**PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY,
UNDER CONTRACT DE-AC02-76CH03073**

PPPL-3609
UC-70

PPPL-3609

Alfvén Wave Tomography for Cold MHD Plasmas

by
I.Y. Dodin and N.J. Fisch

September 2001

PPPL
PRINCETON PLASMA
PHYSICS LABORATORY



**PRINCETON PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY
PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY**

PPPL Reports Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Availability

This report is posted on the U.S. Department of Energy's Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Publications and Reports web site in Calendar Year 2001. The home page for PPPL Reports and Publications is: http://www.pppl.gov/pub_report/

DOE and DOE Contractors can obtain copies of this report from:

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
DOE Technical Information Services (DTIS)
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831

Telephone: (865) 576-8401

Fax: (865) 576-5728

Email: reports@adonis.osti.gov

This report is available to the general public from:

National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161

Telephone: 1-800-553-6847 or
(703) 605-6000

Fax: (703) 321-8547

Internet: http://www.ntis.gov/ordering.htm

Alfven wave tomography for cold MHD plasmas

I.Y. Dodin and N.J. Fisch

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, PO Box 451, Princeton, NJ 08543

Alfven waves propagation in slightly nonuniform cold plasmas is studied by means of ideal magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) nonlinear equations. The evolution of the MHD spectrum is shown to be governed by a matrix linear differential equation with constant coefficients determined by the spectrum of quasi-static plasma density perturbations. The Alfven waves are shown not affect the plasma density inhomogeneities, as they scatter off of them. The application of the MHD spectrum evolution equation to the inverse scattering problem allows tomographic measurements of the plasma density profile by scanning the plasma volume with Alfven radiation.

idodin@pppl.gov

I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of finding the eigenwaves of various plasmas is most easily considered under the strict assumption of homogeneous plasma properties. Such approach allows significant simplification of dynamic equations leading to relatively simple dispersion relations for the waves propagating in uniform plasmas, further called the partial waves. However, the assumption of plasma homogeneity is often inapplicable to real systems.

Consider a plasma medium, which is adequately described in terms of ideal magnetohydrodynamics (MHD). One of the features of MHD-like plasmas consists of the fact that magnetoactive collisionless plasmas can sustain steady state localized structures, maintaining total (kinetic plus magnetic) pressure balance with the ambient media. Such structures are often called magnetic bubbles (for density depressions) or magnetic bottles (for enhanced density) [1] because of the disturbance of the magnetic field caused by the diamagnetic effect of their localized plasma density changes. The spatial distribution of magnetic bubbles (or bottles) represents a nonuniform plasma pressure profile, whose spatial harmonics can be treated as static waves described by the dispersion relation $\omega(\mathbf{k})=0$. From this point of view, the problem of finding the eigenmodes of ideal nonuniform MHD plasma can be considered in terms of MHD partial waves, scattering on plasma inhomogeneities (magnetic bubbles or bottles), as long as the partial waves remain well defined, i.e. the plasma pressure inhomogeneity remain small enough. Therefore, the lowest-order effect coming into play when the plasma density inhomogeneity is taken into account can be expected to be the coupling of conventional

Alfven waves, which represent the partial waves of MHD plasmas, on the quasi-static waves of plasma density perturbations described above.

In this paper, we consider the evolution of Alfven waves spectrum specifically due to their scattering on quasi-static perturbations of plasma density in a cold slightly nonuniform ideal MHD plasma. (The term "slightly nonuniform" refers to the amplitude of plasma density perturbations, but does not limit the ratio of the wavelength and the characteristic spatial scale of the density perturbations considered, which is allowed to be of the order of unity.) This problem is a three-wave interaction problem, where one of the waves has zero frequency and nonzero wave vector and the two other waves are conventional Alfven waves, whose dispersion relations are derived under the assumption of uniform plasma medium. Various nonlinear and three-wave interactions in magnetohydrodynamics have been intensively studied; for detailed review, see e.g., Ref. 2 and the references cited therein. In particular, the effect of Bragg scattering of MHD waves on spatial lattices of plasma structure with given wave numbers, has been experimentally studied in the context of ionospheric irregularities [3-4].

In the present paper, we find explicit solutions for the problem of partial waves scattering in cold slightly nonuniform plasma. On the other hand, inverting the problem, one can get the full information about the density spatial distribution out of the obtained scattering properties of given plasmas. In principle, these results allow realization of quasi-linear Alfven tomography, i.e. the procedure of obtaining the plasma density profile from measurements of the Alfven spectrum transformation. We call the proposed tomography quasi-linear because despite the nonlinearity of the MHD equations used, the final equation for the Alfven spectrum is shown to be linear, which makes its solving

procedure equivalent to the standard tomography problem solution [5-6]. Tomographic measurements are currently being used, for example, in magnetic fusion plasma devices with application of x-ray waves for measuring the electron temperature in hot core of tokamak plasma [7-9] and in ionospheric electron density measurements in radio-frequency range [10-12]. We demonstrate that in cold slightly nonuniform plasmas, Alfven radiation can also be used for similar purposes broadening the spectral limitations of plasma tomography.

The paper is arranged as follows: In Sec. II, we introduce the nonlinear MHD equations and define the types of partial waves and ways of their interaction. In Sec. III, we derive the Hamiltonian evolution equation for Alfven waves spectrum. We prove that in cold plasma limit, the Hamiltonian remains constant (under the assumption of small waves amplitudes), and is determined by quasi-static plasma density perturbations not changing in time. The solution of the Alfven tomography problem is discussed in Sec. IV. In Sec. V, we summarize the main results of our work.

II. MOTION EQUATIONS AND PARTIAL WAVES OF MHD PLASMA

Let us consider plasma immersed in a static uniform external magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_0 = B_0\hat{\mathbf{z}}$, $\nabla B_0 \equiv 0$, where $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ is the unit vector along the z-axis. Under the assumption of the MHD-like plasma motion, in the cold plasma limit, when the plasma kinetic pressure p is negligible compared with the pressure of the external magnetic field $B_0^2/8\pi$

($\beta = 8\pi p/B_0^2 << 1$), the full set of plasma motion equations can be written in the following form:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{U} - V_A^2 \left(\nabla \times \mathbf{b}\right) \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{N}_\mathbf{U}, \tag{1}$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{b} - \nabla \times \left(\mathbf{U} \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}\right) = \mathbf{N}_\mathbf{b}, \tag{2}$$

$$\partial_t \chi + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{U} = N_\chi. \tag{3}$$

Here $\mathbf{U}$ is the plasma flow velocity, $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{B}_\sim / B_0$ is the normalized magnetic field perturbation, $\chi = \rho_\sim / \rho_0$ is the density perturbation normalized on the average plasma density ρ_0, and $V_A^2 = B_0^2 / 4\pi\rho_0$ is the squared Alfven velocity. Nonlinear "forces" written in the right-hand sides of the equations can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{N}_\mathbf{U} = -\chi \partial_t \mathbf{U} - \left(\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla\right)\left(1 + \chi\right)\mathbf{U} + V_A^2 \left(\nabla \times \mathbf{b}\right) \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}, \tag{4}$$

$$\mathbf{N}_\mathbf{b} = \nabla \times \left(\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{b}\right), \tag{5}$$

$$N_\chi = -\nabla \cdot \left(\chi \mathbf{U}\right). \tag{6}$$

For our further purposes, it is more convenient to rewrite the equations (1-2), (4-5) in a matrix form introducing a vector of the transverse flow velocity $\mathbf{U}_\perp = U_x \hat{\mathbf{x}} + U_y \hat{\mathbf{y}}$:

$$\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{U}_\perp = \mathbf{N}_\perp, \tag{7}$$

where differential operator $\mathbf{D}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{tt}^2 - V_A^2 \left(\partial_{xx}^2 + \partial_{zz}^2\right) & -V_A^2 \partial_{xy}^2 \\ -V_A^2 \partial_{xy}^2 & \partial_{tt}^2 - V_A^2 \left(\partial_{yy}^2 + \partial_{zz}^2\right) \end{pmatrix} \tag{8}$$

and transverse nonlinear force $\mathbf{N}_\perp$ can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{N}_\perp = \partial_t \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{U},\perp} + V_A^2 \left(\nabla \times \mathbf{N}_\mathbf{b}\right) \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}. \tag{9}$$

Consider now the case when plasma inhomogeneity is purely oscillatory in time and space. Then, in the linear approximation one can treat Alfven velocity as constant and since $\mathbf{N}_\perp$ is negligible, the linearized equation (7) represents a wave equation for an anisotropic medium. Looking for the eigenstate of plasma motion governed by (7) in the form of a plane wave

$$\mathbf{U}_\perp = \mathbf{U}_\perp^{(0)} \exp(-i\omega t + i\mathbf{k}_\perp \times \mathbf{r}_\perp + ik_\parallel z), \qquad \mathbf{U}_\perp^{(0)} = const, \tag{10}$$

(here $\mathbf{k}_\perp = k_x\hat{\mathbf{x}} + k_y\hat{\mathbf{y}}$, $\mathbf{r}_\perp = x\hat{\mathbf{x}} + y\hat{\mathbf{y}}$, $k_\parallel = \mathbf{k}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ and $\mathbf{k}$ is the three-dimensional wave vector) one immediately gets the well-known dispersion relations for compressional Alfven waves (further called the CA-modes)

$$\omega_{CA}^2(\mathbf{k}) = k^2 V_A^2 \tag{11}$$

and the shear Alfven waves (SA-modes) correspondingly:

$$\omega_{SA}^2(\mathbf{k}) = k_\parallel^2 V_A^2 . \tag{12}$$

The plane wave representation (10) of MHD plasma eigenmodes breaks down as soon as Alfven velocity becomes location-dependent. As long as the inhomogeneity remains smooth ($kL >> 1$, $L = \rho_0 / |\nabla\rho_0|$), the WKB theory adequately describes the wave propagation process, and the representation (10) with the wave amplitude $\mathbf{U}_\perp^{(0)}$ slowly changing in space stays a good approximation for the eigenmodes of MHD plasma. No waves scattering is taken place in this case. On the other hand, as soon as the wavelength $2\pi k^{-1}$ becomes comparable with the spatial scale of density inhomogeneity ($kL \sim 1$), the geometrical optics (or WKB approximation) breaks down and different approach becomes needed for describing the waves propagation in nonuniform plasmas.

For the purpose of considering arbitrary values of kL, we note that the modes (11-12) do not form a complete set of linear solutions for all MHD plasma perturbations, and static density perturbations should be treated separately from Alfven waves. Indeed, as soon as one introduces a nonzero plasma temperature (which is still allowed to be infinitely small to satisfy the condition of negligible plasma β), MHD plasma becomes capable of containing steady state localized structures, maintaining the total pressure balance with the ambient medium described by the equilibrium condition

$$\nabla\left(p+\frac{B^2}{8\pi}\right)=\frac{(\mathbf{B}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{B}}{4\pi}. \tag{13}$$

Such structures are often called magnetic bubbles [1] (or magnetic bottles, depending on whether plasma density is depressed or enhanced inside a structure). Magnetic bubbles are often observed in space [13-15], created artificially in the Earth ionosphere [3-4] and laboratory conditions [16] and generally represent a certain scientific interest for astrophysics and plasma science.

From (13), it follows that as long as the plasma pressure remains isotropic, no equilibrium pressure variation along the field lines can be maintained self-consistently, which means that in isotropic plasmas, magnetic bubbles can only be two-dimensional (2D) structures. Pressure anisotropy is often present in real plasmas [14] but since its level is usually low, bubbles tend to elongate in the direction of external field [3, 14], so that their longitudinal size is significantly larger than the transverse one. Thus, for simplicity we will assume the bubbles to be completely two-dimensional, so that for small variations of plasma pressure the equilibrium condition (13) is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{b}=-\hat{\mathbf{z}}\frac{\gamma\beta}{2}\chi, \tag{14}$$

where $\gamma = O(1)$ is introduced through the plasma equation of state $p = p_0 (\rho/\rho_0)^\gamma$. For our purposes, it is convenient to think of such 2D small-amplitude static plasma density perturbations as of static waves (S-modes), which dispersion relation is given by

$$\omega_S(\mathbf{k}) = 0, \qquad k_\parallel = 0. \tag{15}$$

Low-amplitude Alfven waves (11-12) and S-modes (15) represent the *partial* waves of MHD plasmas meaning that each of these waves is an eigenmode of a *linear* MHD system only where modes coupling is negligible, but, as soon as the nonlinear drive $\mathbf{N}_\perp$ is taken into account, these waves generally cannot exist independently. Consider a "pumping" Alfven wave with frequency ω_p and wave vector $\mathbf{k}_p$ propagating in a region of spatially modulated plasma density and let the modulation be purely sinusoidal with the wave vector $\mathbf{k}_S$. The presence of quadratic nonlinearity in the expression for $\mathbf{N}_\perp$ shows that the energy of the pumping wave will be transferred into a scattered wave with the frequency and the wave vector given by

$$\omega_{sc} = \omega_p, \qquad \mathbf{k}_{sc} = \mathbf{k}_p + \mathbf{k}_S. \tag{16}$$

Eqs. (16) represent the conditions of resonant three-waves interaction, or well-known Bragg scattering of pumping Alfven wave on a spatial plasma structure. The scattered wave, in turn, is also scattered by the lattice with the wave vector $\mathbf{k}_S$ producing a third wave and amplifying the pumping one etc, so that the amplitudes of the waves will evolve in time. In the next sections, we show the explicit way of finding the scattering properties of arbitrarily modulated plasma density in the case when the amplitudes of these modulations remain small.

The conditions for resonant interaction (16) indicate the possible pairs of Alfven linear modes, which can couple to the inhomogeneities of plasma density. For scattering of one SA-mode into another SA-mode, the necessary conditions of coupling

$$k_{\|,SA,1} = k_{\|,SA,2}, \qquad \mathbf{k}_{\perp,SA,1} = \mathbf{k}_{\perp,SA,2} + \mathbf{k}_S \tag{17}$$

show that every shear Alfven wave is potentially unstable to transformation into another similar wave with the same parallel and different transverse wave numbers. On the contrary, in CA↔SA scattering process, conditions (16) combined with the dispersion relations (11-12) require the equalities

$$k_{\|,CA}^2 + k_{\perp,CA}^2 = k_{\|,SA}^2, \quad k_{\|,CA} = k_{\|,SA}, \quad \mathbf{k}_{\perp,CA} = \mathbf{k}_{\perp,SA} + \mathbf{k}_S, \tag{18}$$

which lead to the additional conditions on wave numbers:

$$\mathbf{k}_{\perp,CA} = 0, \qquad \mathbf{k}_{\perp,SA} = -\mathbf{k}_S. \tag{19}$$

In other words, CA-wave with nonzero transverse wave number cannot interact resonantly with SA-waves via Bragg scattering on static density perturbations. The third type of waves transformation, namely CA↔CA process, simultaneously requires

$$k_{\|,CA,1} = k_{\|,CA,2}, \qquad \mathbf{k}_{\perp,CA,1} = \mathbf{k}_{\perp,CA,2} + \mathbf{k}_S, \qquad k_{CA,1}^2 = \left(\mathbf{k}_{CA,2} + \mathbf{k}_S\right)^2, \tag{20}$$

and, similarly to CA↔CA process, for arbitrary $\mathbf{k}_S$ (unless $k_S > 2k_{\perp,CA,1}$), there always exists a scattered CA-wave meaning that the pumping CA-wave is always unstable to Bragg scattering on plasma density perturbations.

III. EVOLUTION OF MHD SPECTRUM IN BRAGG SCATTERING PROCESS

In order to derive the equation for the evolution of Alfven spectrum in Bragg scattering process, let us represent the transverse plasma flow velocity in the form

$$\mathbf{U} = \int d^3\mathbf{k}\left[\mathbf{U}_{CA,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r},t)e^{-i\omega_{CA}(\mathbf{k})t} + \mathbf{U}_{SA,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r},t)e^{-i\omega_{SA}(\mathbf{k})t} + \mathbf{U}_{S,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r},t)\right]e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}, \qquad (21)$$

where the partial modes spectra $\mathbf{U}_{CA}$, $\mathbf{U}_{SA}$ and $\mathbf{U}_{S}$ are generally slow functions of time and space compared with the characteristic frequency of Alfven waves and the largest wavelength being considered. Let us then introduce 2D "polarization" vectors $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and scalar wave amplitudes $U_{\mathbf{k}}$ for each partial wave α according to $\mathbf{U}_{\perp,\alpha,\mathbf{k}} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha}U_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}$, where for compressional Alfven waves polarization is defined as $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{CA} = \mathbf{k}_{\perp}/k_{\perp}$ and for shear Alfven waves as $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{SA} = \hat{\mathbf{z}}\times\mathbf{k}_{\perp}/k_{\perp}$. Considering the Fourier representation of (7) in high-frequency (Alfven) part of flow velocity spectrum and multiplying it by polarization vector one gets the equation for the amplitude of each of CA- and SA-spectra:

$$\frac{dU_{\mathbf{k}}}{dt} = i\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\mathbf{N}_{\perp\,\omega,\mathbf{k}}}{2\omega}. \qquad (22)$$

Here $d/dt = \partial/\partial t + \mathbf{V}_{gr}\cdot\nabla$ is the convective time derivative along wave package trajectory, $\mathbf{V}_{gr}$ is the group velocity, $\mathbf{N}_{\perp\,\omega,\mathbf{k}}$ is the Fourier-transformed right-hand side of (7); frequency ω is calculated according with the one of the dispersion relations (11-12) depending on the wave considered.

Consider the density perturbations of CA- and S-modes and velocity perturbations for SA-modes (density variations are zero for linear shear Alfven waves) to be of the same order, which we denote with a small parameter ε. The variations of the magnetic field of CA- and SA-waves are, then, $\mathbf{b}_{CA,SA} = O(\varepsilon)$, but for S-modes, the magnetic field is unchanged in the limit of small β:

$$\mathbf{b}_S = O(\varepsilon\beta) << \mathbf{b}_{CA,SA}. \tag{23}$$

Thus, although S-modes disturb the plasma density, they do not change the magnetic field in cold plasmas. Relation (23) allows significant simplification of the nonlinear force $\mathbf{N}_\perp$ because in this case the only nonlinear drive comes from the term $\chi\partial_t\mathbf{U}$. Under the assumption of infinitely small β, one can then rewrite (22) as an integral equation

$$\frac{dU_{\mathbf{k}}}{dt} = \frac{i\omega}{2}\int d^2\mathbf{k}'_\perp (\xi\cdot\xi')\chi_S(\mathbf{k}_\perp - \mathbf{k}'_\perp)U_{\mathbf{k}'}, \tag{24}$$

where $\mathbf{k}' = \mathbf{k}'_\perp + k_\parallel\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ and χ_S is the static density perturbations spectrum. The equivalent representation of (24) has a form

$$i\frac{dU_{\mathbf{k}}}{dt} = \mathbf{H}U_{\mathbf{k}}, \tag{25}$$

where operator **H** defined over the set $\{\varphi_n(\mathbf{k}_\perp),\ n = 0,1...\}$, has matrix elements

$$H_{nm} = -\frac{\omega}{2}\int d^2\mathbf{k}_{\perp,1}d^2\mathbf{k}_{\perp,2}(\xi\cdot\xi')\varphi_n^*(\mathbf{k}_{\perp,1})\chi_S(\mathbf{k}_{\perp,2} - \mathbf{k}_{\perp,1})\varphi_m(\mathbf{k}_{\perp,1}). \tag{26}$$

Consider now the limitations of the proposed approach. Equation (24-25) is derived under the assumption of resonant coupling, meaning that only those waves, whose frequencies and wave vectors strictly satisfy (16), are considered as interacting with each other. Certainly, this assumption is only satisfied for sufficiently large systems compared with the characteristic Alfven wavelength $2\pi k^{-1}$ and on large time scales of interaction compared with the characteristic oscillation period $2\pi\omega^{-1}$. The former condition follows from the requirement of spatial resonance, which can now be rederived directly from (24). Indeed, the amplitude of Alfven waves with a wave vector **k** is changed by the interaction with a wave having vector $\mathbf{k}'$ only if the spectral amplitude of

static density perturbations χ_S is nonzero at $\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'$, meaning that there exists a spatial lattice satisfying the spatial resonant interaction condition (16). Note that in (24) and (26), the integration in **k**-space is taken only over the subspace of transverse vectors $\mathbf{k}_\perp$, since static density perturbations are assumed purely two-dimensional and the longitudinal wave number does not change in Bragg scattering process.

The temporal resonance condition (16) requiring the lower limitations on the interaction time in the proposed approach, however, can not be derived from the obtained equations, since it has already been taken into account in the derivation, and thus should be considered separately. For SA↔SA scattering, this condition is satisfied automatically as soon as the space resonance requirement is fulfilled. Indeed, the latter assumes conservation of the parallel wave number of scattering wave ($k_{S,\|} \equiv 0 \Rightarrow k_{\|,SA,1} = k_{\|,SA,2}$), which according to (12) is equivalent to the temporal resonance condition. For CA↔CA interaction, however, the situation is different because frequencies of compressional waves are determined by the transverse wave numbers as well. On the other hand, if one assumes the interaction of CA-waves with *given* $k_\perp$, Eqs. (24-26) become applicable, too. Such a situation occurs, for example, in the scattering of a plane compressional wave with a given wave vector. In this case, the modes that are born in the Bragg scattering process will automatically get the same $k_\perp$ though the direction of their wave vectors will be rotated in $\mathbf{k}_\perp$-subspace depending on the plasma density profile.

Consider now the evolution of Alfven spectrum in the frame moving with a group velocity with respect to the laboratory set of coordinates. For each spatial harmonic $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}$

of Alfven spectrum in new frame of reference $U_{\mathbf{k}}\left(\mathbf{r},t\right)=\psi_{\mathbf{k}}\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{V}_{gr}t,t\right)$, one then gets the well-known Shrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\partial\psi_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t}=\mathbf{H}\psi_{\mathbf{k}}, \tag{27}$$

where the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian $\mathbf{H}$ represent nothing Doppler-shifted frequencies of *spectrum* oscillations. Similar to the original Hamiltonian of quantum mechanics, $\mathbf{H}$ is Hermitian as can be seen directly from its definition (26). Since its eigenvalues are real, there follows the absolute stability of the transformed spectrum under the adopted approximation. Also, like the corresponding conservation law for the quantum mechanics ψ-function, the Alfven spectrum conserves the "normalization" $\int\left|\psi_{\mathbf{k}}\right|^2 d^3\mathbf{k}=const$.

Equation (24-27) needs to be solved together with the equation describing the time evolution of the density fluctuations spectrum $\chi_S\left(\mathbf{k}\right)$. The latter equation can be obtained by Fourier transformation of (3) where only the resonant terms governing low-frequency drive must be kept. Alfven wave density perturbations spectrum $\chi_{\mathbf{k}}$ can then be related to the flow velocity spectrum $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{k}}$ through $\chi_{\mathbf{k}}=\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{k}}/\omega$, which gives for quasi-static density perturbations spectrum

$$\partial_t\chi_{S,\mathbf{k}_S}=-i\left\{\mathbf{k}_S\cdot\mathbf{U}_{S,\mathbf{k}_S}+\frac{1}{\omega}\int d^3\mathbf{k}\left[\left(\mathbf{k}_S\cdot\mathbf{U}_{CA;\mathbf{k}_S-\mathbf{k}_\perp,-k_\parallel}\right)\left(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{U}_{CA,\mathbf{k}}\right)+c.c.\right]\right\}. \tag{28}$$

The right-hand side of (28) can be evaluated through Fourier representation of (2). In order to evaluate the terms that contain harmonics of the high-frequency magnetic field, note that for an Alfven wave with given ω and $\mathbf{k}$, the corresponding perturbation of the field is given by

$$\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{k}} = \hat{\mathbf{z}} \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{k}}}{\omega} - \frac{k_{\|} \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{k}}}{\omega}, \tag{29}$$

as follows from linear form of (2). Substituting (29) into nonlinear equation (2) and performing the integration over all **k** with resonant conditions (16) taken into account, one can show that at low-frequency, magnetic field spectrum change is then determined by the evolution of quasi-static plasma density profile:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{b}_{S,\mathbf{k}} = \partial_t \chi_{S,\mathbf{k}} + O\left(\varepsilon^3\right) + O\left(\varepsilon^2 \beta\right) \approx \partial_t \chi_{S,\mathbf{k}}. \tag{30}$$

Since $\mathbf{b}_S = O(\varepsilon\beta)$ and $\partial_t = O(\varepsilon)$ for spectral quantities, the change of the field produced by evolution of S-modes can be neglected, so the quasi-static plasma density profile remains unchanged ($\partial_t \chi_{S,\mathbf{k}} = 0$). Thus,

$$\mathbf{H} = const, \tag{31}$$

making the equation (24-27) self-consistent and linear.

IV. APLICATIONS OF MHD SPECTRUM EVOLUTION EQUATION. ALFVEN WAVE TOMOGRAPHY

The fact that the matrix equations (24-25) are linear opens up the possibility of tomographic applications. Consider, first, harmonic spatial modulation of the plasma density:

$$\chi_S(\mathbf{k}_\perp) = \varepsilon\left(\delta(\mathbf{k}_\perp - \mathbf{k}_S) + \delta(\mathbf{k}_\perp - \mathbf{k}_S)\right) \tag{31}$$

leading to the following form of the equation (24):

$$\dot{U}_{\mathbf{k}} = \varepsilon \frac{i\omega}{2}\left(U_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_S}\alpha_- + U_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}_S}\alpha_+\right), \tag{32}$$

where $\alpha_\pm = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathbf{k}\pm\mathbf{k}_S} = O(1)$ are polarization factors. The equation (32) can only be solved together with similar equations for $U_{\mathbf{k}\pm\mathbf{k}_S}$, which, in turn, require solving the equations for $U_{\mathbf{k}\pm n\mathbf{k}_S}$ with higher *n*. Therefore, the complete set of equations (32) is infinite ($n = 0,1...\infty$) and, thus, hard to analyze for arbitrary initial conditions. However, an approximate solution of (32) can be found for limited-time scattering of a plane wave with a given wave vector **k**. The first harmonics that will be generated during the interaction process will be shifted in **k**-space only on single value of $\mathbf{k}_S$ from **k**. These harmonics will later produce the waves having **k**-vectors shifted on $\pm 2\mathbf{k}_S$, which then give rise to the waves with $\mathbf{k} \pm n\mathbf{k}_S$, $n = 2,3,...$, etc. Hence, in the beginning of the scattering process, harmonics with high *n* do not have sufficient time for being pumped and thus can be neglected under the approximation of limited scattering time. In the first (linear) stage of interaction, the harmonics with $n = 0,\pm 1$ are enough for an adequate description of the scattering process. Then, solving Eq. (32) one gets for the amplitudes of these waves:

$$U_{\mathbf{k}} \approx 1 - \frac{\alpha_+^2 + \alpha_-^2}{8}\varepsilon\omega t, \qquad U_{\mathbf{k}\pm\mathbf{k}_S} \approx i\varepsilon\frac{\alpha_\pm \omega}{2}t, \tag{33}$$

for "pump" wave with initial amplitude $U_{\mathbf{k}} = 1$. Formulas (33) are valid until the dynamics of $U_{\mathbf{k}\pm\mathbf{k}_S}$ is entirely determined by the value of $U_{\mathbf{k}}$ and the second harmonics $U_{\mathbf{k}\pm 2\mathbf{k}_S}$ remain small, namely on time scales $t << (\varepsilon\omega)^{-1}$. A more careful treatment requires taking a larger number of higher harmonics into account.

Until now, we have been solving the direct scattering problem obtaining the amplitudes of scattered Alfven radiation from a known plasma density profile. However, the inverse scattering problem might also be of certain interest especially because of its certain possible practical applications. Indeed, if one knows the spectra of Alfven radiation before and after scattering, in principle, one can reconstruct the plasma density profile from these data. The solution of the problem consists of inverting the equation (24-25), in order to obtain the matrix **H,** from which the static density perturbation spectrum $\chi_S\left(\vec{k}\right)$ can be derived:

$$\chi_S\left(\mathbf{k}_{2,\perp}-\mathbf{k}_{1,\perp}\right)=-\frac{2}{\omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}_2\right)^{-1}\varphi_n\left(\mathbf{k}_{1,\perp}\right)H_{nm}\,\varphi_m^*\left(\mathbf{k}_{2,\perp}\right). \tag{34}$$

In order to obtain the matrix elements H_{nm} from the results of Alfven waves scattering, one can employ a known Alfven wave source, comprised of wave packets characterized by their initial spectrum $U_{\mathbf{k}}^{(i)}$. Formal integration of (25) on a time interval $t\in(0,\tau)$ gives the expression for the final spectral function $U_{\mathbf{k}}^{(f)}$, which can be written in the following form:

$$U_{\mathbf{k}}^{(f)}=\mathbf{M}U_{\mathbf{k}}^{(i)},\qquad \mathbf{M}=\exp\left(-i\mathbf{H}\tau\right). \tag{35}$$

Scanning the plasma with N wave packets with different initial conditions $U_{\mathbf{k}}^{(i)}$, where N is the number of basis functions $\varphi_n\left(\mathbf{k}_\perp\right)$ used for spectrum representation, one gets enough independent equations for obtaining the elements of the matrix **M**. (In order to get the exact density profile, one needs $N\to\infty$). Taking the matrix logarithm of **M**, one then gets the Hamiltonian **H**, and, therefore, obtains the density spectrum (34).

The proposed procedure solves the general inverse scattering or tomography problem [5-6], i.e., the multiple scanning measurements allow reconstruction of the static density perturbations in a cold plasma. Other tomographic methods actively used in plasmas include the application of x-ray waves for measuring the electron temperature in hot core of a tokamak plasma [7-9] and in ionospheric electron density measurements in the radio-frequency range [10-12]. The Alfven radiation tomography discussed in the present work provides, in particular, the opportunity for studying density profiles in cold plasmas.

Practical difficulties that might be encountered in the present tomography problem are likely similar to the difficulties encountered in other tomographic applications. Since the complex logarithmic function is not defined uniquely, an inevitable uncertainty in tomographic reconstruction arises as one tries to obtain the matrix **H** from the matrix **M**. However, using the linear stage of spectrum transformation discussed above, or scanning the plasma volume with Alfven waves of different frequencies, one can, in principle, further constrain possible reconstructions. The additional problems of Alfven tomography that must be solved before the technique can be applied to real systems includes taking into account both thermal corrections and other nonlinear MHD effects [2].

V. SUMMARY

In this article, we investigated the nonlinear coupling of Alfven waves to inhomogeneities of cold collisionless plasmas. We demonstrated that in the limit of

negligible plasma pressure ($\beta \to 0$), the plasma inhomogeneities do not evolve in the interaction, so that the Alfven wave coupling can be considered as Bragg scattering on fixed spatial lattices of plasma. In this case, the Hamiltonian (26) governing the evolution of the MHD spectrum remains constant, which makes the corresponding evolution equation (24-27) linear. Representing of plasma quantities by their Fourier spectra leads to simple solution of the direct scattering problem.

In particular, we obtained the scattering properties of a given system including the case of a static sinusoidal plasma density perturbation. Knowing the spectrum of the incident and scattered Alfven waves, we derived an expression for the Hamiltonian, from which the static density perturbations spectrum can be found easily. The solution of this inverse scattering problem indicates how tomographic reconstruction of plasma density perturbations might be achieved by means of imposed Alfven radiation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the US DOE, under contract DE-AC02-76 CHO3073.

REFERENCES

[1] W.I. Newman, A.L. Newman, The interaction of a strong magnetic field with a cold plasma: The evolution of a magnetic bubble, The Astrophysical Journal, 515 (2): 685-695, 1999.

[2] G.M. Webb, A.R. Zakharian, M. Brio, G. P. Zank, Nonlinear and three-wave resonant interactions in magnetohydrodynamics, Journal of Plasma Physics 63 (5): 393-445, 2000.

[3] P.V. Ponomarenko, Y.M. Yampolski, A.V. Zalizovsky, D.L. Hysell, O.F. Tyrnov, Interaction between artificial ionospheric irregularities and natural MHD waves, JGR 105 (A1): 171-181, 2000.

[4] V.L. Frolov, L.M. Erukhimov, S.A. Metelev, E.N. Sergeev, Temporal behaviour of artificial small-scale ionospheric irregularities: Review of experimental results, Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics 59 (18): 2317-2333, 1997.

[5] Image reconstruction from projections: implementation and applications, edited by G. T. Herman; with contributions by M. D. Altschuler *et al*, Berlin; New York: Springer-Verlag, 1979.

[6] Basic methods of tomography and inverse problems: a set of lectures, by G.T. Herman *et al*; foreword by R. Dautray; edited by P.C. Sabatier, Bristol; Philadelphia: A. Hilger, 1987.

[7] R.S. Granetz and P. Smeulders, X-ray tomography on JET, Nuclear Fusion, 28 (3), 457-476, 1988.

[8] X. Litaudon, Y. Peysson, T. Aniel *et al*, q-profile evolution and improved core electron confinement in the full current drive operation on Tore Supra, Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion 43 (5): 677-693, 2001.

[9] Y. Peysson, S. Coda, F. Imbeaux, Hard X-ray CdTe tomography of tokamak fusion plasmas, Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research A, 458 (1-2): 269-274, 2001.

[10] E.S. Andreeva, A.V. Galinov, V.E. Kunitsyn, Y.A. Melnichenko, E.E. Tereschenko, M.A. Filimonov, S.M. Chernyakov, Radiotomographic reconstruction of ionization dip in the plasma near the Earth, JETP Letters 52 (3): 145-148, 1990.

[11] J.C. Foster, M.J. Buonsanto, J.M. Holt *et al*, Russian-American tomography experiment, International Journal of Imaging Systems and Technology 5 (2): 148-159, 1994.

[12] P.A. Bernhardt, R.P. McCoy, K.F. Dymond *et al*, Two-dimensional mapping of the plasma density in the upper atmosphere with computerized ionospheric tomography (CIT), Physics of Plasmas 5 (5): 2010-2021, Part 2, Sp. Iss. SI May 1998.

[13] J.M. Turner, Magnetic holes in the solar wind, JGR 82 (13): 1921-1924, 1977.

[14] G. Erdős, A. Balogh, Statistical properties of mirror mode structures observed by Ulysses in the magnetosphere of Jupiter, JGR 101 (A1): 1-12, 1996.

[15] L.F. Burlaga, J.F. Lemaire, Interplanetary magnetic holes: theory, JGR 83 (A11): 5157-5160, 1978.

[16] B.H. Ripin, J.D. Huba, E.A. McLean, C.K. Manka, T. Peyser, H.R. Burris, J. Grun, Sub-Alfvenic plasma expansion, Physics of Flids B 5(10): 3491-3506, 1993.

External Distribution

Plasma Research Laboratory, Australian National University, Australia
Professor I.R. Jones, Flinders University, Australia
Professor João Canalle, Instituto de Fisica DEQ/IF - UERJ, Brazil
Mr. Gerson O. Ludwig, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas, Brazil
Dr. P.H. Sakanaka, Instituto Fisica, Brazil
The Librarian, Culham Laboratory, England
Library, R61, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, England
Mrs. S.A. Hutchinson, JET Library, England
Professor M.N. Bussac, Ecole Polytechnique, France
Librarian, Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Germany
Jolan Moldvai, Reports Library, MTA KFKI-ATKI, Hungary
Dr. P. Kaw, Institute for Plasma Research, India
Ms. P.J. Pathak, Librarian, Insitute for Plasma Research, India
Ms. Clelia De Palo, Associazione EURATOM-ENEA, Italy
Dr. G. Grosso, Instituto di Fisica del Plasma, Italy
Librarian, Naka Fusion Research Establishment, JAERI, Japan
Library, Plasma Physics Laboratory, Kyoto University, Japan
Research Information Center, National Institute for Fusion Science, Japan
Dr. O. Mitarai, Kyushu Tokai University, Japan
Library, Academia Sinica, Institute of Plasma Physics, People's Republic of China
Shih-Tung Tsai, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, People's Republic of China
Dr. S. Mirnov, TRINITI, Troitsk, Russian Federation, Russia
Dr. V.S. Strelkov, Kurchatov Institute, Russian Federation, Russia
Professor Peter Lukac, Katedra Fyziky Plazmy MFF UK, Mlynska dolina F-2, Komenskeho Univerzita, SK-842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia
Dr. G.S. Lee, Korea Basic Science Institute, South Korea
Mr. Dennis Bruggink, Fusion Library, University of Wisconsin, USA
Institute for Plasma Research, University of Maryland, USA
Librarian, Fusion Energy Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA
Librarian, Institute of Fusion Studies, University of Texas, USA
Librarian, Magnetic Fusion Program, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, USA
Library, General Atomics, USA
Plasma Physics Group, Fusion Energy Research Program, University of California at San Diego, USA
Plasma Physics Library, Columbia University, USA
Alkesh Punjabi, Center for Fusion Research and Training, Hampton University, USA
Dr. W.M. Stacey, Fusion Research Center, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Dr. John Willis, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Fusion Energy Sciences, USA
Mr. Paul H. Wright, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA

The Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory is operated
by Princeton University under contract
with the U.S. Department of Energy.

Information Services
Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
P.O. Box 451
Princeton, NJ 08543

Phone: 609-243-2750
Fax: 609-243-2751
e-mail: pppl_info@pppl.gov
Internet Address: http://www.pppl.gov