PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, UNDER CONTRACT DE-AC02-76CH03073

PPPL-3577 UC-70

PPPL-3577

Study of Local Reconnection Physics in a Laboratory Plasma

Hantao Ji, Troy Carter, Scott Hsu, and Masaaki Yamada

June 2001



PRINCETON PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY

PPPL Reports Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any express or implied, or assumes any legal liability warranty. or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its by the endorsement. recommendation, or favoring United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Availability

This report is posted on the U.S. Department of Energy's Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Publications and Reports web site in Calendar Year 2001. The home page for PPPL Reports and Publications is: http://www.pppl.gov/pub_report/

DOE and DOE Contractors can obtain copies of this report from:

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Scientific and Technical Information DOE Technical Information Services (DTIS) P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, TN 37831

Telephone: (865) 576-8401 Fax: (865) 576-5728 Email: reports@adonis.osti.gov

This report is available to the general public from:

National Technical Information Service U.S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161 Telephone: 1-800-553-6847 or (703) 605-6000 Fax: (703) 321-8547 Internet: http://www.ntis.gov/ordering.htm

Study of Local Reconnection Physics in a Laboratory Plasma

Hantao Ji, Troy Carter, Scott Hsu, and Masaaki Yamada

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University, New Jersey 08543, USA

(Received Jun xx, 2000; Revised Jun xx, 2000; Accepted xxx xx, 2000)

A short review of physics results obtained in the Magnetic Reconnection Experiment (MRX) is given with an emphasis on the local features of magnetic reconnection in a controlled environment. Stable two-dimensional current sheets are formed and sustained by induction using two internal coils. The observed reconnection rates are found to be quantitatively consistent with a generalized Sweet-Parker model which incorporates compressibility, unbalanced upstream-downstream pressure, and the effective resistivity. The latter is significantly enhanced over its classical values in the low collisionality regime. Strong local ion heating is measured by an optical probe during the reconnection process, and at least half of the increased ion energy must be due to nonclassical processes, consistent with the resistivity enhancement. Characteristics of high frequency electrostatic and electromagnetic fluctuations detected in the current sheet suggest presence of the lower-hybriddrift like waves with significant magnetic components. The detailed structures of current sheet are measured and compared with Harris theory and two-fluid theory.

(January 25, 2001)

1. Introduction

Magnetic reconnection plays a crucial role in determining the topology of magnetic fields in space and laboratory plasmas (Vasyliunas, 1975; Biskamp, 1994). Although this is a localized process, it often causes fundamental changes in macroscopic configurations, such as in solar flares, magnetospheric substorms, and relaxation processes in laboratory plasmas. Magnetic reconnection also provides the most plausible mechanism for releasing the energy stored in the magnetic field to plasma kinetic and thermal energies as observed in solar flares, auroral phenomena, and laboratory plasmas. Over more than fifty years of its history, study of magnetic reconnection has been largely theoretical as represented by the Sweet-Parker model (Sweet, 1958; Parker, 1957) and the Petschek model (Petschek, 1964). Recently, availability of computer simulation as a research tool has brought about an explosive amount of literature on numerical studies of magnetic reconnection physics in great detail.

In comparison with these theoretical and numerical efforts, laboratory investigations of magnetic reconnection have been relatively rare (Yamada, 1999). The first detailed

Copy right[®] The Society of Geomagnetism and Earth, Planetary and Space Sciences (SGEPSS); The Seismological Society of Japan; The Volcanological Society of Japan; The Geodetic Society of Japan; The Japanese Society for Planetary Sciences.

experiment (Stenzel and Gekelman, 1981) on magnetic reconnection was carried out in linear geometry and in the electron magnetohydrodynamic (EMHD) regime, in which ions are unmagnetized. Fluctuations were measured in the current sheet region in detail. More recently, the global nature of magnetic reconnection in the MHD regime, where both ions and electrons are magnetized, has been studied in the TS-3 device (Yamada *et al.*, 1990; Ono *et al.*, 1996). Effects of the third (toroidal) component of magnetic field and global ion heating have been reported. Since 1995, a series of extensive experiments has been performed in the Magnetic Reconnection Experiment or MRX (Yamada *et al.*, 1997b) to comprehensively study magnetic reconnection from both local and global points of view in a controlled MHD regime, where both location and timing of reconnection process are specified. This short article is intended to summarize the experimental results obtained recently in MRX with an emphasis on the local features at the diffusion region where ions become unmagnetized, in contrast to the outer MHD regions.

2. Experimental Apparatus and Diagnostics

In MRX, the plasma is formed and controlled by a pair of internal coils (called flux cores, with a 37.5 cm major radius and a $\simeq 10$ cm minor radius), which creates a quadrupole field configuration. The magnetic domain can be divided into three sections: two private sections surrounding each flux core and one public section surrounding both flux cores, which are separated from each other by 50 cm surface to surface [see Fig. 1(a)]. When poloidal flux in the private sections (or toroidal currents in the flux cores) is reduced, the poloidal flux is "pulled" back from the public section to the private sections resulting in magnetic reconnection as illustrated in Fig. 1(b). In addition to the poloidal field shown in Fig. 1, a toroidal field can be removed (added) at the diffusion region resulting in null-helicity (co-helicity) reconnection. The toroidally symmetric shape of the flux cores ensures global 2D geometry for magnetic reconnection. Note the use of cylindrical coordinates (R, θ, Z) as defined in Fig. 1(a).

The low temperature (< 50 eV) and short-pulsed (< 1 ms) MRX plasmas have the advantage that internal probes can be used routinely (Yamada et al., 1997b). Triple Langmuir probes (Ji et al., 1991) are used to measure electron density (n_e) and temperature (T_e) simultaneously. The plasma density measurement has been calibrated by a laser interferometer which measures the line-integrated density. All three components of **B** are measured during the reconnection process by a 90 channel 2D pick-up coil array with 4 cm resolution around the diffusion region [see Fig. 1(a)]. Another 60 channel 2D pick-up coil array with 6 cm resolution in the R direction and 8 cm resolution in the Zdirection is also used to measure magnetic profiles at the smaller radii where magnetic field is smoother. The poloidal flux function can be obtained by integration of B_Z over the radius, $\Psi(R,Z) = 2\pi \int_0^R B_Z(R,Z) R dR$. A finer 1D pick-up probe array with 0.5 cm resolution is used to measure the B_Z profile across the current sheet. Local flow velocity in R and Z directions can be determined either by a Mach probe or time evolution of $\Psi(R,Z)$, i.e., $V_X = -(\partial \Psi/\partial t)/(\partial \Psi/\partial X)$ (X = R in the upstream region and X = Z in the downstream region). The latter method is valid when the resistive effects are negligible, a condition satisfied outside the diffusion region. Results from both methods are in good agreement, and the latter has been used routinely because of its convenience. Probe perturbation of the plasma is estimated quantitatively and observed to be less than 5%of the measured magnetic fields (Yamada *et al.*, 1997a).

In order to determine ion flow and temperature, an optical probe called the Ion Dynamics Spectroscopy Probe or IDSP (Fiksel *et al.*, 1998) was inserted to collect plasma light from a localized (~ 5 cm) volume through fiber optics to a 1 m monochromator imaged with a CCD camera. Two perpendicular lines-of-sight can give simultaneous Doppler broadening and relative Doppler shift information, thus providing measurements of Rand Z components of the velocity vector and temperature. The brightness of plasma emission limits the time resolution to about 10 μ s. Typical plasma parameters are as follows: B < 0.5 kG, T=5-30 eV, and $n_e=0.2-1.5\times10^{20}$ m⁻³.

3. Experimental Realization of Sweet-Parker-like Magnetic Reconnection in Two Dimensions

The most fundamental MHD model of magnetic reconnection in two dimensions was given by Sweet (1958) and Parker (1957). A key element of this model is the existence of a two-dimensional "diffusion region" – essentially a rectangular box where the magnetic field diffuses and reconnects. The dimensions of such a "box" are of crucial importance since it essentially decides the rate of magnetic reconnection by balancing incoming and outgoing plasma and flux flows and thus the time scale for reconnection. The length of this box is of macroscopic scale but its width is determined by the local plasma resistivity which causes magnetic diffusion. The Sweet-Parker model uses resistivities estimated by classical theories, such as the Spitzer resistivity (Spitzer, 1962). Under the assumptions of steady state, incompressibility, and uniform plasma pressure outside the diffusion region, an important prediction by the Sweet-Parker model is a normalized reconnection rate of,

$$\frac{V_R}{V_A} = \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\mu_0 L V_A}} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{S}},\tag{1}$$

where V_A is Alfvén speed defined by reconnecting magnetic field, η is the plasma resistivity, L is the length of diffusion region, and S is called Lundquist number.

Despite its importance and long history, the Sweet-Parker model had never been tested either in laboratory or in space. The first quantitative tests were possible in MRX since all essential plasma parameters were measured during magnetic reconnection by an extensive set of diagnostics described in Sec. 2. First of all, it should be emphasized that, in qualitative agreement with Sweet-Parker model, a robustly stable two-dimensional diffusion region (or current sheet) is formed and sustained during the "pull" reconnection regardless of the existence of the third component (toroidal field, B_{θ}) as long as the reconnection is driven. (Indeed, it would not be surprising if the current sheet disrupts especially during the null-helicity reconnection.) Two examples are shown in Fig. 2, where the measured contours of the poloidal flux before and during the reconnection are plotted for both null-helicity and co-helicity cases. Although the detailed structures differ between these two cases (Yamada *et al.*, 1997a), a common feature is that the formed current sheet is robustly stable (lifetime > 20 Alfvén time) and globally axisymmetric as long as reconnection is being driven.

Furthermore, detailed quantitative comparisons have been made between the measured reconnection rates and the predictions by the Sweet-Parker model (Ji *et al.*, 1998). It turned out that the measured reconnection rates do not agree with the simple prediction of Eq. (1), because several assumptions made by the original Sweet-Parker model do not hold true in MRX. First, the plasma is compressible, *i.e.*, $\dot{n} \neq 0$, especially when the

magnetic field is strong or the Lundquist number is large. Compressibility accelerates reconnection transiently. Second, the plasma pressure outside the diffusion region is not uniform, namely, downstream pressure p_{down} becomes larger than upstream pressure p_{up} by as much as a factor of two or more. Much higher p_{down} slows outflow to only 5-30 % of Alfvén speed, resulting in slower reconnection rates. Lastly and most importantly, the effective plasma resistivity η^* becomes larger than the classical resistivity by as much as an order of magnitude in the low collisionality regimes, greatly accelerating the reconnection process.

By correcting these assumptions, the Sweet-Parker model can be generalized (Ji *et al.*, 1998) by defining an effective Lundquist number,

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\mu_0 L V_A}{\eta^*} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + L\dot{n}/nV_Z} \cdot \frac{V_Z}{V_A}$$
(2)

where the outflow V_Z is determined by

$$V_Z^2 = V_A^2 (1+\kappa) - 2(p_{\rm down} - p_{\rm up})/\rho.$$
 (3)

Here κ represents a small correction due to the downstream tension force (H. Ji *et al.*, 1999). Figure 3 shows satisfactory agreement spanning over a decade in magnitude between the experiments and the generalized Sweet-Parker model for both null-helicity and co-helicity reconnection. It should be pointed out that the much slower reconnection rates in the co-helicity cases compared to the null-helicity cases are due to a combined effect of lower compressibility, larger $p_{\text{down}} - p_{\text{up}}$, and less enhancement of the effective resistivity.

4. Resistivity Enhancement and Measurements of Fast Fluctuations

As seen in the last section, the most important deviation of the reconnection process in MRX from the classical Sweet-Parker model is the enhancement of the effective plasma resistivity over its classical values. It has been found that the resistivity enhancement strongly depends on density or equivalently the collisionality (Ji et al., 1998), as shown in Fig. 4. The enhancement is largest in the low collisionality regime where the mean free path of particles (> 10 cm) is much longer than the current sheet thickness (< 2.5 cm) or the ion skin depth, an important scale length in the perpendicular direction of magnetic field. Collisionless effects, such as two-fluid effects and ion kinetic effects, can be more important than Coulomb collisions. Indeed, plenty of free energy, as a form of large relative drift $V_d \equiv j_{\theta}/en$ between ions and electrons, exists at the diffusion region in the low density cases, likely resulting in current-driven microinstabilities (see, e.g., Huba et al., 1977). Experimentally, the effective resistivity is determined (Ji et al., 1998) by $\eta^* = E_{\theta}/j_{\theta} = \mu_0 E_{\theta} \delta/B_Z$, where E_{θ} is the toroidal electric field determined by time derivative of poloidal flux, $-\partial \Psi/\partial t$, and δ is the thickness of the current sheet. Therefore, the enhancement in resistivity is equivalent to a larger current sheet thickness than predicted by the classical resistivity. Indeed, the measurements show that δ is determined by ion skin depth (Yamada et al., 2000; also see Sec. 6), a fact which can be translated to a constant drift parameter, V_d/V_{thi} (V_{thi} = ion thermal velocity), over a wide range in density (Ji et al., 1999). When the density is reduced, the drift parameter needs to be increased to keep a constant current density thus a constant effective resistivity. Therefore, the

observation of a constant drift parameter suggests that current-driven instabilities can provide a mechanism to limit current density, thus controlling the reconnection rate.

Experimental efforts have begun in MRX to detect fast fluctuations at the current sheet with a frequency response up to as high as 100 MHz, which is well above the lower-hybrid frequency. Both electrostatic and magnetic fluctuations have been successfully identified and measured (Carter *et al.*, 2001) during reconnection, by using miniature transducers installed in the probe shaft right after Langmuir or magnetic probe tips. One example is shown in Fig. 5, where both electrostatic and electromagnetic fluctuations appear in the current sheet after the current sheet is formed and the reconnection is driven. Radial scans of fluctuation measurements indicate that both electrostatic and electromagnetic fluctuations peak around the current sheet. The measured frequency spectra, as shown also in Fig. 5, indicate that most fluctuations are in the frequency range between the ion cyclotron and lower hybrid frequencies. Measurements of wavenumber spectra using multiple probes show that the phase velocities of these fluctuations are qualitatively consistent with the relative drift velocity, pointing to lower-hybrid-drift like waves with significant magnetic components (Carter *et al.*, 2001). Understanding the nature of these fluctuations represents an important step in the research of magnetic reconnection.

5. Measurements of Nonclassical Ion Heating

Another important aspect of magnetic reconnection is its ability to convert magnetic energy to plasma energy, obserable as plasma or particularly ion heating. High temperatures observed in solar corona (Priest, 1998), magnetospheric plasmas (Dungey, 1961), and laboratory plasmas (Ejiri and Miyamoto, 1995) have been attributed to magnetic reconnection. Electron heating was observed during reconnection in the EMHD regime (Stenzel *et al.*, 1982), and global ion heating was observed in the TS-3 experiments and was attributed to thermalization of Alfvénic flows (Ono *et al.*, 1996). Alfvénic ion jets were also measured in the SSX experiment when two spheromaks interact with each other (Kornack *et al.*, 1998). However, there have been no direct demonstrations of ion heating localized at a well-characterized diffusion region during magnetic reconnection.

Local ion temperatures have been successfully measured (Hsu *et al.*, 2000) in MRX by the IDSP probe (Fiksel *et al.*, 1998) and a 1 m monochromator imaged with a CCD camera. Majority ion temperature and flow is accurately determined thanks to the high resolution of pixels of the CCD camera (~ 20 pixels within the broadened He II line centered at 468.6 nm). Figure 6 shows the time evolution of the temperature of helium ions at the center of the diffusion region for both null-helicity and co-helicity reconnection. Ion temperature for the case without reconnection in the null-helicity configuration is also shown for reference. The T_i rises by more than a factor of three for the null-helicity case and by a factor of two for the co-helicity case when reconnection is driven while there are almost no changes when no reconnection is driven. We note that less dramatic ion heating in the co-helicity case is consistent with its slower reconnection rates (Ji *et al.*, 1999). As a more convincing evidence of direct ion heating due to reconnection, Fig. 7 shows radial profile of T_i increase and reconnecting magnetic field. Ions are heated only at the diffusion region during the reconnection.

An immediate question arises regarding whether the observed ion heating can be accounted for by the know classical processes. A thorough estimate (Hsu *et al.*, 2001) using Braginskii's formula (1965) has been performed taking into account all possible effects on energy gains (by electrons, compression, and viscosity) and energy losses (by neutrals, convection, and conduction). All these processes can only explain approximately half of the ion energy increase, leaving another half unaccounted. This must have been converted to ion energy nonclassically.

A logical next question arises on how this nonclassical ion heating is related to the observed resistivity enhancement. Indeed, the increase in ion energy normalized by reconnected magnetic energy increases with the enhancement factor, as shown in Fig. 8. This suggests that the same (nonclassical) mechanism(s) for resistivity enhancement also directly heat the ions in MRX.

6. Study of Current Sheet Structure

Structures of the current sheet hold significant clues about the physical mechanisms which control reconnection. Magnetic profiles have been measured by a 1D pick-up coil array with resolution of 0.5 cm (Yamada *et al.*, 1997b; 2000). It was found that the reconnecting field profile fits well with a Harris-type profile (Harris, 1962), $B(x) \sim$ $\tanh(x/\delta)$. Fitting to error functions, as in MHD simulations (Biskamp, 1986), gives slightly worse χ^2 , although there are no significant statistical advantages over fitting to arctangent, as shown in Fig. 9. Since the original Harris solution assumes $T_e = T_i$, which does not hold true in MRX, the solution of the Vlasov equation has been generalized to include $T_e \neq T_i$ cases (Yamada *et al.*, 2000) to predict the thickness

$$\delta = \frac{c}{\omega_{\rm pi}} \frac{\sqrt{2}V_s}{V_d},\tag{4}$$

where $V_s \equiv \sqrt{(T_e + T_i)/m_i}$. This relation has been verified experimentally (Yamada *et al.*, 2000).

In order to study both magnetic and electric structures of the current sheet in MRX, further generalizations are needed to include nonuniform electron and ion flows. In the full Vlasov equation, this cannot be done without introducing other exotic effects, such anisotropic temperatures (Mahajan and Hazeltine, 2000). However, a simple two-fluid theory can be constructed (Ji *et al.*, 2000) to give a nonlinear equation for the magnetic field in a normalized form,

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial x} = -\frac{V}{\sqrt{2}}(1 - B^2),\tag{5}$$

where x and B are normalized by $c/\omega_{\rm pi}$ and $\sqrt{2\mu_0 n_0(T_e + T_i)}$, respectively, and $V \equiv V_d/V_s$. When $T_e + T_i$ is a constant, as is mostly the case in MRX, the predicted magnetic and electric profiles are

$$E_x = -\frac{T_e V_i + T_i V_e}{T_e + T_i} B_z \tag{6}$$

$$B_z = B_0 \tanh\left(\int_0^x \frac{V}{\sqrt{2}} dx\right),\tag{7}$$

where $V_i(V_e)$ is ion (electron) flow in the current (y) direction. Because V resides within the integration before entering as the argument of hyperbolic tangent, the B profile is not sensitive to the details of V, and it is always very close to the shape of tanh as long as V is a reasonably smooth function of x. Three examples are given in Fig. 10, which shows that despite substantial changes in the V profiles, the corresponding B profiles fit well to tanh function by adjusting amplitude and thickness, demonstrating the robustness of Harris-like profiles of magnetic field. Detailed measurements of the structures of electric field and flows are underway; they will be compared to the two-fluid theory in order to understand non-MHD effects in relation with the observed fast reconnection and nonclassical ion heating.

7. Conclusions

A brief review is given on the local physics results obtained in MRX. Overall, the observed magnetic reconnection is qualitatively and quantitatively consistent with the two-dimensional MHD model given by Sweet and Parker. Qualitatively, stable twodimensional current sheets are formed and sustained as long as the reconnection is driven. Quantitatively, the observed reconnection rates are also consistent with the Sweet-Parker model, but only after it is generalized to incorporates compressibility, unbalanced upstream-downstream pressure, and the effective resistivity. The latter is significantly enhanced over its classical values in the low collisionality regimes. Strong local ion heating is measured by an optical probe during the reconnection process, and at least half of the increased ion energy must be due to nonclassical processes, consistent with the observed resistivity enhancement. Characteristics of high frequency electrostatic and electromagnetic fluctuations detected in the current sheet suggest presence of the lower-hybrid-drift like waves with significant magnetic components. The detailed structures of the current sheet are measured and compared with Harris' theory and two-fluid theory, which explains why the hyperbolic tangent shape of the reconnecting magnetic field is preferred. In addition, a novel optical system using Laser Induced Fluorescense (Trintchouk et al., 2000) is now operational, allowing non-invasive study of the local reconnection physics, such as density structures and ion heating.

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Drs. F. Trintchouk and R. Kulsrud for their collaborations.

References

Biskamp, D., Magnetic Reconnection via Current Sheets, Phys. Fluids, 29, 1520-1531, 1986.

Biskamp, D., Magnetic Reconnection, Phys. Rep., 237, 179-247, 1994.

- Braginskii, S. I., Transport Processes in a Plasma, in *Reviews of Plasma Physics Vol. 1*, ed. by Leontovich, M. A., Consultants Bureau, New York, 205pp., 1965.
- Carter, T., *et al.*, Measurements of Lower-hybrid-drift Turbulence in a Reconnecting Current Sheet, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **45**, 270-270, 2000; to be submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.

Dungey, J. W., Interplanetary Magnetic Field and Auroral Zones, Phys. Rev. Lett., 6, 47-48, 1961.

Ejiri, A., and Miyamoto, K., Ion-heating Model during Magnetic Reconnection in Reversed-field Pinch Plasmas, Plasma Phys. Controll. Fusion, 37, 43-56, 1995.

Fiksel, G., Den Hartog, D. J., and Fontana, P. W., An optical probe for local measurements of fast plasma ion dynamics, Rev. Sci. Instr., **69**, 2024-2026, 1998.

Harris, E. G., On a Plasma Sheath Separating Regions of Oppositely Directed Magnetic Field, Il Nuovo Cimento, 23, 115-121, 1962.

Hsu, S. C., *et al.*, Local measurement of nonclassical ion heating during magnetic reconnection, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 3859-3862, 2000.

Hsu, S. C., *et al.*, Experimental study of ion heating and acceleration during magnetic reconnection, Phys. Plasmas, **8**, 1916-1928, 2001.

Huba, J., *et al.*, Lower-hybrid-drift Instability as a Source of Anomalous Resistivity for Magnetic-field Line Reconnection, Geophys. Res. Lett. 4, 125. 1977.

- Ji, H., et al., Probe Measurements in the REPUTE-1 Reversed Field Pinch, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 62, 2326-2337, 1991.
- Ji, H., et al., Experimental test of the Sweet-Parker model of magnetic reconnection, Phys. Rev. Lett., 80, 3256-3259, 1998.
- Ji, H., et al., Magnetic reconnection with Sweet-Parker characteristics in two-dimensional laboratory plasmas, Phys. Plasmas, 6, 1743-1750, 1999.
- Ji, H., et al., Study of Electric and Magnetic Profiles of Current Sheet in Magnetic Reconnection Experiment, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 45, 270-270, 2000; to be submitted.
- Kornack, T. W., Sollins, P. K., and Brown, M. R., Experimental observation of correlated magnetic reconnection and Alfvenic ion jets, Phys. Rev. E, 58, R36-R39, 1998.
- Mahajan, S. M. and Hazeltine, R. D., Sheared-flow generalization of the Harris sheet, Phys. Plasmas, 7, 1287-1293, 2000.
- Ono, Y., *et al.*, Ion acceleration and direct ion heating in three-component magnetic reconnection, Phys. Rev. Lett., **76**, 3328-3331, 1996.
- Parker, E. N., Sweet's Mechanism for Merging Magnetic Fields in Conducting Fluids, J. Geophys. Res., 62, 509-520, 1957.
- Petschek, H. E., Magnetic Field Annhilation, NASA Spec. Pub. SP-50, 425-439, 1964.
- Priest, E. R., *et al.*, Nature of the heating mechanism for the diffuse solar corona, Nature, **393**, 545-547, 1998.
- Spitzer, L., Jr., Physics of Fully Ionized Gases (2nd Revised Edition), (Interscience Publishers, New York, 1962), p.28.
- Stenzel, R. L. and W. Gekelman, W., Magnetic-field Line Reconnection Experiments 1. Field Topologies, J. Geophys. Res., 86, 649-658, 1981.
- Stenzel, R. L., Gekelman, W., and Wild, N., Magnetic-field Line Reconnection Experiments 4. Resistivity, Heating, and Energy-flow, J. Geophys. Res. 87, 111-117, 1982.
- Sweet, P. A., The Neutral Point Theory of Solar Flares", in *Electromagnetic Phenomena in Cosmical Physics*, ed. by Lehnert, B., Cambridge University Press, New York, 123-134, 1958.
- Trintchouk, F., et al., Two-dimensional Structure Measurement in the Magnetic Reconnection Experiment with Planar Laser-Induced Fluorescence, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 45, 270-271, 2000.
- Vasyliunas, V. M., Theoretical Models of Magnetic Field Line Merging, 1, Rev. Geophys. Space Phys., 13, 303-336, 1975.
- Yamada, M., et al., Magnetic Reconnection of Plasma Toroids with Cohelicity and Counterhelicity, Phys. Rev. Lett., 65, 721-724, 1990.
- Yamada, M., et al., Identification of Y-shaped and O-shaped diffusion regions during magnetic reconnection in a laboratory plasma, Phys. Rev. Lett., 78, 3117-3120, 1997a.
- Yamada, M., et al., Study of driven magnetic reconnection in a laboratory plasma, Phys. Plasmas, 4, 1936-1944, 1997b.
- Yamada, M., Review of controlled laboratory experiments on physics of magnetic reconnection, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 14529-14541, 1999.
- Yamada, M., et al., Experimental investigation of the neutral sheet profile during magnetic reconnection, Phys. Plasmas, 5, 1781-1787, 2000.



Fig. 1. An illustration of the MRX geometry for magnetic reconnection: (a) a quadrupole configuration formed by two flux cores, providing one public and two private regions, (b) two-dimensional magnetic reconnection induced by pulling flux from the public back to the private regions.



Fig. 2. Time evolution of contours of poloidal flux measured by internal magnetic probe arrays in the (a) null-helicity case and (b) co-helicity case, respectively.



Fig. 3. Comparison of the measured reconnection rates to the predictions by a generalized Sweet-Parker model for both null-helicity and co-helicity cases.



Fig. 4. The measured resistivity enhancement as a function of plasma density during null-helicity reconnection.



Fig. 5. Examples of the measured electrostatic (b) and electromagnetic (c) fluctuations as the current sheet (a) is formed and null-reconnection is driven. Frequency spectra of both signals in the range of of lower-hybrid frequency are also shown (d and e).



Fig. 6. Time evolution of the measured helium ion temperature at the center of the diffusion region for both null-helicity and co-helicity reconnection, and for the case without reconnection in a null-helicity setup.



Fig. 7. Radial profile of the relative rise of T_i during null-helicity reconnection and reconnecting magnetic field averaged over the same time.



Fig. 8. Increase in ion energy normalized by reconnected magnetic energy as a function of the resistivity enhancement, η^*/η_{Sp} .



Fig. 9. Measured magnetic profile and its fittings to hyperbolic tangent, arctangent, and error function.



Fig. 10. Three examples to illustrate robustness of the hyperbolic tangent shape of the magnetic field. Profiles of V (left) and B (right) are plotted as functions of x for cases of a constant v (a), doubled V around x = 0 (b), and a peaked V around x = 0 (c). Squares indicate solutions of Eq. (5). The solid lines and dotted lines are fitted tanh curves and deviations from tanh, respectively.

External Distribution

Plasma Research Laboratory, Australian National University, Australia Professor I.R. Jones, Flinders University, Australia Professor João Canalle, Instituto de Fisica DEQ/IF - UERJ, Brazil Mr. Gerson O. Ludwig, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas, Brazil Dr. P.H. Sakanaka, Instituto Fisica, Brazil The Librarian, Culham Laboratory, England Library, R61, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, England Mrs. S.A. Hutchinson, JET Library, England Professor M.N. Bussac, Ecole Polytechnique, France Librarian, Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Germany Jolan Moldvai, Reports Library, MTA KFKI-ATKI, Hungary Dr. P. Kaw, Institute for Plasma Research, India Ms. P.J. Pathak, Librarian, Insitute for Plasma Research, India Ms. Clelia De Palo, Associazione EURATOM-ENEA, Italy Dr. G. Grosso, Instituto di Fisica del Plasma, Italy Librarian, Naka Fusion Research Establishment, JAERI, Japan Library, Plasma Physics Laboratory, Kyoto University, Japan Research Information Center, National Institute for Fusion Science, Japan Dr. O. Mitarai, Kyushu Tokai University, Japan Library, Academia Sinica, Institute of Plasma Physics, People's Republic of China Shih-Tung Tsai, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, People's Republic of China Dr. S. Mirnov, TRINITI, Troitsk, Russian Federation, Russia Dr. V.S. Strelkov, Kurchatov Institute, Russian Federation, Russia Professor Peter Lukac, Katedra Fyziky Plazmy MFF UK, Mlynska dolina F-2, Komenskeho Univerzita, SK-842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia Dr. G.S. Lee, Korea Basic Science Institute, South Korea Mr. Dennis Bruggink, Fusion Library, University of Wisconsin, USA Institute for Plasma Research, University of Maryland, USA Librarian, Fusion Energy Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA Librarian, Institute of Fusion Studies, University of Texas, USA Librarian, Magnetic Fusion Program, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, USA Library, General Atomics, USA Plasma Physics Group, Fusion Energy Research Program, University of California at San Diego, USA Plasma Physics Library, Columbia University, USA Alkesh Punjabi, Center for Fusion Research and Training, Hampton University, USA Dr. W.M. Stacey, Fusion Research Center, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA Dr. John Willis, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Fusion Energy Sciences, USA

Mr. Paul H. Wright, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA

The Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory is operated by Princeton University under contract with the U.S. Department of Energy.

> Information Services Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory P.O. Box 451 Princeton, NJ 08543

Phone: 609-243-2750 Fax: 609-243-2751 e-mail: pppl_info@pppl.gov Internet Address: http://www.pppl.gov