PPPL-4020

PPPL-4020

Measurement of Asymmetric Optical Pumping of lons Accelerating in a Magnetic-field Gradient

Xuan Sun, Earl Scime, Mahmood Miah, Samuel Cohen, and Frederick Skiff

October 2004





Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-76CH03073.

PPPL Report Disclaimers

Full Legal Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Trademark Disclaimer

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

PPPL Report Availability

This report is posted on the U.S. Department of Energy's Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Publications and Reports web site in Fiscal Year 2005. The home page for PPPL Reports and Publications is: http://www.pppl.gov/pub_report/

Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI):

Available electronically at: http://www.osti.gov/bridge.

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors, in paper from:

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Scientific and Technical Information P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062

Telephone: (865) 576-8401 Fax: (865) 576-5728 E-mail: reports@adonis.osti.gov

National Technical Information Service (NTIS):

This report is available for sale to the general public from:

U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161

Telephone: (800) 553-6847 Fax: (703) 605-6900 Email: orders@ntis.fedworld.gov Online ordering: http://www.ntis.gov/ordering.htm

Measurement of Asymmetric Optical Pumping of Ions Accelerating in a Magnetic-Field Gradient

Xuan Sun and Earl Scime, *Department of Physics, West Virginia University* Mahmood Miah and Samuel Cohen, *Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory* Frederick Skiff, *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Iowa*

We report observations of asymmetric optical pumping of argon ions accelerating in a magnetic field gradient. The signature is a difference in the laser-induced-fluorescence (LIF) emission amplitude from a pair of Zeeman-split states. A model that reproduces the dependence of the asymmetry on magnetic-field and ion-velocity gradients is described. With the model, the fluorescence intensity ratio provides a new method of measuring ion collisionality. This phenomenon has implications for interpreting stellar plasma spectroscopy data which often exhibit unequal Zeeman state intensities.

In a laser-induced-fluorescence (LIF) measurement of the ion velocity-space distribution function (ivdf) in a plasma, the frequency of a narrow-linewidth, tunable laser is scanned across an absorption line of an ion in the plasma and fluorescent emission from the excited state measured as a function of laser frequency.^{1,2} The Zeeman effect due to a magnetic field creates several absorption lines between the initial lower and upper states; each Doppler-broadened line is pumped at slightly different frequencies by a particular polarization of the incident photons, i.e., linearly polarized p lines and circularly polarized +/-s lines. In this letter, we describe observations of up to a factor of 2.5 difference in the amplitude of the LIF signal from Zeeman sublevels pumped with right- and left-circularly polarized photons for argon ions accelerating along a weakening magnetic field. This effect should be considered in many situations, such as interpretation of resonant scattering observed in the solar corona.^{3,4} In stellar coronas or in laboratory plasmas, the magnetic field and plasma velocity may change rapidly; strongly affecting the Stokes V spectrum (the wavelength dependent amplitude difference between Zeeman split s lines)³ and its interpretation.

A tunable diode laser at 668.614 nm pumps an Ar II metastable level. The resulting 442.70 nm fluorescence radiation is measured with a photomultiplier detector.⁵ An LIF-signal *versus* laser-frequency measurement allows the ion temperature to be determined from the linewidth, the bulk ion flow speed along the laser from the line shift, and the magnetic field strength from the Zeeman splitting. The Zeeman splitting of the Ar II absorption transition is composed of three separated line clusters (s +, p, s-) containing a total of 18 transitions.⁶

Until now, the only way to determine plasma density with LIF (for plasmas in which Stark broadening is negligible) has been to relate the plasma density to the intensity of the emitted fluorescent light with an absolutely calibrated light-collection apparatus and a known incident laser power. In this work, we demonstrate that the asymmetry in the +/- σ LIF signals from Zeeman sublevels is a strong function of the ion collisionality and therefore an uncalibrated LIF system can provide remote measurements of the local plasma density for highly ionized plasmas.

The experiments were performed in the Magnetic-Nozzle-eXperiment (MNX) facility (see Fig. 1). A 4-cm diameter, steady-state helicon plasma flows along a magnetic field formed by a Helmholtz-coil pair. The plasma exits the main discharge chamber through a coaxial 2-cmi.d., 3-cm-thick magnetic nozzle coil used to control the magnetic-field gradient, and then flows through an electrically floating, 0.5-cm-diameter plasma-limiting aperture and into the expansion region (ER).⁷ At low neutral pressures, an electric double layer forms in the vicinity of the plasma-limiting aperture and accelerates the ions out of the source at supersonic velocities, along the weakening magnetic field and into the ER.^{7,8,9} The linearly polarized laser beam is passed through a quarter-wave plate to create either right- or left-circularly polarized light and then propagates along the plasma axis from the ER towards the plasma source. Presented in Fig. 2 are LIF measurements obtained using both left- and right-circularly polarized light. In each measurement, a low-energy (LEP) ion population and a high-energy (HEP) ion population (kinetic en $ergy \sim 20 eV$) are evident.⁷ The LEP is the result of local ionization of neutral argon; the HEP consists of argon ions accelerated through the aperture. The amplitude of the s+ component in the HEP is ~2 times higher than the s- component, yet the s+ and s- signal amplitudes for the locally produced LEP population are equal. The six Doppler-broadened components of each of the s clusters are shown as vertical lines in Fig. 2, scaled according to their statistical weights.⁶

As a function of the magnetic-nozzle field strength, B_N , the s + and s- LIF signal amplitudes (A^+ and A^- , respectively) 2.9 cm downstream of the nozzle midplane (z = 2.9 cm) are shown in Fig. 3. The asymmetry ratio $R, R \equiv A^+/A^-$, increases with B_N to $R \sim 2.2$ at $B_N = 1700$ and then decreases slightly for larger values of B_N . R > 1 can arise from either enhanced absorption/fluorescence from the s+ ion LIF sequence or suppressed absorption/fluorescence from the s- sequence. In a recent study we demonstrated that, in helicon plasmas, the LIF intensity for Ar II is proportional to the square of the electron density times the square root of the electron temperature $(n_e^2 T_e^{0.5})^9$. The solid line in Fig. 3 is a linear fit to $n_e^2 T_e^{0.5}$ measurements *versus* the nozzle field strength at z = 7.0 cm in the expansion region. That the scaling of the s+ LIF intensity *versus* the nozzle field strength is nearly identical to that of the $n_e^2 T_e^{0.5}$ measurements indicates that R > 1 arises because of a depletion of ions in the initial state of the s - sequence. Measurements at large values of $B_N (B_N = 2223 \text{ G}, \text{ and } P_M = 0.6 \text{ mTorr})$ also indicate that the parallel ion kinetic energy increases from 13 eV at z = 2 cm to roughly 18 eV at z = 7 cm. Thus, as the ions move from a strong magnetic field in the nozzle coil to the weaker magnetic field in the S+.

A number of possible explanations for the asymmetry in LIF intensities can be excluded. Creation of a spin-polarized beam by the longitudinal Stern-Gerlach effect^{10,11} is implausible given the very small (~ 1.0×10^{-5} eV) energy splitting of these two *s* clusters. The absence of any asymmetry in the LIF intensities from the *s* clusters of the LEP ions rules out creation of a spin polarized beam by the transverse Stern-Gerlach effect arising from the field gradients at the end of the solenoidal field. The magnetic-field-strength-dependent Hanle effect can enhance the absorption of particular ion or atomic transitions. (In the Hanle effect, the energy of a Zeeman sublevel that increases with increasing magnetic field strength can equal the energy of a Zeeman sublevel that decreases with magnetic field – thereby creating a degeneracy between the two states).¹² However, magnetic fields above 10 T would be required to obtain a level crossing between the initial 3d⁴F_{7/2} state and the closest other ion states. Differences in the optical depth for

the wavelengths corresponding to the peak of each of the s clusters could also lead to an asymmetry in the LIF signal intensity. However, the measured absorption for each circular polarization over the entire 2-m length of the plasma was less than 1%. We also considered the Babcock procedure employed by stellar spectroscopists in which circularly polarized emission intensities from two thermally broadened, closely spaced, Zeeman-split s lines are measured simultaneously at a wavelength slightly offset from the unshifted line.¹³ The difference in emission intensity is then directly proportional to the strength of the magnetic field at the point of measurement. In contrast, in our experiments the entire lineshape of each Zeeman sublevel is measured and the peak intensities compared. Thus, although this effect gives a result similar to a Babcock-type measurement and could therefore be misinterpreted as evidence of a stronger than actual magnetic field in an astrophysical measurement, the physics responsible for the difference in signal intensities is not the same. We also note that the LIF intensity measurements presented here have been normalized to the instantaneous laser power and to rule out any bias in the polarizing optics, the magnetic field direction was reversed and the measurements repeated. For both directions of the magnetic field, the LIF signal of the higher frequency s+ HEP cluster was consistently larger than that of the s- HEP cluster while those of the LEP stayed equal.

Other groups have demonstrated that saturation of an absorption line used for LIF can begin at laser intensities comparable to those used in these experiments (-1 W/cm^2) .¹⁴ We hypothesized that if the interaction time between the laser and the ions was different for ions in the initial s+ state compared to those in the initial state for the s- transition sequence, the LIF signal from the two transition sequences could differ. For example, if upstream of the observation volume, ions in the initial s- state were in resonance with the laser for more time than ions in the initial s+, the population of s- state ions in the observation volume could be depleted – yielding a smaller LIF signal for that transition compared to the s+. Fig. 4 presents a schematic view of how the resonant interaction times would differ for ions in different Zeeman split states that accelerate through a magnetic-field gradient. The solid curve represents the decreasing magnetic field, the arrows indicate the direction of the ion velocity (and acceleration) and the laser-beam propagation. Close to the magnetic nozzle (at location a), the magnitude of the Zeeman shift of the s lines relative to laser frequency at which the transition would appear in the absence of a static magnetic field (shown as a thick vertical line) is larger than further from the magnetic nozzle (at location b). Because the ions are accelerating towards the laser, the entire transition sequence shifts to a lower laboratory-frame frequency. Note that for measurements made at location b, when the laser is tuned to peak of the s- line (dashed vertical line in Fig. 4), the s- state ions at the upstream location a are also pumped by the laser. Therefore, as the s- state ions travel along the laser beam towards the measurement location their Zeeman and Doppler shifts can cancel – for appropriate velocity and field gradients – and the s- state ions are pumped by the laser for a much longer time than the s+ state ions.

Absorption out of the i^{th} state of HEP ions is described by¹⁴

$$-\frac{d}{dt}N_i(z) = N_i(z)\frac{B_{ij}}{4\mathbf{p}}\int_0^{+\infty} d\mathbf{n} L_i(\mathbf{n})I(z,\mathbf{n},t) , \qquad (1)$$

where we have assumed that the HEP metastable ions are created in the nozzle region by electron impact excitation of ground state ions and travel into the ER.⁷ $N_i(z)$ is the density of the i^{th} Zeeman sublevel of state $3d^4F_{7/2}$ at location z in the experiment. B_{ij} is the Einstein coefficient for absorption to the j^{th} sublevel of the state $4p^4D_{5/2}$, where $j = i \pm 1$ for s transitions. For B_{ij} we use the zero magnetic-field value, $B_{ij} \equiv E = 8.037 \times 10^{12} \text{m}^2 (\text{Js})^{-1}$. $I(z, \mathbf{n}, t) = I_0 d(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_0)$ is the laser intensity at frequency \mathbf{n}_o and $L_i(\mathbf{n}) = (W_i/\sqrt{p\mathbf{a}_D T}) \exp\left(-(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{n}^*)^2/\mathbf{a}_D T\right)$ is the thermally broadened lineshape of the i^{th} Zeeman sublevel, where W_i is the statistical weight of the i^{th} line, Tthe ion temperature, m_i the ion mass, and $\mathbf{a}_D = 2k_B \mathbf{n}_o^2/m_i c^2$. In the laboratory frame, $\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}^* = \mathbf{n} - [\mathbf{n}_I + \mathbf{a}_i B(z)][1 - V(z)/c]$, where \mathbf{n}_I is the natural frequency of the absorption transition, \mathbf{a}_i is the Zeeman shift for the i^{th} sublevel, $^6 B(z)$ is the magnetic field in kGauss and V(z) is the ion velocity. The factor of 1 - V(z)/c accounts for the Doppler shift of the line.

The length of time, t_r , before reaching the measurement location that ions may remain in resonance with the laser¹⁵ is governed by the time between collisions for ions with background neutrals, electrons, and other ions: $t_r \equiv 1/\mathbf{n}_i$, where \mathbf{n}_i is the total ion collision frequency. Rewriting Eq. (1) in terms of the travel distance of the resonant ions, $\Delta z = V(z)/\mathbf{n}_i$, yields the fraction of ions pumped out of the initial LIF state:

$$\frac{\Delta N_i(z)}{N_i} = \frac{E}{4p} \frac{W_i}{\sqrt{pa_D T}} \int_{z-\Delta z}^z \frac{1}{V(z)} e^{-\frac{[\mathbf{n}_o - (\mathbf{n}_I + \mathbf{a}_i B(z))(1 - V(z)/c)]^2}{\mathbf{a}_D T}} dz .$$
(2)

The LIF signal at z_o for a laser tuned to \mathbf{n}_o is proportional to the fluorescent emission due to laser pumping of the remaining fraction of initial state ions summed over the six sublevel transitions:

$$A^{\pm}(z_{o}) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} A_{i}^{\pm}(z_{o}) \propto \sum_{i=1}^{6} \left[(1 - \frac{\Delta N_{i}(z_{o})}{N_{i}}) M_{i} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{[\mathbf{n} - (\mathbf{n}_{I} \pm \mathbf{a}_{i}B(z_{o}))(1 - V(z_{o})/c)]^{2}}{\mathbf{a}_{D}T}} I_{o} \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_{o}) d\mathbf{n} \right]$$
(3)

Eq. (3) describes the LIF intensity from s_+ or s_- ion states including any depletion of those states due to changing Zeeman and Doppler shifts for ions accelerating along the laser beam in a magnetic field gradient before they reach the measurement location. Note that the ratio of A^+/A^-

predicted by Eq. (3) has no free parameters. To numerically integrate Eq. (3), we approximated the parallel ion flow and magnetic-field-strength gradients with fits to the measured ion flow and magnetic field values: V(z) = 267.2z + 7490 m/s and $B(z) = B_N (1 + (z/3.0)^2)^{-3/2}$ kG, with z in cm. The measured plasma parameters: $n_e = 7.5 \times 10^{10}$ cm⁻³, $T_e = 6$ eV), ion temperature, $T_i = 0.2$ eV, and neutral pressure of 0.7 mTorr in the expansion region were used to calculate the limits of integration. For these parameters, the total ion collision frequency is dominated by the fast ion on background neutrals collision rate¹⁶ and is therefore independent of the electron temperature.

Measured and calculated values of R as a function of B_N are shown in Fig. 5 for the opposite magnetic field orientation used to obtain the data of Fig. 3. The total ion collision frequency, based on the measured plasma parameters and calculated using the collision cross-sections given in Ref. [16], is $\mathbf{n}_i = 2.2 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$. *R* curves are shown for \mathbf{n}_i , $10\mathbf{n}_i$ and $\mathbf{n}_i/10$. Also shown in Fig. 5 are the measured and predicted parallel *inferred* ion temperature ratios $(T_{\sigma+}/T_{\sigma-})$ based on the measured HEP linewidths. The enhanced interaction of s- state ions with the laser distorts the measured parallel ivdf and affects the parallel ion temperature values obtained from Maxwellian fits. By varying the value of the laser frequency used in Eq. (3), a predicted ivdf measurement, and therefore a predicted value of $T_{\sigma+}/T_{\sigma-}$ is obtained. The predicted R values for the ion collision frequency based on the measured plasma parameters are in excellent agreement with the measurements. The dependence of $T_{\sigma+}/T_{\sigma-}$ on magnetic nozzle field strength is generally consistent with the model predictions. The divergence between the measured and predicted values of $T_{\sigma+}/T_{\sigma-}$ above 1 kG is due to difficulties in fitting the highly asymmetric distributions predicted by the model for large B_N . The peak in R at a specific value of B_N is accurately reproduced by the numerical calculations (at large B_N the Doppler and Zeeman shifts are no longer commensurate). Factor of ten variations in n_i yield predicted R values that are clearly at odds with the measurements. The measured dependence of R on z (not shown) also agrees with the model, e.g. R > 1and R increases with distance from the aperture as the effects of the field and velocity gradients increase. When the ion acceleration region did not overlap with the magnetic field gradient (accomplished by moving the aperture), R = 1 was observed in all cases.

Asymmetry in LIF emission from s states of argon ions was observed and attributed to the combined effects of magnetic-field and ion-velocity gradients. This phenomenon should be considered in both laboratory and stellar plasmas where, for example, turbulence can generate the requisite gradients. In stellar plasmas, the intensities of Zeeman-split absorption lines in ions accelerating away from the surface of stars, illuminated by continuum radiation from the photosphere below, frequently exhibit similar asymmetries.^{3,4} With the model described here, such measurements of could provide additional information about the plasma conditions in those stellar atmospheres. Additionally, an uncalibrated LIF system can provide a non-invasive measurement of n_i , and therefore the plasma density, in highly ionized plasmas.

This work was supported by U.S. Department of Energy Contract DE-AC02-76-CHO-3073 and EPSCoR Laboratory Partnership Program grant ER45849.

References

- ¹ R. A. Stern and J. A. Johnson III, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **34**, 1548 (1975).
- ² G. D. Severn, D. A. Edrich and R. McWilliams, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **69**, 10 (1998).
- ³ M. Sigwarth, Astrophys. J. 563, 1031 (2001).
- ⁴ H. Lin, M. Penn, and S. Tomczyk, Astrophys. J. 541, L83-L86 (2000).
- ⁵ R.F. Boivin and E.E. Scime, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **74**, 4352 (2003).
- ⁶ G. Marr, *Plasma Spectroscopy*, (Elsevier, 1968).
- ⁷ S. A. Cohen, et al., *Phys. Plasmas* **10**, 2593 (2003).
- ⁸ C. Charles and R.W. Boswell, Appl. Phys. Lett. 82 1356 (2003).
- ⁹ X. Sun, C. Biloiu, R. Hardin and E. E. Scime, *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* 13, 359 (2004).
- ¹⁰ H. Batelaan, T.J. Gay, and J.J. Schwendiman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 4517 (1997).
- ¹¹ G. A. Gallup, H. Batelaan, and T.J. Gay, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 86, 4508 (2001).
- ¹² V. F. Weisskopf, Ann. d. Physik 9, 23 (1931).
- ¹³ H. W. Babcock, Astrophys. J. **118**, 387 (1953).
- ¹⁴ M. J. Geockner and J. Goree, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 7, 977 (1989).
- ¹⁵ F. Skiff and J. J. Curry, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **66**, 629 (1995).
- ¹⁶ A. V. Phelps, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 20, 557 (1991).

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. (a) Schematic of MNX. (b) Scanning mechanism for the LIF collection optics allows 12 lines-of-sight (LOS) axial points in the ER. (c) Axial magnetic field strength near the nozzle coil.

Fig. 2. LIF signal for right (*s*-) and left (*s*+) circularly polarized laser light versus difference between laser frequency and natural frequency of the absorption line at z = 2.9 cm for $B_{Helicon} =$ 465 G, $B_N = 1995$ G, P = 550 W, and neutral pressures of 0.6 mTorr and 0.23 mTorr in the source and ER, respectively.

Fig. 3. The individual s+ and s- peak LIF amplitudes at z = 2.9 cm versus nozzle magnetic field strength for P = 580 W, $B_H = 465$ G, $P_M = 0.6$ mTorr.

Fig. 4. Changes in absolute frequency of absorption lines due to Zeeman and Doppler shifts as ions accelerate through a magnetic field gradient (solid curve).

Fig. 5. Measured (solid squares) and predicted (solid (\mathbf{n}_i), dashed (10 \mathbf{n}_i), and dashed-dot line (\mathbf{n}_i /10)) values of *R* versus B_N at z = 2.9 cm for P = 750 W, $B_H = 582$ G, and $P_M = 0.7$ mTorr. Also shown are the measured (solid circles) and predicted values (solid line) for T_{s+}/T_{s-} .



Sun et al., Fig.1



Sun et al., Fig. 2



Sun et al., Fig. 3



Sun et al., Fig. 4



Sun et al., Fig. 5

External Distribution

Plasma Research Laboratory, Australian National University, Australia Professor I.R. Jones, Flinders University, Australia Professor João Canalle, Instituto de Fisica DEQ/IF - UERJ, Brazil Mr. Gerson O. Ludwig, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas, Brazil Dr. P.H. Sakanaka, Instituto Fisica, Brazil The Librarian, Culham Laboratory, England Mrs. S.A. Hutchinson, JET Library, England Professor M.N. Bussac, Ecole Polytechnique, France Librarian, Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Germany Jolan Moldvai, Reports Library, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Central Research Institute for Physics, Hungary Dr. P. Kaw, Institute for Plasma Research, India Ms. P.J. Pathak, Librarian, Institute for Plasma Research, India Ms. Clelia De Palo, Associazione EURATOM-ENEA, Italy Dr. G. Grosso, Instituto di Fisica del Plasma, Italy Librarian, Naka Fusion Research Establishment, JAERI, Japan Library, Laboratory for Complex Energy Processes, Institute for Advanced Study, Kyoto University, Japan Research Information Center, National Institute for Fusion Science, Japan Dr. O. Mitarai, Kyushu Tokai University, Japan Dr. Jiangang Li, Institute of Plasma Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, People's Republic of China Professor Yuping Huo, School of Physical Science and Technology, People's Republic of China Library, Academia Sinica, Institute of Plasma Physics, People's Republic of China Librarian, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, People's Republic of China Dr. S. Mirnov, TRINITI, Troitsk, Russian Federation, Russia Dr. V.S. Strelkov, Kurchatov Institute, Russian Federation, Russia Professor Peter Lukac, Katedra Fyziky Plazmy MFF UK, Mlynska dolina F-2, Komenskeho Univerzita, SK-842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia Dr. G.S. Lee, Korea Basic Science Institute, South Korea Institute for Plasma Research, University of Maryland, USA Librarian, Fusion Energy Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA Librarian, Institute of Fusion Studies, University of Texas, USA Librarian, Magnetic Fusion Program, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, USA Library, General Atomics, USA Plasma Physics Group, Fusion Energy Research Program, University of California at San Diego, USA Plasma Physics Library, Columbia University, USA Alkesh Punjabi, Center for Fusion Research and Training, Hampton University, USA Dr. W.M. Stacey, Fusion Research Center, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA Dr. John Willis, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Fusion Energy Sciences, USA Mr. Paul H. Wright, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA

The Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory is operated by Princeton University under contract with the U.S. Department of Energy.

> Information Services Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory P.O. Box 451 Princeton, NJ 08543

Phone: 609-243-2750 Fax: 609-243-2751 e-mail: pppl_info@pppl.gov Internet Address: http://www.pppl.gov