The soft x-ray camera data are well modeled with the assumption of an $m=1, n=1$ kink-like eigenfunction (Fig. 4), peaked on axis. The amplitude of the core displacement, which fits the soft x-ray data, is about 2.5 cm. In L-mode plasmas, with peaked density profiles, the multi-channel heterodyne reflectometer can be used to measure the local mode displacement. For the mode shown in Fig. 4, the two reflectometer channels were fortuitously located near the minor radius of the inferred $q=1$ surface. The measured displacement agrees well with that inferred from the soft x-ray camera data.

Toroidal Alfvén Eigenmodes

Toroidal Alfvén Eigenmodes are seen in most operational regimes on NSTX, including shots with high β_{tor} . An example is shown in Fig. 5 from a shot which reached a peak toroidal β of $\approx 38\%$. The spectrum of TAE often

frequency spectra are substantially different than what has been reported for cascade modes elsewhere [6]. It is possible that the energetic particle mode is responsible for suppression of the cascade modes.

The internal structure of the high frequency EPM has been studied in L-mode plasmas with soft x-ray data. The chord integrated soft x-ray emission can, in principle, be inverted with tomographic techniques. However, due to the limited number of chords, a better inversion can be made by introducing information from the time domain, as in rotational tomography. A further constraint on the inversion is to impose the equilibrium calculated from EFIT. The technique used is to assume the local soft x-ray emissivity is a flux surface quantity and perform a simple Abel-type inversion. A trial eigenfunction for the mode is introduced as a perturbation, and the soft x-ray chords are re-integrated and compared to the time behavior of the original data (Fig. 3). The simulations indicate that the peak mode displacement




Fig. 5 Spectrogram showing TAE (and EPM) activity in high β NSTX plasma.

of $\approx 38\%$. The spectrum of TAE often

appears incoherent or turbulent, with modes growing and decaying on a sub-millisecond timescale. In this example, the $n=3$ and $n=4$ TAE were predominant. Several bursts of lower frequency chirping modes are also seen, and the two indicated resulted in substantial fast ion losses seen as abrupt neutron rate drops. All chirping events, however, were followed by a period of weaker TAE activity, suggesting that even though fast ions might not have been expelled from the plasma, there was redistribution in either real or velocity space.

Transient neutron drops, signifying fast ion loss events, are correlated with bursts consisting of multiple TAE. In Fig. 5 is shown an example where each burst includes at least five TAE with toroidal mode numbers $n = 2$ through 6. The strong drive for TAE, from the high fast ion beta, is hypothesized to drive TAE to sufficient amplitude such that there is overlap in phase space of the resonant interaction of fast ions with the TAE. Transport of fast ions in the presence of multiple, strongly driven TAE has been predicted for ITER.




Fig. 6 Spectrogram of Mirnov coil showing correlation of burst of multiple TAE correlated with neutron drops.




Fig. 7 Scaling of fast ion loss fraction with amplitude of EPM.

Scaling of losses

Fast ion loss events are most often correlated with chirping modes, either the low frequency fishbone-like modes, intermediate frequency EPs, or what appear to be weakly chirping, *i.e.*, $\Delta f/f \leq 20\%$, TAE; possibly resonant-TAE (rTAE). The neutron production is predominantly from beam-target interactions, so the fractional neutron rate drop is approximately the fast ion loss fraction. The fractional neutron rate drop scales loosely with the normalized amplitude of the energetic particle modes. The loss event data has been divided into those events occurring with $\Delta B_{tor} < 20\%$ and $\Delta B_{tor} > 20\%$. It is apparent that fast ion loss events are common at high and low beta.

This work is supported by U.S. DOE contract # DE-AC02-76CH03073.

References

- [1] E.D. Fredrickson, C.Z. Cheng, D. Darrow, *et al.*, Phys. of Plasmas **10** (2003) 2852.
- [2] M P Gryazneich and S E Sharapov, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **46** (2004) s15.
- [3] C.Z. Cheng and M.S. Chance, Phys. Fluids **29**, (1986) 2471.
- [4] Ya. I Kolesnichenko, VS Marchenko and R B White, PPPL Report PPPL-3786 (2003).
- [5] E D Fredrickson, L Chen, R B White, Nucl. Fusion **43** (2003) 1258.
- [6] S.E. Sharapov, B Alper, J Fessey, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004) 165001.

External Distribution

Plasma Research Laboratory, Australian National University, Australia
Professor I.R. Jones, Flinders University, Australia
Professor João Canalle, Instituto de Fisica DEQ/IF - UERJ, Brazil
Mr. Gerson O. Ludwig, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas, Brazil
Dr. P.H. Sakanaka, Instituto Fisica, Brazil
The Librarian, Culham Science Center, England
Mrs. S.A. Hutchinson, JET Library, England
Professor M.N. Bussac, Ecole Polytechnique, France
Librarian, Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Germany
Jolan Moldvai, Reports Library, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Central Research
Institute for Physics, Hungary
Dr. P. Kaw, Institute for Plasma Research, India
Ms. P.J. Pathak, Librarian, Institute for Plasma Research, India
Dr. Pandji Triadyaksa, Fakultas MIPA Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia
Professor Sami Cuperman, Plasma Physics Group, Tel Aviv University, Israel
Ms. Clelia De Palo, Associazione EURATOM-ENEA, Italy
Dr. G. Grosso, Istituto di Fisica del Plasma, Italy
Librarian, Naka Fusion Research Establishment, JAERI, Japan
Library, Laboratory for Complex Energy Processes, Institute for Advanced Study,
Kyoto University, Japan
Research Information Center, National Institute for Fusion Science, Japan
Professor Toshitaka Idehara, Director, Research Center for Development of Far-Infrared Region,
Fukui University, Japan
Dr. O. Mitarai, Kyushu Tokai University, Japan
Mr. Adefila Olumide, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria
Dr. Jiangang Li, Institute of Plasma Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, People's Republic of China
Professor Yuping Huo, School of Physical Science and Technology, People's Republic of China
Library, Academia Sinica, Institute of Plasma Physics, People's Republic of China
Librarian, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, People's Republic of China
Dr. S. Mirnov, TRINITI, Troitsk, Russian Federation, Russia
Dr. V.S. Strelkov, Kurchatov Institute, Russian Federation, Russia
Kazi Firoz, UPJS, Kosice, Slovakia
Professor Peter Lukac, Katedra Fyziky Plazmy MFF UK, Mlynska dolina F-2, Komenskeho Univerzita,
SK-842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia
Dr. G.S. Lee, Korea Basic Science Institute, South Korea
Dr. Rasulkhozha S. Sharafiddinov, Theoretical Physics Division, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Uzbekistan
Institute for Plasma Research, University of Maryland, USA
Librarian, Fusion Energy Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA
Librarian, Institute of Fusion Studies, University of Texas, USA
Librarian, Magnetic Fusion Program, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, USA
Library, General Atomics, USA
Plasma Physics Group, Fusion Energy Research Program, University of California at San Diego, USA
Plasma Physics Library, Columbia University, USA
Alkesh Punjabi, Center for Fusion Research and Training, Hampton University, USA
Dr. W.M. Stacey, Fusion Research Center, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Director, Research Division, OFES, Washington, D.C. 20585-1290

The Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory is operated
by Princeton University under contract
with the U.S. Department of Energy.

Information Services
Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
P.O. Box 451
Princeton, NJ 08543

Phone: 609-243-2750
Fax: 609-243-2751
e-mail: pppl_info@pppl.gov
Internet Address: <http://www.pppl.gov>